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## Abstract

Logistic dynamics are frequently encountered in real life problems, especially in population dynamics. Data showing an appearance to follow logistic model may be interpolated by standards methods in numerical analysis. In this paper we discuss a method to fit a curve to such data using the intrinsic analytic properties of the data in terms of least square method and graphic tools in the environment of Mathematica.
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## I. Introduction

Consider the differential equation $\frac{d y}{d t}=a y-c y^{2}$ where $a$ and $c$ are positive constants. This ordinary differential equation is used to describe population dynamics taking overcrowding into an account as an improvement of Malthus Model. Putting $r=a$ and $k=a / c$ we obtain the logistic equation $\frac{d y}{d t}=r y\left(1-\frac{y}{k}\right)$. The constant $r$ is called the intrinsic growth constant and $k$ is the saturation constant. The solution to this ordinary differential equation is given by $y(t)=\frac{k}{1+C e^{-r t}}$. Any real life time dependent data that resembles the graph of the solution is called logistic data. Notice thaty $(t) \rightarrow k$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, since $e^{-r t} \rightarrow$ 0.

## II. Mathematical Formulation

Let a given set of data $S=\left\{\left(t_{i}, y_{i}\right): i=1,2, \ldots \ldots \ldots, n\right\}$. Assume that the data, if plotted gives an impression to follow some solution of a logistic equation ${ }^{3}$. We use the logistic equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y}{d t}=r y\left(1-\frac{y}{k}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $t$ is an independent variable, $y=y(t)$ is the value of $y$ at $t$ and $r$ and $k$ are positive parameters. Using separation of variables, we can solve the differential equation (1) as
$y(t)=\frac{k}{1+C e^{-r t}}$
where $C$ is an arbitrary constant. Differentiating and simplifying (2), we may show
$\frac{d^{2} y}{d t^{2}}=\frac{C k r^{2} e^{r t}\left(C-e^{r t}\right)}{\left(C+e^{r t}\right)^{3}}$
The graph of $y(t)$ has a point of inflection ${ }^{4}$ when the second derivative in (3) vanishes. Assuming the given data shows a pattern having an inflexion at $t=t_{0}$.
i.e., $\frac{d^{2} y}{d t^{2}}=0$ at $t=t_{0}$.

Thus from equation (3), $C-e^{r t_{0}}=0$
$\Rightarrow C=e^{r t_{0}}$, where $t_{0}$ is the time at which inflection occurs.

Then the solution (2) becomes
$y(t)=\frac{k}{1+e^{-r\left(t-t_{0}\right)}}$
Let $h(t)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-r\left(t-t_{0}\right)}}$
From (4) we get, $y(t)=k h(t)$
Computing the sum of the square of the errors occurred in approximating the data set $S$ from the equation (6).
We get, $E_{r}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y\left(t_{i}\right)-y_{i}\right)^{2}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)-y_{1}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(y\left(t_{n}\right)-y_{n}\right)^{2} \\
=\left(k h\left(t_{1}\right)-y_{1}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(k h\left(t_{n}\right)-y_{n}\right)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

$=\left\|<k h\left(t_{1}\right)-y_{1}, \ldots, k h\left(t_{n}\right)-y_{n}>\right\|^{2}$
$=\left\|k<h\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, h\left(t_{n}\right)>-<y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}>\right\|^{2}$

$$
=\|k H-M\|^{2}
$$

where $H=<h\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, h\left(t_{n}\right)>$ and $M=<y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}>$. The vectors $H$ and $M$ are defined according to the definition given by Paul R. Holmas ${ }^{5}$.
$E_{r}=\|k H-M\|^{2}$
$=<k H-M, k H-M>$
$=k^{2}<H, H>-2 k<H, M>+<M, M>$
Clearly, $E_{r}$ contains three parameters namely $k, r, t_{0}$. Minimum error occurs when
$\frac{\partial E_{r}}{\partial k}=0, \frac{\partial E_{r}}{\partial r}=0$, and $\frac{\partial E_{r}}{\partial t_{0}}=0$.
From $\frac{\partial E_{r}}{\partial k}=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Rightarrow 2 k<H, H>-2<H, M>=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Rightarrow k=\frac{\langle H, M\rangle}{\langle H, H\rangle}$
Putting the value of $k$ in (8), we get $E_{r}=<M, M>$ $-\frac{\langle H, M\rangle^{2}}{\langle H, H\rangle}$
Equation (10) contains just two parameters $r, t_{0}$ after elimination of $k$. We will now plot the graph $E_{r}$, as defined by equation (10), as a function of $r$ and $t_{0}$, the graph is a
surface in $R^{3}$ and we locate the minimum point on this "error surface". We begin by defining a domain in the $r t_{0}$ plane, it is a simple matter to determine an interval containing $t_{0}$, as it must lie in the range of the given $t$ data. Thus, considering the data in $S$, the inflection point occurs at $t_{0}$, where $t_{0}$ is some value such that $t_{1} \leq t_{0} \leq t_{2}$. A tricky project is to determine a likely range for the reproductive growth rate $r$. However, from equation (4) $y^{\prime}(t)=\frac{k r e^{-r\left(t-t_{0}\right)}}{\left(1+e^{-r\left(t-t_{0}\right)}\right)^{2}}$

$$
\text { at } \mathrm{t}=t_{0} \text {, we get }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\frac{k r}{4} \Rightarrow r=\frac{4 \dot{y}\left(t_{0}\right)}{k} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the list plot of the data set $S$, we will locate the position of the inflection point roughly and determine the gradient in the neighborhood of the assumed point of inflection by calculating the slope of the line passing through the points immediately following and preceding the point of inflection. Using gradient and taking $k$ as the maximum in the given data, since $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=k$, we determine $r=r_{0}$. Thus it is natural that $r$, falls between an interval centered at $r_{0}$, i.e., $\left|r-r_{0}\right| \leq l, l$ can be suitably chosen from the gradients in the given data. We recommend $\frac{4 \operatorname{Ming}^{\prime}(t)}{\operatorname{Max}(y(t))}<r<\frac{4 \operatorname{Max} y^{\prime}(t)}{\operatorname{Max}(y(t))}$ by using equation (11). For convenience, it may be adjusted suitably as long as it contains $r_{0}$. Then we plot the error surface in the domain $t_{1} \leq t_{0} \leq t_{2}$ and $\left|r-r_{0}\right| \leq l$. From the plot, we locate the position of minimum error. Say minimum error occurs at $r$ and $t=t_{0}$.Mathematica ${ }^{6}$ becomes handy in plotting the error surface and a suitable contour plot to circumvent the co-ordinate of the minimum error with a very small closed contour (Label curves of the error function). Using $r$ and $t_{0}$ from (9) we calculate $k$.

Hence, we get the logistic equation from (4) as

$$
y(t)=\frac{k}{1+e^{-r\left(t-t_{0}\right)}}
$$

## III. Results and Discussion

Now we will follow the above procedure to fit a logistic curve to the following set of data.

Table 1. Biomass (inmm ${ }^{2}$ ) at different time (in days)

| Time | 11 | 15 | 18 | 23 | 26 | 31 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bioma <br> ss | .00476 | .0105 | .0207 | .0619 | .337 | .74 |
| Time | 39 | 44 | 54 | 64 | 74 |  |
| Bioma <br> ss | 1.7 | 2.45 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 5.09 |  |

The program, inputand the corresponding output are given below:
$n=\operatorname{Input}[$ How many entries do you want to put]
Do[x[i]=Input["Values of $x$ " ], $\{\mathrm{i}, 1, \mathrm{n}\}]$

## Do[y[i]=Input["Values of $y$ "], $\{\mathrm{i}, 1, \mathrm{n}\}$ ]

After executing the above code in Mathematica, it is asked for the value of $n$ and the corresponding $x$ (time) and $y$ (biomass). In this case, the following $x$ and $y$ values are given.
$S=\{\{11,0.00476\},\{15,0.0105\},\{18,0.0207\},\{23,0.0619\},\{2$ $6,0.337\},\{31,0.74\},\{39,1.7\},\{44,2.45\},\{54,3.5\},\{64,4.5\},\{7$ 4,5.09\}\}

ListPlot[S,PlotStyle $\rightarrow$ RGBColor $[1,0,0]]$


Fig. 1. Plot of the data listed in Table 1
$\mathrm{h}[\mathrm{t}] \mathrm{]}:=1 /\left(1+\operatorname{Exp}\left[-\mathrm{r}^{*}\left(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{t}_{0}\right)\right]\right)$
$\mathrm{p}=\operatorname{Sum}[\mathrm{y}[\mathrm{i}] * \mathrm{y}[\mathrm{i}],\{\mathrm{i}, 1,11\}] / /$ Simplify;
$\mathrm{q}=\operatorname{Sum}\left[\mathrm{h}[\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{i}]]^{*} \mathrm{y}[\mathrm{i}],\{\mathrm{i}, 1,11\}\right] ;$
$\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{Sum}[\mathrm{h}[\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{i}]] * \mathrm{~h}[\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{i}]],\{\mathrm{i}, 1,11\}]$;
$\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{q} / \mathrm{s}$;
$\operatorname{Er}=\mathrm{p}-\left(\mathrm{q}^{\wedge} 2 / \mathrm{s}\right)$
$\mathrm{r}_{0}=(\mathrm{y}[2]-\mathrm{y}[1]) /(\mathrm{x}[2]-\mathrm{x}[1])$;
$\mathrm{r}_{1}=(\mathrm{y}[2]-\mathrm{y}[1]) /(\mathrm{x}[2]-\mathrm{x}[1]) ;$
$\operatorname{Do}[\mathrm{g}[\mathrm{j}-1]=(\mathrm{y}[\mathrm{j}]-\mathrm{y}[\mathrm{j}-1]) /(\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{j}]-\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{j}-1])$;
$\operatorname{If}\left[\mathrm{r}_{0}<\mathrm{g}[\mathrm{j}-1], \mathrm{r}_{0}=\mathrm{g}[\mathrm{j}-1]\right] ; \mathrm{If}\left[\mathrm{r}_{1}>\mathrm{g}[\mathrm{j}-1]\right.$,
$\left.\left.\mathrm{r}_{1}=\mathrm{g}[\mathrm{j}-1]\right],\{\mathrm{j}, 3,11\}\right]$
$\mathrm{r}_{0}=4 \mathrm{r}_{0} / \mathrm{y}[\mathrm{n}] / / \mathrm{N}$
$\mathrm{r}_{1}=4 \mathrm{r}_{1} / \mathrm{y}[\mathrm{n}] / / \mathrm{N}$
$\operatorname{Plot} 3 D\left[E r,\left\{\mathrm{t}_{0}, 0,76\right\},\left\{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}_{1}, \mathrm{r}_{0}\right\}\right]$
ContourPlot[Er, $\{\mathrm{r}, 0, .6\},\left\{\mathrm{t}_{0}, 0,76\right\}$,
Contours $\rightarrow 150$, Frame $\rightarrow$ True,
FrameLabel $\rightarrow\left\{{\left.\left.\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{t}_{0}\right\}\right]}\right.$
Simplify[k = q/s /. \{r -> .1212, $\left.\left.\mathrm{t}_{0}->45.79\right\}\right]$


Fig. 2. Contour map of the error surface
From figure 2 , we get $r=0.1212, t_{0}=45.79$ by using MATHEMATICA and $k=5.09706$ from equation (9),then

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=\frac{5.09706}{1+257.173 e^{-.1212 t}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 3. Fitting of logistics curve (equation 12) and table 1.

## IV. Conclusion

The method is dedicated for interpolating logistic data that exploit the intrinsic analytic properties. Accuracy of the fitting depends on the precession in choosing the coordinate of the minimum error, encapsulated by label curves, generated by Mathematica ${ }^{7}$. For the particular data we used it. It seems from figure 3 the interpolating curve fits the data better in long term prediction and not very much satisfactory initially.
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