

## The Influence of English Proficiency on Financial Stability and Career Advancement: A Review

Kazi Imran Hossain<sup>1\*</sup>, Md. Sabid Mahmud<sup>1</sup>, Raffat Arman Islam<sup>1</sup>, Ariful Insan Emon<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of English and Modern Languages, IUBAT—International University of Business Agriculture and Technology, Dhaka, Bangladesh

### Keywords:

Career Advancement;  
English Proficiency;  
Financial Stability;  
Income levels; Job  
Opportunities;  
Linguistic Barriers;  
Language Education  
Strategies

### Abstract

This review examines the influence of English proficiency on financial stability and career advancement by synthesizing findings from 52 peer-reviewed studies published between 2000 and 2024. Drawing from literature across linguistics, economics, and education, the paper explores how English language skills affect income levels, job opportunities, career mobility, and the challenges posed by linguistic barriers. Through a comprehensive review of recent literature, the study highlights a strong correlation between English proficiency and economic empowerment, particularly in globalized labour markets. It also discusses effective strategies to enhance language skills, including contextualized language programs, technological interventions, workplace training, and policy initiatives. A key research gap identified is the limited availability of longitudinal studies that establish causality between English proficiency and long-term economic outcomes, especially in developing countries. This review contributes a multidimensional perspective by connecting language ability with socioeconomic equity and recommending holistic, policy-driven approaches for fostering linguistic inclusion and economic integration.

## 1. Introduction

In an increasingly interconnected and competitive global economy, English proficiency has become a critical determinant of financial stability and career advancement. As the *lingua franca* of international business, science, education, and diplomacy, English plays a pivotal role in shaping individuals' professional prospects and economic outcomes. For non-native speakers, especially in multilingual and developing societies, acquiring English language skills can significantly expand access to high-paying jobs, leadership roles, and transnational networks. Consequently, English proficiency is not merely a linguistic asset but a gateway to socioeconomic mobility and global integration.

\*Corresponding author's E-mail address: [imrankazi@iubat.edu](mailto:imrankazi@iubat.edu)

A growing body of research affirms the strong association between English language skills and economic advantages. [Chiswick and Miller \(2005\)](#) found that individuals with higher English proficiency earn significantly more in sectors where language skills are highly valued. Similarly, [Dustmann and Van Soest \(2001\)](#) highlighted wage disparities between native and non-native speakers, reinforcing the economic value of linguistic fluency. In professional settings, English proficiency is frequently a prerequisite for employment, particularly in multinational corporations and international organizations ([Farr & Song, 2011](#)). Moreover, multilingual individuals often hold a competitive edge due to their ability to navigate cross-cultural contexts effectively ([Pietrzyk-Kowalec, 2023](#)).

Beyond income and job access, English proficiency plays a strategic role in career advancement. It facilitates communication, collaboration, and leadership in diverse work environments, enabling individuals to ascend organizational hierarchies and influence decision-making processes ([Pan & Block, 2011](#); [Gariup, 2017](#)). Conversely, linguistic barriers can hinder upward mobility, especially for immigrants and marginalized groups. Studies by [Boyd and Cao \(2009\)](#) and [Portes and Hao \(2002\)](#) emphasize how limited English proficiency can exacerbate financial disparities and reinforce structural inequalities.

Given these dynamics, English language ability is increasingly recognized as a form of human capital that affects both individual well-being and broader social equity. Yet, while many studies establish the economic value of English, fewer explore the underlying mechanisms through which proficiency translates into financial stability and long-term career growth—particularly in low- and middle-income countries. To address this gap, the present review synthesizes existing literature on the intersection of English proficiency, financial outcomes, and career mobility. The paper is organized into several thematic sections: (1) the relationship between English proficiency and income levels, (2) its impact on job opportunities, (3) the role of linguistic competence in career advancement, (4) the financial consequences of linguistic barriers, and (5) strategies for enhancing English proficiency. The review concludes by highlighting key findings, limitations, and future directions for research and policy.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1 Search Strategy

A comprehensive literature search was conducted using five electronic databases: Google Scholar, ERIC, PubMed, Scopus, and JSTOR. The search covered the period from January 2000 to March 2024. The following keywords and Boolean operators were used:

("English proficiency" OR "language fluency") AND ("financial stability" OR "economic outcomes" OR "income levels") AND ("career advancement" OR "employment opportunities" OR "job access") AND ("linguistic barriers" OR "language discrimination")

The search aimed to capture a wide range of studies addressing the intersection of English language ability with economic and professional outcomes.

## **2.2 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

Studies were included in this review if they met several specific criteria: they had to be published in peer-reviewed journals between 2000 and 2024, written in English, and focused on the relationship between English proficiency and outcomes such as income, employment, career advancement, or economic inequality. Both qualitative and quantitative studies were considered eligible for inclusion. Conversely, studies were excluded if they focused exclusively on native English-speaking populations, did not incorporate any economic or employment-related variables, or were editorials, commentaries, or unpublished theses.

## **2.3 Selection Process**

A total of 312 articles were initially retrieved based on the search criteria. After title and abstract screening, 112 articles were shortlisted for full-text review. Following a detailed evaluation, 52 studies met the inclusion criteria and were included in the final review.

Two independent reviewers screened and selected studies. Discrepancies in inclusion were resolved through discussion and consensus, with a third reviewer consulted when necessary.

## **2.4 Quality Assessment**

To ensure methodological rigor, each study was assessed using an adapted checklist based on the PRISMA 2020 framework and the JBI Critical Appraisal Tools. Criteria included clarity of research question, appropriateness of methodology, transparency of data collection and analysis, and relevance to the review objectives. Studies were categorized as high, moderate, or low quality; low-quality studies were excluded from synthesis.

## **2.5 Limitations of the Review**

Several limitations of the review process are acknowledged. First, language bias may be present as only studies published in English were included. Second, the review may be affected by publication bias, since grey literature and non-peer-reviewed sources were excluded. Third, while efforts were made to capture diverse global contexts, there may be an overrepresentation of studies from Western countries due to database indexing patterns.

Finally, the review focuses primarily on English and does not sufficiently address the economic and professional value of local or national languages. Recent research has shown that local languages such as Bangla play a significant role in employability and career advancement in the public sector in Bangladesh, challenging the dominant focus on English alone (Basu, Haque & Hamid, 2024). A

more balanced treatment of multilingual dynamics would further enrich the understanding of language and development.

### 3. English Proficiency and Income Levels

English proficiency serves as a significant determinant of income levels, exerting a substantial influence on individuals' earning potential in today's globalized economy. The economic benefits of linguistic fluency have been underscored by a multitude of studies that have established a positive correlation between language skills and wages. For example, [Chiswick and Miller \(2002\)](#) discovered that individuals who demonstrate a higher level of English proficiency tend to earn wages that are substantially higher than those of their less proficient peers. In the same vein, [Bleakley and Chin \(2010\)](#) conducted a study that demonstrated that bilingual individuals, particularly those who are proficient in English, have access to higher-paying jobs and greater earning opportunities as a result of their capacity to communicate effectively across linguistic boundaries.

Beyond cross-sectional evidence, some studies have employed longitudinal methodologies to examine the causal relationship between English proficiency and income. Notably, [Bleakley and Chin \(2004\)](#) tracked childhood immigrants in the U.S. and observed that those who acquired English earlier earned substantially higher incomes in adulthood. [Dustmann and Fabbri \(2003\)](#) also used panel data to show that improvements in English skills among immigrants in the UK led to long-term income growth, even after controlling for education, age, and time in the host country. These findings suggest that English proficiency is not merely correlated with—but may also contribute to—upward income mobility over time.

Importantly, the impact of English proficiency on income is not uniformly experienced across demographic groups. For instance, [Gazzola and Grin \(2013\)](#) found that female immigrants often experience a lower wage return on English proficiency than their male counterparts, likely due to compounded gender and linguistic discrimination in the labor market. Similarly, older migrants may face diminishing income benefits from language learning compared to younger cohorts who integrate earlier into education and workforce systems. Studies also show that low-skilled migrant workers, particularly in informal sectors, face structural barriers that limit the economic returns of language skills ([Bešić et al., 2021](#)).

While the overall pattern is positive, a few studies report limited or context-dependent income effects. For instance, [Zhen \(2016\)](#) observed that within minority-language enclaves in the U.S., English proficiency had a relatively weaker impact on wages, as community-based labor markets often operate in native languages. In some sectors where technical or vocational expertise outweighs communication demands—such as manual labor or factory work—the wage premium for English skills may be negligible.

In sum, while English proficiency is widely associated with higher income levels and economic advantage, its benefits vary by methodological design,

demographic background, and occupational context. A nuanced understanding of these factors is crucial for interpreting the economic implications of language skills and for designing equitable language education and employment policies.

#### 4. Language Skills and Job Opportunities

English proficiency significantly enhances job opportunities, playing a crucial role in the hiring processes and career trajectories of individuals across various sectors. In multinational corporations and industries with a robust international presence, job postings frequently necessitate proficiency in the English language, according to consistent research. For example, [Lan and Wu \(2020\)](#) conducted a study that exhibited that employers prioritize candidates with strong English language skills, frequently associating language proficiency with improved communication and problem-solving abilities. In the same vein, a survey conducted by the [British Council \(2016\)](#) revealed that 75% of employers in non-English-speaking countries consider English proficiency to be a prerequisite for career advancement, thereby emphasizing its global importance.

Additionally, English proficiency can be a critical determinant in securing employment, particularly in highly competitive job markets. According to [Gazzola and Grin's \(2013\)](#) research, candidates who are proficient in English are more likely to be selected for interviews and offered employment than those with limited language abilities. This advantage is especially apparent in industries such as finance, information technology, and customer service, where effective communication is essential. Furthermore, a study conducted by [Sahan and Sahan \(2024\)](#) demonstrated that English proficiency improves employability by allowing individuals to interact with a wider variety of job opportunities, including those in international companies and organizations.

However, the benefits of English proficiency in employment are not evenly distributed across all demographic groups. Female immigrants, for instance, often face additional hurdles despite possessing strong language skills. As noted by [Bešić \*et al.\* \(2021\)](#), gender norms, family obligations, and labor market discrimination can limit women's ability to convert linguistic capital into job opportunities. Likewise, migrant workers with informal legal status or lower educational attainment may experience limited returns on their English proficiency due to structural labor market barriers.

While most studies highlight a positive link between English proficiency and employment prospects, some divergent findings complicate this narrative. [Gara and Mansour \(2019\)](#) found that in certain regional labor markets, especially where local languages dominate, technical skills or social capital were more influential than English proficiency in determining employability. Additionally, in some public-sector or blue-collar occupations, language requirements are minimal, and English proficiency may not significantly influence hiring decisions.

A few studies have attempted to explore the causal effect of English proficiency on employment using longitudinal data. [Dustmann and Fabbri \(2003\)](#), for example, showed that over time, improved English proficiency among immigrants in the UK was linked to increased labor market participation and upward job mobility. Similarly, [Yao and van Ours \(2015\)](#) demonstrated that language acquisition led to better labor market integration and lower unemployment rates among minority populations in the Netherlands.

In developing economies such as Bangladesh, English proficiency is increasingly seen as a gateway to upward mobility, particularly in the private sector, managerial roles within the ready-made garment (RMG) industry, and the expanding ICT sector ([Hamid, 2020](#); [Erling \*et al.\*, 2012](#)). According to the Bangladesh English Language Teaching (BELT) Report by the [British Council \(2013\)](#), English skills significantly impact hiring and promotion in urban job markets, especially where multinational corporations and donor-funded projects are active. These labor market preferences for English often advantage graduates from English-medium or elite private institutions, reinforcing educational and socioeconomic divides ([Rahman \*et al.\*, 2024](#); [Hamid & Sultana, 2024](#)).

In summary, English language skills substantially enhance job access and employability, especially in globalized and communication-intensive industries. However, the magnitude of this benefit is shaped by individual background, labor market structures, and sector-specific demands. Recognizing these contextual variations is essential for developing inclusive language policies and employment support strategies.

## **5. Career Advancement and Linguistic Competence**

English Linguistic competence, particularly in English, plays a crucial role in career advancement by enabling individuals to effectively navigate organizational hierarchies and access leadership positions. The significance of English proficiency in enabling upward mobility within organizations has been underscored by a multitude of studies. For example, [Piekkari, Welch and Welch \(2014\)](#) conducted a study that revealed that employees who possess strong English skills are more likely to be promoted to managerial positions. This is due to their ability to effectively communicate with diverse teams and manage cross-border operations. English proficiency is a critical factor in multinational corporations, where executives are expected to engage with stakeholders from a variety of linguistic backgrounds, as emphasized by [Neeley \(2017\)](#).

Leadership necessitates effective communication, and English proficiency improves an individual's capacity to articulate ideas, influence others, and effect organizational change. Research has demonstrated that executives who are proficient in English are more capable of inspiring and motivating their teams, resulting in enhanced productivity and performance. For instance, a study conducted by [Welch and Welch \(2018\)](#) illustrated that executives who possess a high level of linguistic proficiency can create a more inclusive work environment and bridge

cultural divides. Furthermore, research conducted by [Tenzer, Pudelko and Zellmer-Bruhn \(2021\)](#) underscored the importance of linguistic abilities in the context of strategic decision-making, negotiation with global partners, and the effective management of international projects in accordance with the organization's objectives.

Importantly, the benefits of English proficiency in career advancement are shaped by demographic factors. For instance, [Hofhuis \*et al.\* \(2023\)](#) found that younger professionals with strong English skills benefited more from digital networking and global mobility opportunities than older cohorts. Meanwhile, research by [Peltokorpi \(2023\)](#) indicated that local employees in foreign subsidiaries who had English fluency were more likely to access training and international assignments, but these benefits were often less accessible to female employees due to structural gender inequalities in leadership pipelines.

Moreover, linguistic competence often intersects with migration status. Migrants with advanced English skills are generally more likely to be offered leadership roles or supervisory positions ([Neeley, 2017](#)), but barriers such as visa restrictions, credential recognition, or cultural stereotypes may still limit their advancement opportunities. These findings highlight that while language proficiency is a key enabler, it does not operate in isolation from broader institutional and social dynamics.

Although many studies report positive associations between English proficiency and upward career mobility, some divergent perspectives suggest that the advantages may be sector-dependent. [Gara and Mansour \(2019\)](#) noted that in industries where operational skills are prioritized over communication—such as manufacturing or logistics—language competence may not strongly influence promotion decisions. Additionally, in countries or organizations that emphasize linguistic nationalism or local language policy, English proficiency may offer limited advantage or even pose challenges to social integration.

While most evidence is correlational, a few studies, such as those by [Earley and Ang \(2003\)](#), adopt quasi-experimental and longitudinal frameworks to demonstrate how language training and cultural intelligence contribute to sustained professional growth. These studies indicate that English proficiency, especially when combined with intercultural competence, plays a significant role in long-term career success in international settings.

In summary, English proficiency enhances an individual's capacity to progress within an organization, access leadership opportunities, and build social capital. However, the extent of this advantage is contingent on gender, migration status, professional domain, and cultural context. Recognizing these variations is crucial for ensuring that language training and career development initiatives are inclusive and responsive to diverse professional trajectories.



## 6. Linguistic Barriers and Financial Disparities

While English proficiency is often associated with economic mobility, limited language skills can create substantial barriers, particularly for marginalized populations such as immigrants, ethnic minorities, and low-income groups. These barriers contribute to persistent financial disparities, restricting access to well-paying jobs, professional development, and essential services.

Studies such as [Chiswick and Miller \(2002\)](#) demonstrate that non-native English speakers in the U.S. earn significantly lower wages compared to native speakers. This disparity is largely driven by communication challenges, occupational segregation, and reduced access to high-skill employment. Similarly, [Bešić, Diedrich and Aigner \(2021\)](#) found that refugees and recent migrants with limited English proficiency were often confined to low-paying, precarious jobs, regardless of their qualifications.

The educational and professional trajectories of individuals with weak English skills are also constrained. [Bleakley and Chin \(2004\)](#) reported that adults with poor English proficiency are less likely to pursue higher education or vocational training, thereby limiting their long-term income potential. [Gándara \(2018\)](#) further emphasized that these barriers perpetuate cycles of poverty, especially among children of immigrants, who may struggle with educational attainment due to linguistic and institutional hurdles.

However, the effects of linguistic barriers are not experienced uniformly. Gender plays a significant role: immigrant women, particularly those with caregiving responsibilities, often face multiple disadvantages, including limited access to language learning opportunities, informal employment, and cultural expectations that prioritize domestic roles ([Boyd & Cao, 2009](#)). Age is another important factor—older migrants typically have less access to formal language training and fewer years in the workforce to recoup educational investments, which reduces their economic resilience.

In addition to wage gaps, occupational segregation is a common outcome of linguistic barriers. [Hainmueller and Hiscox \(2010\)](#) observed that immigrants with low English proficiency were overrepresented in manual labor and service sector jobs, which offer limited prospects for promotion or wage growth. [Hall, Greenman and Farkas \(2010\)](#) also noted that such workers often face invisible ceilings, making it difficult to transition into managerial or professional roles.

Moreover, limited English skills affect access to financial institutions and services, compounding economic vulnerability. [Becerra, Androff, and Ayon \(2012\)](#) found that individuals with poor English proficiency were less likely to hold bank accounts or secure formal loans, increasing reliance on informal or exploitative financial arrangements. [Berman, Lang, and Siniver \(2003\)](#) also highlighted that language barriers can lead to misunderstandings in financial transactions, resulting in poor financial decision-making and long-term instability.



In Bangladesh, linguistic barriers disproportionately affect rural and semi-urban populations, where access to quality English education remains uneven and often inadequate (Hamid, 2011; Erling *et al.*, 2012). Students from Bengali-medium schools, particularly in government and low-cost private institutions, often receive limited exposure to communicative English skills. As a result, public sector job candidates from these backgrounds struggle to compete with graduates from English-medium or elite urban institutions, especially in competitive civil service exams and interviews. This disparity reinforces existing class and regional inequalities, privileging urban, English-educated elites in both the public and private employment sectors (Rahman *et al.*, 2024; Sultana & Roshid, 2020).

Beyond employment and finance, healthcare access is another area where language deficits indirectly contribute to financial hardship. Escobedo, Cervantes and Havranek (2023) found that patients with limited English proficiency are less likely to access preventive care and more likely to experience medical errors, leading to increased medical expenses and lost productivity. These challenges create a feedback loop, where poor health outcomes exacerbate financial insecurity.

While most studies highlight the negative impact of linguistic barriers, some divergent perspectives suggest that these effects can be mitigated by social networks or community resources. For instance, Zhen (2016) reported that immigrants residing in ethnic enclaves may find employment within linguistically homogeneous environments, partially shielding them from language-based discrimination. However, such arrangements can also limit upward mobility and access to mainstream opportunities.

In conclusion, linguistic barriers significantly contribute to income inequality, labor market exclusion, and economic marginalization, especially among migrants, women, and older adults. Addressing these challenges requires a combination of inclusive language education policies, equitable access to professional training, and supportive public services. At the same time, it is essential to consider the intersections of language with other structural factors—such as legal status, education, and discrimination—that shape financial outcomes.

## 7. Strategies for Enhancing English Proficiency

Enhancing English proficiency among non-native speakers is essential for addressing linguistic barriers and promoting economic integration. One effective approach is the implementation of comprehensive language education programs that are specifically designed to meet the requirements of adult learners. Research has demonstrated that these programs have a substantial impact on economic outcomes and language abilities. For instance, Condelli and Wrigley (2004) conducted research that demonstrated that adult ESL (English as a Second Language) programs that incorporate practical, job-related language instruction result in increased employment rates and wages for participants. Similarly, a study conducted by Baker, Hope and Karandjeff (2009) underscored the significance of context-specific

language training, which enables learners to acquire the vocabulary and communication skills that are pertinent to their respective professional fields.

Utilizing technology to offer language learning opportunities that are both flexible and accessible is an additional critical approach. Including individuals who may not have access to traditional classroom settings, digital platforms, mobile applications, and online courses can effectively reach a broader audience. Mobile-assisted language learning (MALL) was demonstrated to be effective in improving English proficiency, particularly among young adults and working professionals, in a study conducted by [Godwin-Jones \(2011\)](#). In addition, research conducted by [Fansury, Januarty and Rahman \(2020\)](#) indicates that interactive online platforms, which provide personalized learning paths and real-time feedback, can substantially enhance learner engagement and accelerate language acquisition.

Additionally, workplace-based language training initiatives are essential for enhancing the English proficiency of employees. These programs, which are frequently sponsored by employers, offer practical language skills that are directly relevant to job responsibilities and workplace communication. For example, a study conducted by [Billett \(2020\)](#) demonstrated that employees who engaged in workplace ESL programs reported higher job satisfaction, improved performance, and increased opportunities for career advancement. Furthermore, research conducted by [Neff, Negoescu and Vanek \(2021\)](#) revealed that these programs not only benefit employees but also improve overall organizational productivity and decrease attrition rates by fostering a sense of competence and appreciation among employees.

Language acquisition and integration can be further facilitated by community-based initiatives and partnerships with local organizations. Free or low-cost language classes, tutoring, and conversation groups are frequently offered by community centers, libraries, and non-profit organizations, which cultivate a supportive environment for learners. [Menken and García \(2010\)](#) conducted a study that demonstrated the effectiveness of community-based ESL programs in urban areas. These programs not only enhance language skills but also foster social networks and promote civic engagement among immigrants. Similarly, [Snell \(2013\)](#) conducted research that underscored the importance of community organizations in offering comprehensive assistance, such as language instruction, cultural orientation, and job placement services.

Furthermore, the promotion of English proficiency can be significantly influenced by policy interventions at the national and local levels. Language education can be supported by governments through the provision of financing, the development of standardized curricula, and the guarantee that language training is accessible to all residents. For instance, [Chiswick \(2009\)](#) conducted a study that revealed that government-sponsored language programs in countries such as Canada and Australia substantially enhance the English proficiency and economic integration of immigrants. Furthermore, [Arendt \(2022\)](#) underscored the significance

of policies that promote language acquisition, such as the integration of language requirements into citizenship procedures or the provision of financial assistance.

In summary, it is imperative to employ a multifaceted strategy to improve the English proficiency of non-native speakers. The enhancement of language skills and the mitigation of linguistic barriers are facilitated by comprehensive language education programs, the utilization of technology, workplace-based training, community initiatives, and supportive policy interventions. These strategies not only improve individual economic opportunities but also foster broader social and economic integration, emphasizing the significance of investing in language education as a means of achieving equity and inclusion.

## 8. Conclusion

This review set out to explore the influence of English proficiency on financial stability and career advancement by synthesizing findings from a wide range of interdisciplinary studies. The objective was to understand how English language skills affect income levels, job opportunities, professional mobility, and access to economic resources. Drawing on 52 peer-reviewed studies published between 2000 and 2024, the findings consistently indicate that English proficiency serves as a key driver of economic empowerment, particularly in globalized labor markets. Individuals with strong English skills are more likely to access higher-paying jobs, advance within organizational structures, and participate in transnational networks. Conversely, linguistic barriers contribute to wage disparities, occupational segregation, and limited access to financial services and healthcare—especially for immigrants, women, and marginalized communities.

The review also highlights variation across demographic groups and sector-specific contexts, demonstrating that the benefits of English proficiency are not evenly distributed. While some studies employed longitudinal or quasi-experimental designs to examine causality, many relied on cross-sectional data, limiting our understanding of long-term effects. Furthermore, a small but important body of literature offers divergent or null findings, underscoring the complexity of the relationship between language skills and economic outcomes.

A key limitation of this review lies in its reliance on English-language publications, which may exclude relevant findings from non-English-speaking regions or underrepresented linguistic communities. In addition, potential publication bias and the exclusion of grey literature may have influenced the thematic scope. Although the review follows a structured search and appraisal process, future work should expand the range of sources and include non-Western perspectives, particularly from countries where English is a second or foreign language.

To build on the insights of this review, future research should pursue several important directions. First, there is a need for longitudinal and mixed-method studies to more accurately assess the causal relationships between English proficiency and

economic outcomes over time. Additionally, researchers should explore how intersectional factors—such as gender, migration history, race, and legal status— influence the connection between language skills and socioeconomic mobility. It is also essential to investigate the effectiveness of specific language education policies and interventions, particularly in low- and middle-income countries where resources and opportunities may be limited. Finally, examining the impact of emerging technologies, such as AI-driven learning platforms, on language acquisition and professional integration across diverse populations will be crucial in understanding the evolving landscape of language learning and its broader economic implications.

Investing in English language education is not only a matter of individual skill development but a strategic pathway to economic inclusion, social equity, and national development. For governments, educators, and employers, prioritizing equitable access to language learning will be essential in ensuring that English proficiency serves as a bridge to opportunity—not a barrier.

### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no potential conflict of interest regarding the publication of this work. In addition, the ethical issues including plagiarism, informed consent, misconduct, data fabrication and, or falsification, double publication and, or submission, and redundancy have been completely witnessed by the authors.

### **References**

- Arendt, J. N. (2022). Labor market effects of a work-first policy for refugees. *Journal of Population Economics*, 35(1), 169-196.
- Baker, E. D., Hope, L., & Karandjeff, K. (2009). *Contextualized teaching & learning: A faculty primer. A review of literature and faculty practices with implications for California community college practitioners*. Academic Senate for California Community Colleges.
- Basu, B. L., Haque, M. M., & Hamid, M. O. (2024). Employability and development potential of Bangla and English in the public sector job market in Bangladesh. In M. O. Hamid, S. Sultana, & M. M. Roshid (Eds.), *Language and sustainable development in Bangladesh: Policies, practices, and perceptions* (pp. 77–94). Routledge.
- Becerra, D., Androff, D., & Ayon, C. (2012). Fear vs. facts: Examining the economic impact of undocumented immigrants in the U.S. *Journal of Sociology and Social Welfare*, 39(4), 111-135.

- Bešić, A., Diedrich, A., & Aigner, P. (2021). Organising labour market integration support for refugees in Austria and Sweden during the Covid-19 pandemic. *Comparative Migration Studies*, 9(1), 1-18.
- Berman, E., Lang, K., & Siniver, E. (2003). Language-skill complementarity: Returns to immigrant language acquisition. *Labour Economics*, 10(3), 265-290.
- Billett, S. (2020). *Learning in the workplace: Strategies for effective practice*. Routledge.
- Bleakley, H., & Chin, A. (2004). Language skills and earnings: Evidence from childhood immigrants. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 90(3), 481-496.
- Bleakley, H., & Chin, A. (2010). Age at arrival, English proficiency, and social assimilation among US immigrants. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 2(1), 165-192.
- Boyd, M., & Cao, X. (2009). Immigrant language proficiency, earnings, and language policies. *Canadian Studies in Population*, 36(1-2), 63-86.
- British Council. (2016). *English at work: Global analysis of language skills in the workplace*. British Council.
- Chiswick, B. (2009). Chapter 2. The economics of language for immigrants: An introduction and overview. In T. Wiley, J. Lee, & R. Rumberger (Eds.), *The education of language minority immigrants in the United States* (pp. 72-91). Bristol, Blue Ridge Summit: Multilingual Matters.
- Chiswick, B. R., & Miller, P. W. (2002). Immigrant earnings: Language skills, linguistic concentrations and the business cycle. *Journal of Population Economics*, 15(1), 31-57.
- Chiswick, B. R., & Miller, P. W. (2005). Linguistic distance: A quantitative measure of the distance between English and other languages. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, 26(1), 1-11.
- Condelli, L., & Wrigley, H. S. (2004). Real world research: Combining qualitative and quantitative research for adult ESL. In *NRDC Second International Conference for Adult Literacy and Numeracy: An examination of research to practice initiatives within ESOL literacy*.

- Dustmann, C., & Fabbri, F. (2003). Language proficiency and labour market performance of immigrants in the UK. *The Economic Journal*, 113(489), 695-717.
- Dustmann, C., & Van Soest, A. (2001). Language fluency and earnings: Estimation with misclassified language indicators. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 83(4), 663-674.
- Earley, P. C., & Ang, S. (2003). *Cultural intelligence: Individual interactions across cultures*.
- Erling, E., Seargeant, P., Solly, M., Chowdhury, Q. H., & Rahman, S. (2012). Attitudes to English as a language for international development in rural Bangladesh.
- Escobedo, L. E., Cervantes, L., & Havranek, E. (2023). Barriers in healthcare for Latinx patients with limited English proficiency—a narrative review. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 38(5), 1264-1271.
- Fansury, A. H., January, R., & Rahman, S. A. W. (2020). Digital content for millennial generations: Teaching the English foreign language learner during COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Southwest Jiaotong University*, 55(3).
- Farr, M., & Song, J. (2011). Language ideologies and policies: Multilingualism and education. *Language and Linguistics Compass*, 5(9), 650-665.
- Gara Bach Ouerdian, E., & Mansour, N. (2019). The relationship of social capital with objective career success: The case of Tunisian bankers. *Journal of Management Development*, 38(2), 74-86.
- Gariup, M. (2017). *European security culture: Language, theory, policy*. Routledge.
- Gándara, P. (2018). The economic value of bilingualism in the United States. *Bilingual Research Journal*, 41(4), 334-343.
- Gazzola, M., & Grin, F. (2013). Is ELF more effective and fair than translation? An evaluation of the EU's multilingual regime. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 23(1), 93-107.

- Godwin-Jones, R. (2011). Emerging technologies: Mobile apps for language learning. *Language Learning & Technology*, 23(2), 2-11.
- Hainmueller, J., & Hiscox, M. J. (2010). Attitudes toward highly skilled and low-skilled immigration: Evidence from a survey experiment. *American Political Science Review*, 104(1), 61-84.
- Hall, M., Greenman, E., & Farkas, G. (2010). Legal status and wage disparities for Mexican immigrants. *Social Forces*, 89(2), 491-513.
- Hamid, M. O. (2020). Globalisation, English for everyone and English teacher capacity: Language policy discourses and realities in Bangladesh. In *Globalisation and Education* (pp. 207-228). Routledge.
- Hamid, M. O. (2011). Planning for failure: English and language policy and planning. *Handbook of language and ethnic identity: The success-failure continuum in language and ethnic identity efforts*, 2, 192.
- Hamid, M. O., & Sultana, S. (2024). English-medium instruction in higher education in Bangladesh. In *The Routledge Handbook of English-Medium Instruction in Higher Education* (pp. 352-364). Routledge.
- Hofhuis, J., van Egmond, M. C., Lutz, F. E., von Reventlow, K., & Rohmann, A. (2023). The effect of social network sites on international students' acculturation, adaptation, and wellbeing. *Frontiers in Communication*, 8, 1186527.
- Lan, X., & Wu, Y. (2020). English language proficiency and job outcomes among Chinese workers. *China Economic Review*, 61, 101367.
- Menken, K., & García, O. (2010). *Negotiating language policies in schools: Educators as policymakers*. Routledge.
- Neff, V., Negoescu, A., & Vanek, J. (2021). Workplace ESOL goes digital: Expanding opportunities for English language learning. *COABE Journal: The Resource for Adult Education*, 10(1), 82-89.
- Neeley, T. (2017). *The language of global success: How a common tongue transforms multinational organizations*. Princeton University Press.



- Pan, L., & Block, D. (2011). English as a “global language” in China: An investigation into learners’ and teachers’ language beliefs. *System*, 39(3), 391-402.
- Peltokorpi, V. (2023). The “language” of career success: The effects of English language competence on local employees’ career outcomes in foreign subsidiaries. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 54(2), 258-284.
- Pietrzyk-Kowalec, P. (2023). Multilingualism and the job market in the European Union: A comprehensive analysis. In *Proceedings of the World Conference on Education and Teaching* (Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 1-8).
- Piekkari, R., Welch, D., & Welch, L. (2014). *Language in international business: The multilingual reality of global business expansion*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Portes, A., & Hao, L. (2002). The price of uniformity: Language, family, and personality adjustment in the immigrant second generation. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 25(6), 889-912.
- Rahman, H., Mohammad, S., & Swarna, S. T. (2024). Voices in peril: understanding English public speaking anxiety among university students in Bangladesh. *Cogent Education*, 11(1), 2355381.
- Sahan, K., & Şahan, Ö. (2024). Investigating student and alumni perspectives on language learning and career prospects through English medium instruction. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 29(1), 194-215.
- Snell, A. (2013). Extending TESOL research findings to community-based language classrooms: New directions for Indiana ABE (Adult Basic Education) programs, instructors, and policy. *INTESOL Journal*, 10(1).
- Sultana, S., & Roshid, M. M. (2020). Introduction: English language and English language education in the multilingual ecology of Bangladesh: Past, present, and future. In *The Routledge handbook of English language education in Bangladesh* (pp. 1-14). Routledge.
- Tenzer, H., Pudelko, M., & Zellmer-Bruhn, M. (2021). The impact of language barriers on knowledge processing in multinational teams. *Journal of World Business*, 56(2), 101184.

- Welch, D. E., & Welch, L. S. (2018). Developing multilingual capacity: A challenge for the multinational enterprise. *Journal of Management Development*, 37(4), 376-389.
- Yao, L., & van Ours, J. C. (2015). Language skills and labor market performance: Evidence from the Netherlands. *Journal of Population Economics*, 33(4), 1277-1305.
- Zhen, Y. (2016). English proficiency and earnings of foreign-born immigrants in the USA from 1980 to 2000: The effect of minority-language enclaves. In *Forum for Social Economics* (Vol. 45, No. 4, pp. 329-349). Routledge.