Listerial contamination of raw beef and chevon in north-central Nigeria
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Abstract

Background and objective: *Listeria* sp. is a ubiquitous and frequently isolated foodborne pathogen. The prevalence of *Listeria* sp in raw beef and chevon sold in Lafia Nigeria, as well as their antibiotic susceptibility profile was evaluated.

Methods: A total 104 samples comprising of 52 raw beef and 52 chevon were obtained from street vendors (hawkers), Shinge abattoir, Lafia old market and Lafia Modern Market. Isolation of *Listeria* sp. was performed on Listeria Selective Agar, following enrichment in supplemented Listeria Selective Broth. Identification of *Listeria* sp. was carried out by cultural and biochemical methods. Antimicrobial susceptibility of isolated *L. monocytogenes* was performed by standard disk diffusion method. Chi-square test was used to determine association between contamination levels at $p=0.05$.

Results: Seven types of *Listeria* sp. were isolated. *L. monocytogenes* and *L. ivanovii* were the most frequently isolated contaminants in all meat types and from all sample sources. *L. monocytogenes* was isolated with a frequency of 64.4% (67/104) in the meat samples. Beef samples had the highest listerial contamination with a frequency of 58.2% (78/134) compared to chevon which had a listerial frequency of 41.8% (56/134). Resistance of *L. monocytogenes* to streptomycin and sparfloxacin was 58.2% and 55.2% respectively. Resistance to ampicillin (34.3%) and gentamicin (20.9%) was also observed. Resistances to multiple antimicrobials were detected in 11 *L. monocytogenes* isolates.

Conclusion: The study demonstrated that the raw meat sold in Lafia was contaminated with several *Listeria* sp. *L. monocytogenes* showed high rate of resistance to several antimicrobial agents used for the treatment of listerial infection. Appropriate regulation and monitoring of livestock rearing and meat retailing practices are advocated to safeguard the health of consumers.


Introduction

*Listeria monocytogenes* is a facultative anaerobic bacterium which can grow and reproduce inside the host’s cells, making it one of the most virulent food-borne pathogens. Unlike most other food-borne pathogens it can grow and multiply at a very low temperatures [1,2]. *L. monocytogenes* has been typed into four serotypes of which only three (1/2a, 1/2b, 4b) are involved in 95% of all human listeriosis cases [3].

*Listeria monocytogenes* is a constant challenge for the food industry, health regulatory officials and
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It belongs to the genus *Listeria* which is widely distributed in the environment. The genus currently includes a total of seven species namely *L. monocytogenes, L. ivanovii, L. innocua, L. seeligeri, L. murrayi, L. grayi* and *L. welshimeri* [4]. Of these species, *L. monocytogenes* and *L. ivanovii* are the only species found to be pathogenic to humans and other animals [5].

*L. monocytogenes* is a constant challenge for the food industry, health regulatory officials and
consumers [6] since it remains as one of the most virulent foodborne pathogens for immunodeficient individuals. It has been extensively studied over the past few decades due to its high case/fatality rate (20-30%), chronic infection resulting in high healthcare cost and its ability to survive for longer periods under adverse environmental conditions than many other non-spore-forming bacteria [7].

In man, outbreaks usually occur following consumption of unpasteurized milk, contaminated cheeses and other dairy products. Reports of outbreaks have also followed ingestion of undercooked meat and poultry [8]. It is frequently present in the gut of cattle, poultry and pigs and can be transmitted through ready-to-eat (RTE) foods or raw meat products [9]. *Listeria* species are isolated from a diversity of environmental sources, including decaying vegetation, soil, water, effluents, variety of foods, and the faeces of humans and animals [10].

*L. monocytogenes* is a major contaminant of RTE food and food products. Packaged raw foods can represent a potential source of contamination, and listeriosis is associated with the consumption of such undercooked raw foods [11]. Major changes in food production, processing and distribution, increased use of refrigeration as a primary preservation method, changes in eating habits particularly towards ready-to-eat foods are suggested as possible reasons for the emergence of human food-borne listeriosis [12].

While several studies have reported antibiotic resistance in bacterial isolates from human beings, it is becoming evident that food produced from farm animals is no longer exempted from antibiotic resistant bacteria [13]. Thus, the food microflora is not separated from its human counterpart in cases of antibiotic resistance. The occurrence of infection by antibiotic resistant organisms makes treatment difficult and increases the period of recovery from illness [14]. This situation has been worsened by the indiscriminate use of common broad spectrum antibiotics as prophylaxis and growth promoters in animal feed, particularly in developing nations [14,15].

There has been a dearth of information on the epidemiology of listeriosis in most African countries, including Nigeria [16] with only few reports, when compared to other developed regions like Europe and United States of America [17]. This is because the organism seems not to have been given as much attention as is required [18,19]. Listeriosis is considered a serious health problem due to its high mortality rate and severity of symptoms. Despite the foregoing and the continuous observation of the emergence of antibiotic resistant strains of *Listeria*, there is little or no documented reports of its prevalence and its antibiotic susceptibility profile in Lafia of Nasarawa state of Nigeria.

**Methods**

**Study area and period:** This study was conducted in Lafia, Nasarawa state which lies between latitude 8°25′ 40″N to 8°34′ 15″N and longitude 8°24′ 25″E to 8°38′ 19″E in the guinea savannah region of North-Central Nigeria. Lafia is a large town in Nasarawa state with an estimated population of 330,712 [20]. The study was carried out from June to August which witnessed increased slaughtering of animals in commemoration of the Eid il-Fitr celebration in the month of July, 2016.

**Sample collection:** Preliminary investigation identified the Shinge abattoir, open markets (Lafia old market and Modern market) and hawkers as major sources of retail fresh raw meat within Lafia. A total of 104 samples comprising of 52 raw beef samples and 52 raw chevon samples were collected randomly from the four identified sources in the morning hours to prevent effects of changing temperatures on microbial population. The meat samples were bought and packaged as they are sold to other consumers, appropriately labeled and transported within 90 minutes to the laboratory for analysis. Contamination of the meat samples by other materials or sources such as collector’s hand was avoided.

**Isolation of Listeria sp:** Isolation of *Listeria* from the meat samples were based on the method described by Ndahi *et al.* [21] and Adikwu *et al.* [19] with some modifications. Aseptically, 10g of each sample was added to 90 ml Listeria Enrichment broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) containing Listeria Selective Enrichment Supplement. The mixture was homogenized for 2 minutes in a blender
Listeria species were isolated on Listeria Selective Agar (Oxoid) using pour plate method, by transferring 1 ml of the overnight supplement culture into molten Listeria Selective agar and incubating for 48 hours at 37°C, after which the plates were examined for the presence of listeria-like growths.

**Identification of Listeria sp:** Listeria was identified by standard methods as previously described [22,23]. Suspected colonies were identified by Gram stain, motility, catalase reaction, haemolysin production, indole, urease, CAMP (Christie, Atkins, and Munch-Peterson) and sugar fermentation (rhamnose, mannose, xylose and mannitol) tests.

**Antimicrobial susceptibility test:** Antibiotic susceptibility of the isolated L. monocytogenes was determined by the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method on Mueller-Hinton Agar [19,25]. The antibiotics used include erythromycin (15µg), streptomycin (10µg), co-trimoxazole (1.25/23.75µg), rifampicin (5µg), nalidixic acid (30µg), ciprofloxacin (5µg), ampicillin (10µg), gentamicin (10µg), chloramphenicol (30µg), sparfloxacin (5µg) and ofloxacin (5µg). A broth culture of at least 18 hours old was diluted using sterile distilled water and standardized to match 0.5 McFarland standards (approximately 10⁸ cfu/ml). The culture was inoculated onto dried Mueller-Hinton Agar (MHA, Oxoid) plate to create a lawn. Antibiotic discs were then placed on the seeded agar surfaces and the plates incubated for 24 hours at 37°C, after which the diameter (in mm) of the inhibition zone around each disk was measured and interpreted according to the Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) guidelines using the break points of Staphylococcus species [25].

**Statistical analysis:** IBM SPSS Statistics version 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA; 2013) was used to analyse results obtained. Pearson’s chi-square test was used to determine significance of associations between variables. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

The prevalence of Listeria species isolated from 104 samples is shown in Table-1. L. monocytogenes had the highest prevalence rate of 64.4% (67/104) while L. grayi had the lowest rate of 2.9% (3/104). L. ivanovii was isolated from 21.2% samples. Mixed contamination with more than one species was observed in some samples. L. monocytogenes was isolated from 42 (80.8%) and 25 (48.1%) of beef and chevon samples respectively. Differences in L. monocytogenes contamination was statistically significant (p<0.01). Beef samples had the highest listerial presence of 58.2% (78/134) against 41.8% (56/134) in chevon samples.

Table-2 shows the distribution of Listeria spp isolated from raw beef samples collected from different locations. L. monocytogenes was most frequently isolated in sample sources, having a frequency of 76.9% (10/13) in both Shinge abattoir and Street hawker samples, and 86.4% (11/13) in samples from Lafia old market and Lafia modern market. The second most isolated species from Shinge abattoir and Street hawker samples was L.

### Table-1: Types of Listeria species isolated from beef (n=52) and chevon samples (n=52)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Listeria species</th>
<th>Beef n (%)</th>
<th>Chevon n (%)</th>
<th>Total n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L. monocytogenes*</td>
<td>42 (80.8)</td>
<td>25 (48.1)</td>
<td>67 (64.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. ivanovii</td>
<td>12 (23.1)</td>
<td>10 (19.2)</td>
<td>22 (21.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. innocua</td>
<td>10 (19.2)</td>
<td>10 (19.2)</td>
<td>20 (19.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. seeligeri</td>
<td>3 (5.8)</td>
<td>6 (11.5)</td>
<td>9 (8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. welshimeri</td>
<td>4 (7.7)</td>
<td>2 (3.9)</td>
<td>6 (5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. grayi</td>
<td>2 (3.9)</td>
<td>1 (1.9)</td>
<td>3 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. murrayi</td>
<td>5 (9.6)</td>
<td>2 (3.9)</td>
<td>7 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>78 (58.2)</strong></td>
<td><strong>56 (41.8)</strong></td>
<td><strong>134 (100)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Some samples yielded more than one species; * p<0.005
Ivanovii with frequencies of 38.5% (5/13) and 30.8% (4/13) respectively. L. inocua were the second most isolated species in both Lafia old market and Lafia modern market with frequencies of 23.1% (3/13) respectively. At most, only one Listeria spp type was absent from each sample source. L. monocytogenes, L. ivanovii and L. innocua were isolated from all the collection sites. Beef samples from Shinge abattoir had the highest number of listeria contaminants (28.2%; 22/78), followed by Lafia modern market and street hawkers which had the same number of listeria contaminants (24.4%; 19/78). Lafia old market had the least number of listeria contaminants (23.1%; 18/78). Contamination rate in the respective sources were however, not different statistically (p>0.05).

Table 3 shows the distribution of Listeria species in raw chevon samples collected from Shinge and Lafia old market.

A total 67 L. monocytogenes isolates were tested for susceptibility to different antimicrobial agents. Resistance to nalidixic acid, co-trimoxazole and sparfloxacin was 100%, 58.2% and 55.2% respectively (Table 4). Susceptibility rate of 76.1%, 65.7%, 61.2% and 55.2% was observed with rifampicin, ampicillin, gentamicin and erythromycin respectively. Eleven L. monocytogenes strains showed resistance to more than one antibiotic.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Listeria species</th>
<th>Bees samples collected from</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shinge (n=13) n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. monocytogenes*</td>
<td>10 (76.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. ivanovii</td>
<td>5 (38.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. innocua</td>
<td>3 (23.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. seeligeri</td>
<td>1 (7.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. welshimeri</td>
<td>1 (7.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. grayi</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. murrayi</td>
<td>2 (15.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n=78)</td>
<td>22 (28.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Differences not statistically significant (p>0.05). Some samples yielded more than one species.
Discussion
Results of this study revealed a high prevalence of *L. monocytogenes* in raw beef and chevon sold in Lafia. The prevalence rate of *Listeria* species observed in this study was lower than the 95.8% prevalence rate reported in vegetable salads in Zaria, Kaduna state [24] but higher than the 39.6% and 7.8% observed in Sokoto [26] and in Makurdi, Benue state [19]. The high *L. monocytogenes* contamination observed in the raw meat samples was in concordance with an earlier report where 14 out of the 15 *Listeria* species isolated were *L. monocytogenes* [27]. Similarly, the high prevalence of *L. monocytogenes* in beef samples confirms an earlier report [17].

The present study appears to be the first investigation regarding presence of *Listeria* sp in retailed meat within Nasarawa state. The high prevalence of *Listeria* in the two widely consumed meats raises an issue of serious public health importance. It is possible that cases of listeriosis may have been misdiagnosed across health centers in the study area since they do not include investigations for listeria infection in clinical specimens. Some of the symptoms associated with the disease onset such as gastroenteritis, headache, fatigue, muscular and joint pain are similar to those of typhoid fever [28]. Moreover, not much appears to be known about this organism in Nigeria and most African countries [16].

The least common listeria isolate was *L. grayi* while the most observed was *L. monocytogenes*. This was in contrast with an earlier report [26] where *L. seeligeri* and *L. innocua* were the least and the most observed listerial contaminants respectively. Listerial contamination of beef was highest in samples from the Shinge abattoir. Contamination was higher in beef than chevon, an observation that was also reported by earlier studies [22,29]. Although not determined in this study, the difference in contamination between the two meat types might have been influenced by factors such as pH and water activity (a_w). For instance, *L. monocytogenes* is known to survive at a pH of <4.3 and water activity of <0.930 [30]. The high rate of *Listeria* contaminants identified in beef samples from this source could be due to unhygienic practices such as slaughtering and preparing of meat on bare floor, poor drainage system, use of contaminated water, poor facility maintenance, illiteracy and lack of hygiene awareness by the handlers, as well as improper storage facilities. Vending of these meats is mostly done without any covering, thus exposing the meats to high rate of microbial contamination. Adoption of proper methods during slaughtering of animals have been suggested as a means of considerably reducing presence of listeria in meats [31,32].

Chevon samples from Lafia old market had less listerial contaminants than those from Shinge abattoir. This could be due to double-washing process practiced in Lafia old market; the meats are washed after slaughtering and before sales to butchers (retailers), unlike at Shinge abattoir where this is not practiced. The practice of repeated

### Table 4: Susceptibility pattern of *L. monocytogenes* to selected antimicrobial agents (N=67)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANTIBIOTICS</th>
<th>Susceptible n (%)</th>
<th>Intermediate n (%)</th>
<th>Resistant n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Streptomycin</td>
<td>9 (13.4)</td>
<td>19 (28.4)</td>
<td>39 (58.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-trimoxazole</td>
<td>23 (34.3)</td>
<td>10 (14.9)</td>
<td>34 (50.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloramphenicol</td>
<td>23 (34.3)</td>
<td>12 (17.9)</td>
<td>32 (47.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparfloxacin</td>
<td>22 (32.8)</td>
<td>14 (20.9)</td>
<td>37 (55.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>30 (44.8)</td>
<td>26 (38.8)</td>
<td>11 (16.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>44 (65.7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>23 (34.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamycin</td>
<td>41 (61.1)</td>
<td>12 (17.9)</td>
<td>14 (20.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ofloxacin</td>
<td>30 (44.8)</td>
<td>6 (9.0)</td>
<td>31 (46.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifampicin</td>
<td>51 (76.1)</td>
<td>5 (7.5)</td>
<td>11 (16.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>37 (55.2)</td>
<td>14 (20.9)</td>
<td>16 (23.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nalidixic acid</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>67 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In contrast with an earlier report [26] where *L. seeligeri* and *L. innocua* were the least and the most observed listerial contaminants respectively. Listerial contamination of beef was highest in samples from the Shinge abattoir. Contamination was higher in beef than chevon, an observation that was also reported by earlier studies [22,29]. Although not determined in this study, the difference in contamination between the two meat types might have been influenced by factors such as pH and water activity (a_w). For instance, *L. monocytogenes* is known to survive at a pH of <4.3 and water activity of <0.930 [30]. The high rate of *Listeria* contaminants identified in beef samples from this source could be due to unhygienic practices such as slaughtering and preparing of meat on bare floor, poor drainage system, use of contaminated water, poor facility maintenance, illiteracy and lack of hygiene awareness by the handlers, as well as improper storage facilities. Vending of these meats is mostly done without any covering, thus exposing the meats to high rate of microbial contamination. Adoption of proper methods during slaughtering of animals have been suggested as a means of considerably reducing presence of listeria in meats [31,32].

Chevon samples from Lafia old market had less listerial contaminants than those from Shinge abattoir. This could be due to double-washing process practiced in Lafia old market; the meats are washed after slaughtering and before sales to butchers (retailers), unlike at Shinge abattoir where this is not practiced. The practice of repeated
washing might have enhanced the removal of surface contaminants from the meat obtained from Lafia old market.

Findings also showed that the isolated *L. monocytogenes* was either sensitive or intermediate sensitive to most of the antimicrobial agents tested. Susceptibility to some antibiotics and the multiple antimicrobial resistance observed in this study is similar to earlier reports [19,21,24]. Almost all the studied strains were susceptible to a wide range of antibiotics but completely resistant to nalidixic acid. This observation is in agreement with the earlier reports [33,34]. Resistance to nalidixic acid justifies addition of nalidixic acid into selective media for the isolation of *L. monocytogenes*. Susceptibility to some antibiotics and the multiple antimicrobial resistance observed in this study is similar to earlier reports [19,21,24]. Almost all the studied strains were susceptible to a wide range of antibiotics but completely resistant to nalidixic acid. This observation is in agreement with the earlier reports [33,34]. Resistance to nalidixic acid justifies addition of nalidixic acid into selective media for the isolation of *L. monocytogenes*. Susceptibility to some antibiotics and the multiple antimicrobial resistance observed in this study is similar to earlier reports [19,21,24].
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