Doi: https://doi.org/10.3329/ewmcj.v14i2.90083





*Editorial*

# PERIOPERATIVE SAFETY IN THE ERA OF TECHNOLOGICAL ACCELERATION

**Rashid MH[1], Muhib MM[2]**

**Article History:**
Received: 13 May 2026
Accepted: 21 May 2026

## Abstract

***Background:*** *Rapid technological acceleration is transforming perioperative care through the integration of robotic surgery, artificial intelligence (AI), digital monitoring systems, wearable sensors, electronic checklists, and clinical decision-support tools. While these innovations improve precision and efficiency, they also introduce emerging safety challenges that require adaptation of conventional perioperative safety frameworks.*

***Objective:*** *To explore the impact of evolving digital technologies on perioperative safety, identify associated risks and limitations, and highlight strategies for maintaining patient-centred surgical safety in technologically advanced healthcare environments.* ***Discussion:*** *Technological advances in perioperative medicine have enhanced visualization, surgical dexterity, monitoring capabilities, and predictive risk assessment. AI-assisted systems and surgical robotics contribute to improved surgical planning, reduced morbidity, and enhanced procedural precision. However, increasing dependence on automation introduces vulnerabilities including training deficiencies, cognitive overload, cybersecurity threats, interface complexity, and potential system failures. Variability in AI performance across specialties and healthcare settings further emphasizes the need for standardized validation and regulatory oversight. Despite digital transformation, human factors such as communication, teamwork, situational awareness, and clinical judgment remain central to perioperative safety. Continuous clinician oversight, simulation-based training, interdisciplinary collaboration, ethical governance, cybersecurity measures, and ongoing audit systems are essential for safe integration of emerging technologies into surgical practice. Emphasis should also be placed on equitable access and cost-effectiveness to ensure that technological innovations improve safety across both resource-rich and resource-limited settings.*

***Conclusion:*** *Technological innovation is becoming an essential component of modern perioperative care. However, advancement in surgical technology must be accompanied by equally rigorous safety strategies. The future of perioperative medicine depends on achieving effective human–machine synergy while preserving patient-centred care, ethical accountability, and robust safety standards.*

**Keywords:**
Surgical Safety, Technology, Artificial Intelligence AI

***EWMCJ Vol. 14, No. 2, July 2026: 94-98***

## Introduction:

Technological innovation has become the emerging defining force in contemporary surgery. From robotic platforms, AI-assisted imaging, electronic checklists, wearable sensors, clinical decision-support systems, to real-time analytics and enhanced monitoring systems, perioperative care is evolving faster than ever before. While these advances promise unprecedented precision and efficiency, they also introduce new challenges that demand recalibration of traditional safety frameworks.[1,2]

The operating room is no longer a purely manual environment. Automation and digital integration have created a hybrid ecosystem in which human expertise and machine intelligence coexist. This transformation raises a critical question: Are we advancing perioperative safety at the same pace as we are advancing technology?

1. Dr. Md. Humayun Rashid, Assistant Professor, Department of Neurosurgery, East-West Medical College, Dhaka.
2. Dr. Mushtaq Mutashid Muhib, Honorary Research Assistant, East West Medical College, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

**Address of Correspondence:** Dr. Md. Humayun Rashid, Assistant Professor, Department of Neurosurgery, East-West Medical College, Dhaka; Phone: +8801717015031; E-mail: dr.humayunsagor@gmail.com, Orcid ID: 0000-0002-8480-4158

**The Benefits and the Blind Spots**

Enhanced visualization, better ergonomics, digital monitoring, rapid decision-making, and improved dexterity have allowed surgeons to perform complex procedures with reduced morbidity and operative complexity. AI-driven prediction models help identify high-risk patients before complications occur. Wearable sensors, closed-loop anaesthesia systems, and smart alarm algorithms are reshaping perioperative monitoring, while AI-powered decision-support systems are improving perioperative risk prediction and surgical planning.[3,4,5]

Surgical robotics and digital twinning technologies are increasing procedural precision and creating a new dimension of risk modelling. Technological advances are also helping to reduce research gaps and shape future directions in perioperative medicine. [4,5]

Yet every innovation introduces a new layer of vulnerability. Over-reliance on automation, training gaps, cybersecurity threats, and unfamiliar user interfaces can jeopardize safety if not properly addressed. Technology can reduce human error, but it can also amplify it when systems fail or are misused. [6,7]

Moreover, variations in AI performance across different surgical specialties and healthcare settings necessitate further research to establish standardized protocols and assess the long-term impact of AI-driven interventions. [4] According to the World Health Organization, complications from surgical care are responsible for over 7 million disabling complications and at least 1 million deaths globally each year, many of which are preventable through better communication, teamwork, and monitoring protocols.

An evolved healthcare system such as the United States still accounts for more than 1 death per 100 patients within 30 days of an operative procedure, while nearly 10% of surgical patients experience postoperative complications. Procedure-related mortality within 30 days remains one of the leading causes of death in the United States.

**Human Factors Remain Central**

Despite technological transformation, perioperative safety continues to depend heavily on human behaviour, including communication, coordination, situational awareness, teamwork, and decision-making under pressure. The introduction of advanced devices does not eliminate cognitive load; rather, it often increases it. The surgeon remains the "radar of the ship." Surgeons and anesthesiologists must now balance clinical judgment with digital input, sometimes from systems that function as "black boxes".[6]

Modern technologies require continuous validation, which depends largely on the expertise and critical oversight of clinicians. Training, simulation-based learning, and structured credentialing must evolve to reflect the complexity of modern surgical environments. Investment in human factors engineering should be considered as essential as investment in technological hardware. [5,6]

**Bridging the Gap: Safety in a Rapidly Evolving Landscape**

Despite these promising developments, challenges remain in fully integrating AI into surgical practice. Ethical concerns, data privacy, regulatory constraints, and the need for rigorous clinical validation continue to pose barriers to widespread adoption. [1,5]

To maintain and enhance perioperative safety and the validity of health information technology, healthcare systems must adopt proactive strategies:

- Robust Training Pipelines: Mandatory technology-specific credentialing and simulation training before clinical implementation, along with evaluation of long-term outcomes.
- Interdisciplinary Safety Protocols: Proper integration among engineers, anesthesiologists, surgeons, nurses, and IT specialists is crucial for effective perioperative planning and improved outcomes.
- Ethical and Cybersecure Design: Ensuring protection of patient privacy, maintaining transparency, and safeguarding data-rich platforms.
- Continuous Audit and Reporting: Real-world performance data should be continuously monitored and refined through surgical audits, benchmarking, and comparative analyses.
- Human–Machine Synergy, Not Substitution: AI should augment, rather than replace, human clinical judgment and validation.
- Equity and Cost-Effectiveness: Digital solutions should narrow rather than widen the gap between resource-rich and resource-limited settings. Technologies supporting remote mentoring, simulation-based training, and low-resource risk modelling can democratize access to safer surgical care.

Surgical validation scores (SURVAS) and other novel audit markers are increasingly important in monitoring outcomes and safety indices. Ongoing research and development will be crucial for unlocking the full potential of AI in improving surgical outcomes, patient safety, and healthcare cost-effectiveness.[1,5]

**Case Based Scenarios:**

**Prevention of Retained Surgical Instrument**

A 42-year-old woman underwent emergency exploratory laparotomy for perforated appendicitis with generalized peritonitis. The procedure was technically challenging because of dense adhesions and significant intraoperative bleeding. During abdominal closure, the scrub nurse noted a discrepancy in the surgical sponge count, with one sponge unaccounted for.

The surgical team immediately halted wound closure and initiated the institutional retained foreign body protocol. Manual exploration of the operative field failed to identify the missing sponge. An intraoperative radiograph was subsequently performed and revealed a radiopaque surgical sponge within the pelvic cavity. The sponge was removed before final closure, and repeat counts were confirmed as correct.

The patient recovered without postoperative complications and was discharged on postoperative day five.

This case demonstrates the importance of standardized counting procedures, effective communication between operating room personnel, and the use of adjunct technologies such as intraoperative imaging to prevent retained surgical items. Retained foreign bodies remain preventable causes of postoperative morbidity and medicolegal consequences. Surgical safety depends not only on technical skill but also on systematic verification protocols and team vigilance.[8-10]

**Prevention of Wrong-Site Surgery Through Standardized Perioperative Safety Protocols**

A 56-year-old male patient with symptomatic lumbar disc prolapse was admitted for elective lumbar microdiscectomy at the L4–L5 level. Preoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) confirmed a left-sided L4–L5 disc herniation causing nerve root compression. The patient had a history of hypertension and type 2 diabetes mellitus but was otherwise medically optimized for surgery.

On the day of surgery, the patient was transferred to the operating theatre after standard preoperative assessment. During preparation, the circulating nurse identified a discrepancy between the operating schedule, which stated “right-sided lumbar procedure,” and the surgeon’s operative note documenting a “left-sided L4–L5 microdiscectomy.” The inconsistency was immediately escalated to the surgical team.

A structured perioperative safety protocol was initiated using the World Health Organization (WHO) Surgical Safety Checklist. During the “time-out” phase, the surgeon, anesthesiologist, scrub nurse, and circulating nurse jointly verified the patient’s identity, imaging studies, operative level, and surgical site. The surgeon re-reviewed the MRI in the operating room, confirming that the correct side was left-sided. The surgical site was subsequently re-marked before induction of anesthesia.

Intraoperative fluoroscopy was additionally used to confirm the spinal level prior to incision. The surgery proceeded uneventfully, and the patient recovered without neurological complications. He was discharged on postoperative day three with significant improvement in symptoms.

This scenario demonstrates the critical importance of perioperative safety systems in preventing potentially catastrophic wrong-site surgery. Although technological advances such as electronic scheduling systems and digital imaging improve workflow efficiency, human oversight and interdisciplinary communication remain fundamental to patient safety. The successful prevention of an adverse event in this case relied on teamwork, checklist-based verification, situational awareness, and a culture that encouraged staff to speak up regarding safety concerns.

The case further highlights the complementary role of technology and human factors in perioperative care. Digital tools alone cannot eliminate error unless supported by standardized protocols, effective communication, and continuous vigilance. Incorporation of surgical checklists, simulation-based training, and multidisciplinary safety practices has been shown to significantly reduce perioperative morbidity and mortality.[11,12]

**Prevention of Perioperative Medication Error**

A 67-year-old female patient with ischemic heart disease was scheduled for elective total knee arthroplasty under spinal anesthesia. During

anesthesia preparation, two syringes containing phenylephrine and ephedrine were placed on the anesthesia workstation without standardized colour-coded labels.

Before drug administration, the attending anesthesiologist performed a routine medication cross-check and discovered that the syringe intended for vasopressor support had been incorrectly labelled. Immediate correction prevented accidental administration of the wrong medication.

The surgery proceeded uneventfully, and the patient experienced no adverse cardiovascular events.

This scenario highlights the significance of medication verification protocols, standardized labelling systems, and closed-loop communication in perioperative safety. Medication errors in the operating room may lead to severe complications because of the fast-paced and high-stress environment. Human-factor engineering and safety-oriented workflow design are essential to reducing preventable adverse drug events.

**Prevention of Airway Catastrophe Through Team Communication**

A 38-year-old obese male patient with obstructive sleep apnea was scheduled for laparoscopic cholecystectomy under general anesthesia. Preoperative airway assessment revealed limited neck extension and a Mallampati class IV airway, suggesting potential difficulty with intubation.

During the preoperative briefing, the anesthesiology team identified the patient as a difficult airway candidate and activated the difficult airway protocol. Video laryngoscopy, supraglottic airway devices, and emergency cricothyrotomy equipment were prepared before induction.

Following induction, direct laryngoscopy failed to provide adequate glottic visualization. However, video laryngoscopy enabled successful endotracheal intubation without oxygen desaturation or airway trauma.

**The patient recovered without perioperative complications.**

This case illustrates the importance of preoperative risk assessment, anticipation of complications, and structured communication in preventing airway-related adverse events. Simulation training, crisis resource management, and adherence to airway management guidelines are essential components of perioperative patient safety.[16-18]

**Prevention of Postoperative Sepsis Through Antibiotic Timing**

A 61-year-old diabetic patient underwent elective colorectal surgery for carcinoma of the sigmoid colon. During the preoperative checklist review, the circulating nurse noted that prophylactic antibiotics had not yet been administered despite the patient being prepared for incision.

The anesthesiology team promptly administered intravenous cefazolin and metronidazole within the recommended time window before skin incision. Surgery was completed successfully, and postoperative recovery remained uncomplicated without evidence of surgical site infection.

This case emphasizes the role of perioperative antibiotic stewardship, checklist-based verification, and interdisciplinary coordination in reducing postoperative infectious complications. Timely administration of prophylactic antibiotics is among the most effective evidence-based measures for preventing surgical site infection.[19-21]

**Conclusion**

As surgical care continues to evolve, digital technologies are emerging not as optional additions, but as essential components in building a proactive culture of safety.

Technological acceleration is inevitable and transformative. However, safety cannot become an afterthought. The digital transformation of surgery must be guided by a singular purpose: protecting patients. The future of perioperative care depends on embracing innovation with the same rigour and vigilance that define surgical discipline. As healthcare enters an era of digital-surgical fusion, the priority must remain clear: the future of surgery should not only be smarter and more advanced, but also safer through a patient-centred perspective.

**References:**

1. Amini Rarani S. Smart technologies and digital innovations for improving perioperative patient safety: a review. *Patient Safety in Surgery*. 2025;19:31. doi:10.1186/s13037-025-00454-y.

2. Tan JM, Cannesson M, Feldman JM, Simpao AF, McGrath SP, Khanna AK, Beard JW, McGaffigan P, Cole DJ. Emerging Technology and the Future of Perioperative Care: Perspectives and Recommendations From the 2023 Stoelting

Conference of the Anesthesia Patient Safety Foundation. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*. 2025;141(1):139–151. doi:10.1213/ANE.0000000000007540.

3. Abdalla Osman EI, Mubarak Ismail MME, Hassan Mukhtar MA, Babiker Ahmed AU, Abd Elfrag Mohamed NA, Alamin Ibrahim AA. Artificial Intelligence and Robotics in Minimally Invasive and Complex Surgical Procedures: A Systematic Review. *Cureus*. 2025;17(3):e81339. doi:10.7759/cureus.81339.
4. Kenig N, Monton Echeverria J, Muntaner Vives A. Artificial Intelligence in Surgery: A Systematic Review of Use and Validation. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*. 2024;13(23):7108. doi:10.3390/jcm13237108.
5. Ye J. Patient Safety of Perioperative Medication Through the Lens of Digital Health and Artificial Intelligence. *JMIR Perioperative Medicine*. 2023;6:e34453. doi:10.2196/34453.
6. Catchpole K, Cohen T, Alfred M, Lawton S, Kanji F, Shouhed D, Nemeth L, Anger J. Human Factors Integration in Robotic Surgery. *Human Factors*. 2024;66(3):683–700. doi:10.1177/00187208211068946.
7. Stabile M, Cooper L. The evolving role of information technology in perioperative patient safety. *Canadian Journal of Anesthesia*. 2013;60(2):119–126. doi:10.1007/s12630-012-9851-0.
8. Egorova NN, Moskowitz A, et al. Managing the prevention of retained surgical instruments: what is the value of counting? Annals of Surgery. 2008;247(1):13–18.
9. World Health Organization. WHO guidelines for safe surgery 2009: safe surgery saves lives. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2009.
10. Greenberg CC, Regenbogen SE, et al. Patterns of communication breakdowns resulting in injury to surgical patients. Journal of the American College of Surgeons. 2007;204(4):533–540.
11. Haynes AB, Weiser TG, Berry WR, et al. A surgical safety checklist to reduce morbidity and mortality in a global population. New England Journal of Medicine. 2009;360(5):491–499.
12. Catchpole K, Russ S. The problem with checklists. BMJ Quality & Safety. 2015;24(9):545–549.



**Peer-Review History:**
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://ewmch.com/review/