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Abstract:

Pseudomyxoma peritonei (PMP) is rare clinical entity characterized by abundant extracellular mucinous material in the peritoneal cavity and tumoural implants on the peritoneal surfaces. The origin of PMP is controversial. It often arises from the goblet cells of large bowel or appendix. There is increase in MUC-2 secreting cells and accumulation of excessive mucin secreted by these cells in the peritoneal cavity. A ruptured appendiceal mucinous adenoma is the most common origin. Low grade ovarian malignancy is often associated. Patient may present with growing abdominal masses associated with abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, fatigue and weight loss. Ultrasonogram and CT scan of the abdomen have role in diagnosis but diagnosis is often difficult before laparotomy or laparoscopy. Debulking surgery with intraperitoneal hyperthermic chemotherapy is the treatment.
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Introduction:

Pseudomyxoma peritonei (PMP) is an uncommon condition characterized by abundant extracellular mucinous material in the peritoneal cavity and tumoural implants on the peritoneal and epiploic surfaces. It was first described by Rokitansky in 1842. Werth in 1884 observed an association with ovarian mucinous tumour and gave the name of Pseudomyxoma peritonei because of chemical differences with other types of mucin that has been analysed before. Biochemical analysis of the mucinous material of PMP revealed 95% water and 2% acetasoluble lipid. The remainder was composed of glycoprotein principally galactose and mannosamines. The clinical presentation of Pseudomyxoma peritonei (PMP) is caused by overwhelming increase in MUC-2 secreting cells and accumulation of excessive mucin secreted by these cells in the peritoneal cavity with no place of drainage. The accumulated mucinous material gives the appearance of gelatinous masses. So the condition is called “Jelly belly”. PMP present at laparatomy with septate gelatinous masses (Figure-1). PMP has the presenting features that mimic some common disease such as appendicitis. Ovarian tumour, growing abdominal mass, hydrocele and scrotal mass. After having many investigations, laparotomy often confirms the diagnosis. This review anticle aims to provide informations regarding the Pseudomyxoma peritonei (PMP), the disease of mucinous gelatinous ascites, which is a rare clinical entity.

Epidemiology:

PMP is a relatively rare condition. Incidence is one per million per year and encountered in 2 of 10,000 laparotomies with gelatinous masses. It is three to four times more in women than in men. The median age of presentation is 54 years.

Aetiology:

The origin of PMP is very controversial. It often arises from goblet cells of large bowel or appendix. Over expression of the gene MUC-2 responsible for mucin production.
secretion is noted in PMP for which appendiceal origin has been demonstrated. The association with a appendiceal cystic tumour was reported in 1901 by franckel. Commonly it arises from the mucinous tumour of the appendix and occasionally from the ovary, colon, rectum, stomach, gall bladder, bile duct, small intestine, urinary bladder, urachus, lung, breast, pancreas and fallopian tube. Some times this condition arises from retroperitoneal tissue, which is known as pseudomyxoma extraperitonei.

**PATHOLOGY**:

Pseudomyxoma peritonei is characterized by mucin accumulation in the peritoneal cavity and mucinous implants are found on all peritoneal surfaces and the omentum. There is increase in MUC-2 secreting cells and accumulation of excessive mucin secreted by these cells in the peritoneal cavity with no place of drainage. The origin of the tumour is frequently undiscovered. The most common pathology is development of a mucinous adenoma in the appendix leading to obstruction and rupture of the appendix with dissemination of the tumour cells in the peritoneal cavity. Peritoneal cavity in filled with septate jelly like fluid. The accumulated mucinous material gives the appearance of gelatinous masses. So the condition is called jelly belly. The peritoneum is studded with thick nodules full of jelly like material. Small intestine is spared but pelvic cavity is involved. Appendix and ovaries may not be visualized due to frozen structures. The mucin sets on the peritoneal surfaces, give compression on the vessels, hepatic hilum, gastro intestinal tract and other abdominal structures. There is distortion and impaired function of the visceral organs in the abdomen. The gelatinous mucinous material may be diffusely distributed in the abdominal cavity. The gelatinous substance at hepatic hilum can cause obstruction of the biliary tract. Successively the mucous can solidify, generating an intestinal occlusion. On the liver surface there is plenty of solidified masses and the omentum looks like a white mass, very hard, like a stone. Sometimes the mucinous material can localize to the right iliac quadrant of abdomen. Histopathology can demonstrate well differentiated mucinous adenocarcinoma of the appendix and presence of areas of adenocarcinoma in the mucous. This low grade malignancy can spread through the peritoneal cavity. Visceral invasion is rare and metastatic spread by haemotogenous or lymphatic route is not seen. Metastatic spread to lungs by transdiaphragmatic seeding has been reported.

**Classification of Peritoneal Gelatinous Disease**:

Two classifications have been proposed (Table-1). The first one is proposed by sugarbaker et al who subdivided these diseases into four grades according to the degree of malignancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Pathological Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Disseminated peritoneal adenomucinosis</td>
<td>Mucin with no malignant cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Due to rupture of an appendiceal mucinous cystadenoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Peritoneal mucinous carcinomatosis</td>
<td>Presence of tumoural cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No severe atypia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No important mitosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Peritoneal mucinous carcinomatosis</td>
<td>Mucinous adenocarcinoma with at least 80% of mucine OR “Classical” adenocarcinoma with smaller amount of mucine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mitoses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Atypical cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pluristratified disposition of peripheral cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Peritoneal mucinous carcinomatosis</td>
<td>Invasion of neighbouring organs by tumoural cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Possible zones of necrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Severe cellular atypia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Intense mitotic activity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Grade 0-** Disseminated peritoneal adenomucinosis. Mucin with no malignant cells. Due to rupture of an appendiceal mucinous cyst adenoma.

**Grade 1-** Peritoneal mucinous carcinomatosis. Presence of tumoural cells. No severe atypia. No important mitosis.

**Grade 2-** Peritoneal mucinous carcinomatosis. Mucinous adenocarcinoma with at least 80% of mucin or classical adenocarcinoma with smaller amount of mucin. Mitosis is present. Atypical cells are present. Pluristratified disposition of peripheral cells.

**Grade 3-** Peritoneal mucinous carcinomatosis. Invasion of neighboring organs by tumoural cells. Possible zones of necrosis, severe cellular atypia and intense mitotic actions is present.

The second classification makes the distinction between benign and malignant histologies. Benign cases are called "disseminated peritoneal adenomucinosis". They correspond to grade 0 of the first classification and are associated with ruptured appendiceal mucocele. When malignant features are present, the term "peritoneal mucinous carcinomatosis" is used and corresponds to the grade 1 to 3.

Ronnett et al proposed to reserve the term pseudomyxoma peritonei (PMP) for benign histologies and correspond to the disseminated peritoneal adenomucinosis. When malignant features are present, it would be classified as peritoneal mucinous carcinomatosis.

**Clinical Features:**

In a series of 217 cases of PMP, Esquivel et al found suspicion of acute appendicitis as the most common presentation of PMP (27% of cases). A growing abdominal mass was the first symptom in 23% of cases. For women exploration of an ovarian mass was the most common way to make the diagnosis of PMP (39% of cases). Few patients present with new onset inguinal hernia. Nausea, vomiting, fatigue and urinary symptoms can occur. Patient may present with false hydrocele or a scrotal mass. Clinical examination can reveal an abdominal mass. An induration of the cul-de-sac of Douglas can be detected by the per rectal digital examination. Extra-peritoneal presentation such as in the pleura, the lung or the pericardial space are less common.
Investigation:

Diagnosis of PMP is often difficult to make before laparotomy or laparoscopy. Laparotomy can reveal a large, mesenteric, septate, gelatinous masses. Plain radiograph gives some features, such as central displacement of intestines, obliteration of psoas shadow, calcification within the gelatinous masses. Contrast radiograph shows the lack of bowel involvement and this describes the non-invasive behaviour of the PMP. Ultrasonography of abdomen detects immobile ascites. Gelatinous masses can mark the hepatic and splenetic margins (“Scalloping”) by extrinsic pressure. Echoendoscopy can be useful. A computed tomographic (CT) scan of the abdomen reveals multiple septate, low attenuated masses throughout the peritoneal space with scalloping of the hepatic and splenetic margin. The mucinous material has a similar density to fat. Sometimes progressive punctuate calcification can be found (Figure-II). FNAC will reveal the pathological character of the mucin secreting cells (Figure-III). MRI is useful in studying the involvement of the viscera.

Tumour Markers:

The utility of tumour markers in PMP has not been largely studied. CEA and CA 19.9 is frequently elevated in PMP. CEA is high in 75% cases and CA 19.9 is high in 58% cases. After treatment both markers are diminished but CA 19.9 is more sensitive for detecting the recurrence of the disease. Evidence in favour of an elevation of CA 125 levels in PMP is anecdotic. Over expression of the gene MUC-2 responsible for mucin secretion is noted in PMP for which appendiceal origin has been demonstrated.

Ascitic Fluid Analysis: Cytology:

Analysis of the ascetic fluid is often disappointing. Most of the time only low quantities of mucous can be harvested with a few cells. These cells often have benign features. The lack of malignant cells in the ascites does not exclude a carcinomatosis.

Management:

Debulking surgery

Debulking surgery has long been considered the treatment of choice for PMP. Most of the mucinous material has to be removed. An appendectomy is systematically performed with histopathological examination. Recurrences are frequent and more than one operation is often necessary. Subsequent operations are difficult because of adhesions and septation of the gelatinous masses by fibrosis.

Ultraradical surgery

The entire peritoneum is inspected and every lesion is excised by diathermy. The expectation is total eradication of PMP lesions. Sugarbaker is the most fervent proponent of this mode of treatment. He frequently performs an antrectomy or a total gastrectomy a rectosigmoidectomy, a right hemicolectomy or a cholecystectomy. This ultra radical surgery is associated with systemic and intraperitoneal chemotherapy. The result is good with 5 years survival rate of 69% (all histological features included).

Laparoscopic surgery

Raj et al proposed laparoscopic surgery for the treatment of PMP. Appendectomy is performed and mucinous material is taken up with a cannula after introduction of a 5% dextrose solution and followed by intraperitoneal chemotherapy. It can be an alternative to laparotomy for first intention debulking surgery. Laparoscopic surgery also has the advantage of reducing any adhesions for possible further operation.

Systemic and intraperitoneal chemotherapy associated with surgery.

Smith et al did not observe any differences either with or without systemic chemotherapy associated with surgery. Cough et al noted a lower survival rate with the administration of systemic chemotherapy associated with surgery. This may be due to several factors. Most of the time the tumours are of low grade with a low rate of proliferation. The tumoural cells bathing in an abundant extracellular mucinous matrix have poor vascularization. For these reasons intraperitoneal chemotherapy (IPC) has been proposed. Intraperitoneal chemotherapy (IPC) allows high concentration of cytotoxic agents in the peritoneal cavity without important systemic unwanted effects. The tumoural cells involve abdominal viscera only superficially. Usually intraperitoneal chemotherapy (IPC) is associated with complete debulking surgery or even ultraradical surgery. Most authors agree that IPC improves the survival rate. Hyperthermy is often associated with IPC. Hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy (HIPEC) is administered during operation, allowing the surgeon to facilitate the circulation of a chemotherapeutic agent solution with his hand. Sometimes IPC is given post operatively. Intraperitoneal chemohyperthermia is performed for 30 minutes at 41-42°C using cisplatin (100 mg/m²) and mitomycin-c (25 mg/m²) or 5-flurouracil and
mitomycin. Morbidity for HIPC has been estimated 39% with paralytic ileus for almost all patients, gastric and intestinal perforations, enteric fistula, pancreatitis, pulmonary embolism, abdominal pain and intra-abdominal abscess in some patients. Leucopenia and thrombocytopenia can occur but there occurs no nephrotoxicity and no cardiotoxicity. Leukocyte fall occurs two days after operation and resolve in three days using filgastrin.\(^7\,21\)

Radiotherapy

External irradiation was previously used for PMP but the results were disappointing and the abdominal morbidity was intolerable. Cough et al observed a positive effect on the survival rate for the preoperative intraperitoneal administration of P\(^{158}\) and Au\(^7\) radioisotopes.\(^20\)

Mucolytic Agents

Many authors recommend the use of mucolytic agents to allow easy drainage of the mucinous material during a palliative operation. Shyr et al tested dextrose 5% and 10% solution but observed no true mucolytic effects.\(^22\)

Prognosis:

Prognosis is dependant on the histopathological features of the peritoneal gelatinous disease. The mayo clinic team high lights a global survival rate of 53% with a larger median time before recurrence when they performed a resection of all macroscopic tumours. Survival is negatively influenced by abdominal distension, the diffuse character of PMP and a history of loss of weight. Ronnett et al observed 75% and 68% of 5 and 10 years survival rate respectively for cases of diffuse peritoneal adenomucinosis, 14% and 3% for cases of peritoneal mucinous carcinomatosis.\(^22\)

Conclusion:

Low incidence of PMP makes it difficult to study the disease and to evaluate the real efficiency of the various modalities of treatment. It is a disease of much morbidity and most of the patients die after 5 years of disease. Debulking surgery with hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy (HIPC) clearly improves survival rate in PMP. Ultra radical surgery could be an attractive mode of treatment.
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