Prognostic Significance of B Type Natriuretic Peptide in Acute Coronary Syndrome
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Acute Coronary syndrome (ACS) is the most common cause of admission to the coronary care unit with highest risk of death and adverse outcomes. ACS accounts for 60–70% of all admissions in the hospital. Patients with ACS encompass a heterogeneous group that varies widely regarding severity of the underlying coronary artery disease, prognosis and response to treatment. Patients with the highest risk of subsequent events usually have the largest benefit of an intensified pharmacological treatment and early mechanical intervention. The prognosis for low-risk patients, on the other hand, is often difficult to improve further and these patients usually benefit more from a conservative management with a lower risk of side effects. Therefore, risk stratification is essential and should be initiated early and updated continuously throughout the hospital stay.

Early risk stratification is usually performed by the use of clinical background factors, clinical presentation, electrocardiography and biochemical markers of myocardial damage. Levels of natriuretic peptides have been shown to reflect cardiac performance. The aim of this study was to review elaborately on B type Natriuretic Peptide (BNP) and its prognostic value in patients with ACS. This review focuses on the emerging role of these peptides in the early risk stratification of ACS patients. Elevation of BNP levels in acute MI and UA is predictive of a greater risk of death, post infarction heart failure, or reinfarction. Post infarction studies demonstrate that elevated plasma BNP levels are associated with larger infarct size, increased probability of ventricular remodeling, lower ejection fraction, higher risk of heart failure, and increased mortality. This cardiac marker is a potent predictor of mortality in patients with all forms ACS. BNP measurements serve as an index of severity of the ischemic injury, as well as the degree of impairment in left ventricular function.
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INTRODUCTION
The acute coronary syndrome (ACS) includes acute myocardial infarction (AMI) with ST segment elevation or depression and unstable angina (UA). This syndrome is a serious health problem because it is responsible for 20% of all medical emergency admission and the highest risk of disease events and death. Unstable angina and non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction account for about 2.5 million hospital admissions worldwide and are major causes of mortality and morbidity. The prognosis is substantially worse than for chronic stable angina and affect 5-10% of hospital death and re-infarction. Despite optimal treatment by anti-ischemic and antithrombotic drugs, death and recurrent myocardial infarction occur in another 5-10% of patients within the month after an acute episode. Several studies indicate that these patients may have a higher long term risk of death and myocardial infarction than do patients with ST segment elevation.
Hyperlipidemia is a critical factor in 50% of patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; however, in recent years additional inciting factors (inflammatory and neurohormonal) have been identified. Chronic vascular inflammation is a dynamic process of the arterial vessel wall where cycles of inflammatory and thrombotic activity play a critical role in the development of atherothrombosis, resulting in acute coronary syndrome.

Atherosclerotic lesion represents a cascade of cellular and molecular assaults on vascular endothelium beginning early in life. Factors such as elevated level of low density lipoprotein cholesterol, cigarette smoking, elevated homocysteine levels, infectious agents and genetic predisposition inflict injury on endothelium, triggering compensatory responses that alter normal endothelial function and environment. As a whole the injurious process triggers a chronic and complex inflammatory process that involves migration of destructive substances, cycles of cellular proliferation and necrosis and remodeling of lesion. Ultimately the core of lipid and necrotic tissue becomes covered by a fibrous cap. Neurohormonal and inflammatory factors contribute to the destabilization and rupture of susceptible atherosclerotic plaques, leading to coronary thrombosis and acute coronary events.

The usual approach to the treatment of an acute coronary event involves early aggressive therapeutic strategies aimed at limiting the amount of myocardial injury and preventing complications. In order to target high risk population for therapy, the challenge has been to identify those patients. Despite the advent of chest pain center and sensitive biomarkers of myocardial necrosis, a number of patients presenting with chest pain to the emergency department after being evaluated and released on the basis of normal biomarkers subsequently return with an acute MI within 48 hours.

The primary challenge is the early and specific diagnosis of acute coronary events that helps to initiate appropriate therapy without delay. Recently, The American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association Guidelines have recommended that risk stratification is one of the most important initial steps in evaluation and treatment of disease.

Traditional serum markers of myocardial injury in acute coronary events (cardiac enzymes, troponin and myoglobin) reflect only the sequel of inflammatory milieu and plaque rupture. In contrast to the injury markers, newly identified serum substances have drawn attention for their ability to portend acute clinical events and their outcomes. Most markers of myocardial cell injury that reflect structural consequences of coronary ischemia [cardiac enzyme, cardiac troponin (cTn)] do not increase until 3 to 4 hours after the onset of pain. The clinical evaluation of ECG findings and the traditional marker provide only partial information of risk stratification. New biomarkers like natriuretic peptide may provide additional pathophysiological insight and add to a strategy for comprehensive assessment.

B type natriuretic peptide (BNP) is a 32 amino acid peptide released from ventricular myocardium predominantly in response to increased ventricular wall stress. It is synthesized as a prohormone that is cleaved at N terminal to produce BNP and NT-proBNP. Along with ANP (atrial natriuretic peptide), BNP has effects on natriuresis, diuresis and inhibition of renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system all of which contribute to the modulation and control of cardiovascular hemodynamics. Both BNP and NT-proBNP have been shown to aid in the diagnosis of heart failure and to correlate with functional status among patients with congestive heart failure. There is a robust association between BNP and the short and long term risk of death across the spectrum of non-ST elevation ACS including patients without myocardial necrosis or clinical evidence of heart failure.

ACS with an elevated BNP level may be blessings in disguise since it heralds the point of early invasive management strategy as has also been demonstrated for troponin T and I. In patient with ACS, BNP adds important prognostic information to clinical and laboratory variables including ECG, echo, troponin and CRP. The magnitude of risk relationship associated with BNP seems to be greater than that associated with most currently available markers. Moreover, investigations (e.g. echo) most commonly used to assess prognosis is not available in many health care systems where BNP can be a potential diagnostic and prognostic aid. This simple, relatively inexpensive and rapid test improves the ability of clinicians for risk assessment among patients with ACS who are at high mortality risk. BNP provides a convenient and noninvasive means to gain insight into the underlying consequences of ACS that mediate the risk of recurrent events and thereby may identify target causes for specific therapeutic intervention. Since BNP has the potential to improve substantially the outcome in patients with ACS by facilitating its risk assessment and timely clinical decision making process, we have decided to review elaborately on BNP and its prognostic value in patients with ACS and to review its potentials in comparison to other markers for risk assessment in patients with ACS.

BIOMARKERS IN ACS

Recent studies have examined the role of nontraditional biomarkers in the risk stratification of patients with ACS. High-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), a marker of inflammation, has been shown to provide prognostic information in patients with ACS, independent of clinical factors and traditional markers of necrosis. B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) has been associated with heart failure, as well as adverse clinical outcomes (predominantly mortality), in patients with ACS. The study findings suggest that future risk stratification in patients with acute coronary syndrome may involve a panel of biomarkers. One investigative team has proposed a simplified method of combining the information provided by biomarkers.
Although the reliable role of cardiac natriuretic peptides in the identification and management of patients with symptomatic and asymptomatic ventricular dysfunction remains to be fully clarified, the clinical usefulness of cardiac natriuretic peptides (especially BNP and NTpro-BNP) in the evaluation of patients with suspected heart failure, in prognostic stratification of patients with CHF, in detecting LV systolic or diastolic dysfunction and in the differential diagnosis of dyspnea has been confirmed even more recently. BNP and NTpro-BNP have also emerged as prognostic indicators of long-term mortality early after an acute coronary event. This association was observed across the spectrum of ACS, irrespective of serum concentration of troponin and clinical evidence of heart failure. Natriuretic peptide concentrations were also found related to risk of cardiovascular events and death in apparently asymptomatic persons.

**B-type natriuretic peptide**

**Structure**

The circulating (biological active) form of human BNP, as shown in the figure, comprises a 32 amino acid peptide with a 17 amino acid ring closed by a disulfide bond between two cysteine residues. Its amino acid sequence is highly conserved across species.

![Figure 1: BNP 32 (the biologically active hormone)](image)

The first natriuretic peptide ever discovered was atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP), BNP is secreted by myocardial cells located on both atria and ventricles. The name was given because it was first discovered in porcine brain.
Biosynthesis of B-type natriuretic peptide

BNP’s gene is located on the short arm of chromosome 1, close to ANP loci. Transcription yields a precursor containing a hydrophobic leader sequence that is translated to a chain of 108 amino acids (proBNP) that coexist with ANP in some of the secretory vesicles of the atrial and ventricular myocardial cells. The biologically active peptide sequence lies in the carboxyl-terminal portion of the pro-hormone and the cleavage of the proBNP occurs between the Arg and Ser amino acid residues. The processing site in proBNP occurs immediately after the Arg-X-X-Arg sequence, resulting in mature BNP molecules varying in length from 26 to 45 amino acids. Processing of the precursor releases a mature BNP (32 amino acid) and an amino-terminal fragment (NTproBNP), an endocrinologically inactive molecule, both of which circulate in the plasma.

BNP use in clinical practice

Diagnosis of heart failure

Not have heart failure is quite useful (McGeoch et al., 2002, McCullough et al., 2002). Plasma concentration of BNP increases in heart failure, especially in highly symptomatic patients. It has been proposed that patients with BNP plasma concentrations of <20 pmol/L have very few probabilities of suffering from heart failure, while patients with higher concentrations must be submitted to further investigations of their cardiovascular system. Recent guidelines for the diagnosis of heart failure have included this proposal. Diastolic dysfunction and valvular disorders both can lead to the increase of BNP concentration. So patients with increased BNP levels must undergo further investigations, in order to estimate the etiology and pathophysiology of the underlying disease.

Left ventricular dysfunction diagnosis

In patients with normal systolic function verified ultrasonographically, increased BNP levels correlate well with Doppler measurements confirming diastolic dysfunction of the left ventricle. Patients with asymptomatic dysfunction of the left ventricle are expected to have lower BNP plasma concentrations than patients with severe heart failure. The more severe the dysfunction of the left ventricle, the higher the BNP plasma concentration.

Diagnosis of left ventricular systolic dysfunction after AMI

BNP plasma concentration increases after acute myocardial infarction and this increase correlates to the severity of the infarction. Patients with smaller infarcts show an increase of BNP 20 hours after the initiation of symptoms. Patients with larger infarcts, lower ejection fraction and more frequent signs of heart failure, reach maximum BNP levels 5 days after admission. In the acute phase, BNP values do not reflect patient’s hemodynamic profile, but four days later BNP levels correlate well with the ejection fraction of the left ventricle and with pulmonary wedge pressure.

Diagnosis of Right ventricular failure

Patients with pulmonary hypertension have increased BNP levels because of its increased production in the right ventricle. The level of the increase correlates well with the level of dysfunction of the right ventricle in patients with pulmonary hypertension or congenital heart failure.

Prognosis

Heart failure prognosis

Until today, published studies indicate that BNP (or NT-proBNP) concentration provides important prognostic information in heart failure patients and support its use as an adjuvant to clinical assessment, especially at centers where risk stratification is of great importance (i.e. transplantation units). Further research is necessary to clarify whether BNP plasma levels are a satisfactory means of identifying patients with low risk for future hospitalizations.

Prognosis after acute coronary syndrome

Increased BNP levels on admission in patients that have had an acute coronary syndrome without ST elevation, increases the possibility of both short-term and long-term complications. Recent studies indicate that the prognostic value of NTproBNP assessment in patients with coronary syndrome is very high and that NT-proBNP is an independent predictive factor of the severity of the coronary artery disease. Patients with NT-proBNP >1654 ng/L had 27 times greater risk of dying than patients with NT-proBNP <122 ng/L.

Prognosis in the general population

In all studies, BNP plasma concentration represents an independent predictive factor for survival, irrespective of the existence of coronary artery disease. Assessing NTproBNP concentration didn’t seem to add further information.
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Prognosis in pulmonary hypertension

In pulmonary hypertension patients, prognosis is worse for those with higher BNP plasma levels, a 4-fold increase of mortality within 2 years has been noted in patients with BNP values twice above the normal range.\(^{36-37}\)

Therapy assessment

There are indications that assessing BNP levels and adjusting therapy (dosage increase) according to it. Intravenous administration of synthetic human BNP (nesiritide) in heart failure patients causes dilation of the arteries and veins without causing any change to the heart rhythm, thus leading to increased cardiac output due to increased stroke volume. It also promotes diuresis, either because of the increased cardiac output or because of a direct natriuretic action. Studies have been conducted using the peptide for up to seven days with no allergic reactions noted. The most important side effect is hypotension, which is, nonetheless, dose-related. In July 2001, the FDA approved the administration of BNP in the treatment of heart failure.

Assessing BNP and NT-proBNP concentration in plasma

The first method used to assess the plasma concentration of natriuretic peptides was radio-immunological (RIA), but its application was impractical, as it was time-consuming, complex and required a relatively large quantity of blood. To overcome these problems, researchers introduced a new immuno-radiometric method (IRMA) that used two specific monoclonal antibodies against two loci of the BNP chain. BNP plasma concentration increases with age and is slightly higher in women. The proposed normal range of values is 0.5-3.0 pg/mL (0.15-0.90 pmol/L), for both RIA and IMRA. The proposed threshold for the diagnosis of heart failure in patients over 55 years old, referring to the method commercially available (Biosite Diagnostics), is 80 pg/mL. For NT-proBNP, proposed normal range of values is 68-112 pg/mL (8.2-13.3 pmol/L).

SOME STUDIES ON BRAIN Natriuretic Peptide AS A PROGNOSTIC MARKER IN ACUTE CORONARY SYNDROME

The use of natriuretic peptides (especially BNP and NTproBNP) is well-established in the diagnosis and staging of patients with heart failure. Its ability to predict prognosis in population has been verified in several frequently cited studies.

In 1994, Arakawa et al. recognized the positive relationship between plasma level of BNP and myocardial infarct size.\(^{38}\) In 1996, the researchers had gone through another study to seek out whether plasma BNP concentration can predict prognosis after MI. BNP and ANP levels were measured in 70 patients with AMI. Measurements were obtained on admission and on day 2 following MI. Mean follow-up period was 18 months.

Both values of plasma BNP correlated significantly with hemodynamic variables which were influenced by left ventricular function. By Kaplan Meier analysis it was found that patient with plasma BNP levels higher than median levels on admission and day 2 had significantly higher mortality rates than those with the sub-median level. The researcher concluded that plasma BNP concentration in early and sub-acute phase of MI was a powerful noninvasive indicator of poor prognosis, possibly reflecting residual left ventricular function after MI.\(^{39}\)

In another study, Omland et al. found the diagnostic and prognostic value of plasma BNP as an indicator of left ventricular dysfunction and long-term survival after AMI, relative to that of ANP and N-ANP.\(^{40}\) Venous blood samples for analysis of ANP, N-ANP, and BNP were obtained on day 3 after symptom onset from 131 patients with AMI. Left ventricular ejection fraction was determined by echocardiography in a subsample of 79 patients. Twenty-eight cardiovascular and 3 noncardiovascular deaths occurred during the follow-up period (median, 1293 days). All three peptides proved to be powerful predictors of cardiovascular mortality by univariate Cox proportional hazards regression analyses. In a multivariate model, plasma BNP but not ANP or N-ANP provided additional prognostic information beyond left ventricular ejection fraction. Logistic regression analysis showed that ANP and N-ANP but not BNP were significantly associated with a left ventricular ejection fraction \(45\%\). These results suggest that plasma BNP determination provides important, independent prognostic information after AMI. Although plasma ANP appears to be a better predictor of left ventricular dysfunction, plasma BNP may have greater potential to complement standard prognostic indicators used in risk stratification after AMI because of its strong, independent association with long-term survival, enhanced in vitro stability, and simplicity of analysis.

Although the circulating level of this neurohormone has been shown to provide independent prognostic information in patients with MI, few data are available for patients with ACS in the absence of ST-segment elevation. A study was carried out by de Lemos et al. (2001) where, B-type natriuretic peptide in plasma specimens was obtained a mean (±SD) of 40 ± 20 hours after the onset of ischemic symptoms in 2525 patients with UA/NSTEMI. Results show the base-line level of B-type natriuretic peptide was correlated with the risk of death, heart failure, and myocardial infarction at 30 days and 10 months. The unadjusted rate of death increased in a stepwise fashion among patients in increasing quartiles of base-line BNP levels. This association remained significant in subgroups of patients with STEMI, NSTEMI and UA. After adjustment for independent predictors of the long-term risk of death, the odds
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The level of B-type natriuretic peptide was also associated with the risk of new or recurrent MI and new or worsening heart failure at 10 months.

A study was done by Jernbergh et al. where the NT-proBNP was analyzed on admission in 755 patients with chest pain and no ST-segment elevation. Patients were followed concerning death for 40 months (median). The median NT-proBNP level was 400 ng/L. Compared to the lowest quartile, patients in the second, third and fourth quartiles had a relative risk of subsequent death of 4.2, 10.7 and 26.6 respectively. When NT-proBNP was added to a Cox regression model including clinical background factors, ECG and troponin T, the NT-proBNP levels were independently associated with prognosis.

It has been proved that, BNP is a predictor of short- and medium-term prognosis across the spectrum of ACS. NT-proBNP may be an even stronger prognostic marker. To assess whether NT-proBNP is a powerful prognostic marker of long-term, all-cause mortality Omland et al. conducted another study in a large, contemporary cohort of patients with ACS. Blood samples for NT-proBNP determination were obtained in the subacute phase in 204 patients with STEMI, 220 with NSTEMI and 185 with UA. After a median follow-up of 51 months, 86 patients (14%) had died. Median NT-proBNP levels were significantly lower in long-term survivors than in patients dying (442 versus 1306 pmol/L). In a multivariate Cox regression model, NT-proBNP (risk ratio 2.1) added prognostic information above and beyond Killip class, patient age, and left ventricular ejection fraction. Adjustment for peak troponin T levels did not markedly alter the relation between N-BNP and mortality. In patients with no evidence of clinical heart failure, N-BNP remained a significant predictor of mortality after adjustment for peak troponin T and mortality. In patients with no evidence of clinical heart failure, N-BNP remained a significant predictor of mortality after adjustment for peak troponin T and mortality.

The utility of using biomarkers in combination was also studied by Sabatine et al. Baseline measurements of TnI, CRP, and BNP were performed in 450 patients in OPUS-TIMI 16. Elevations in each TnI, CRP, and BNP were independent predictors of the composite of death, myocardial infarction (MI), or congestive heart failure (CHF). The same study group also designed another study to evaluate B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) for risk assessment and clinical decision making over a range of cut points, alone and with cardiac troponin I (cTnI), in patients with non-ST-elevation ACS.

Morrow et al. evaluated BNP at baseline in 1,676 patients with non-ST-elevation ACS randomized to early invasive versus conservative management. Patients with elevated BNP (>80 pg/mL; N = 320) were at higher risk of death at seven days and six months. The association between BNP and mortality at six months was independent of important clinical predictors, including cTnI and congestive heart failure (CHF). Patients with elevated BNP had a five-fold higher risk of developing new CHF by 30 days. B-type natriuretic peptide added prognostic information to cTnI, discriminating patients at higher mortality risk among those with negative and positive baseline cTnI results. No difference was observed in the effect of invasive versus conservative management when stratified by baseline levels of BNP. They concluded that elevated BNP (>80 pg/mL) at presentation identifies patients with non–ST-elevation ACS who are at higher risk of death and CHF and adds incremental information to cTnI.

In a combination marker study by James et al., the associations between N-terminal pro–brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) and other biochemical and clinical risk indicators, as well as their prognostic value concerning the individual endpoints of death and myocardial infarction (MI), were elucidated in a large cohort of ACS patients. NT-proBNP, troponin T, and C-reactive protein (CRP) were analyzed in blood samples obtained at a median of 9.5 hours from symptom onset in 6809 of 7800 ACS patients in the Global Utilization of Strategies To Open occluded arteries-IV (GUSTO-IV) trial. Levels of NT-proBNP correlated independently with age, female gender, low body weight, diabetes, renal dysfunction, history of MI, heart failure, heart rate, ongoing myocardial damage, and time since onset of ischemia. Increasing quartiles of NT-proBNP were related to short- and long-term mortality that reached 1.8%, 3.9%, 7.7%, and 19.2% respectively, at 1 year. Levels of troponin T, CRP, heart rate, and creatinine clearance, in addition to ST-segment depression, also correlated independently with 1-year mortality, but NT-proBNP was the marker with the strongest relation. They concluded that the use of NT-proBNP appears to add critical prognostic insight to the assessment of patients with ACS.

In a separate study, Saribulbul et al. prospectively examined brain natriuretic peptide levels in the plasma of 26 patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. Brain natriuretic peptide measurements were carried out 4 times preoperatively, 3 hours after institution of cross-clamping, 24 hours after institution of cross-clamping, and on the 5th postoperative day. In addition, they measured individual variables and compared them to brain natriuretic peptide levels.

Mean preoperative brain natriuretic peptide levels were significantly higher in patients with histories of myocardial infarction and heart failure (ejection fraction <0.40). There was a significant correlation between preoperative brain natriuretic peptide levels and cross-clamp times and an inverse correlation between those levels and preoperative cardiac indices. The preoperative brain natriuretic peptide level also correlated inversely with left ventricular ejection fraction before and 5 days after operation. When the Clinical Severity Scoring System was applied, preoperative brain natriuretic peptide plasma concentrations in 19 patients with risk scores of 0–2 were significantly lower than in the 7 patients whose risk scores were 3–6. There was also a significant relationship between preoperative brain natriuretic peptide plasma concentrations and the postoperative requirement for inotropic agents. This study suggests that plasma BNP concentration could be one of the predictors of risk in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting.
The role of N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) in the early treatment of patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome (NSTACS) has been evaluated again by Jernbergh et al. in 6 large studies that include >12,000 patients45. These studies convincingly show that this marker of cardiac performance is associated strongly with death and the risk of future congestive heart failure and carry important prognostic information that is independent from previous known risk factors in NSTACS.

Bazzino et al., prospectively studied the additive value of N-terminal probrain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) in relation to the Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) risk score and the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) joint prognostic classification, and compared the predictive capacity of NT-proBNP, troponin T (TnT), C-reactive protein (hsCRP), myoglobin, and creatine kinase-MB (CK-MB) concentrations in a cohort of 1483 consecutive patients with non-ST-segment-elevation acute coronary syndromes (NSTE-ACS) 46. Centralized measurements of NT-proBNP, TnT, myoglobin, and hsCRP were performed 3 h (median) after admission. Adjusting by clinical, ECG variables, and biomarkers, NT-proBNP concentration was the strongest independent predictor of in-hospital (OR 1.7) and 180-day mortality (OR 1.67) and added significant prognostic information to the TIMI and ACC/AHA prognostic categories.

In a study by Yang et al., value of circulating BNP was evaluated for early percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in patients with ACS. Nine hundred and sixty consecutive patients with ACS were enrolled 47. Circulating BNP level was measured when each patient arrived at the emergency room. All patients underwent PCI in 90 minutes in spite of contraindication. Cardiac events (death from any cause, heart failure, and recurrence of acute myocardial infarction or ACS) were recorded during follow-up. In patients with BNP 80 pg/mL, mortality from all causes within 1 month and 6 months in those underwent delayed PCI (6 hours) was significantly higher than those received early PCI (<6 hours). Similarly, the incidence rate of heart failure in delayed PCI patients was significantly higher than those received early PCI within 1 month and 6 months. The recurrence rate of AMI or ACS was not significantly different between early PCI and delayed PCI patients with BNP level 80 pg/mL.

Galvani et al. reported a study where N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) levels were measured on admission in patients with ACS and ECG evidence of myocardial ischemia 48. The NT-proBNP was measured at a median time of 3 hours after symptom onset in 1756 patients. The outcome measure was death at 30 days, which occurred in 113 patients (6.4%). The median NT-proBNP level was 353 ng/L. Compared with the lowest quartile, patients in the second, third, and fourth quartiles had a relative risk of subsequent death of 2.94, 5.32, and 11.5 respectively. The NT-proBNP was independently associated with death in a logistic regression model, which included clinical variables, ECG, and troponin T in patients either with or without persistent ST-segment elevation. NT-proBNP was also an independent predictor of severe heart failure. They concluded that the measurement of NT-proBNP on admission improves the early risk stratification of patients with ACS, suggesting the need for the development of targeted therapeutic strategies.

Ollivier et al. measured troponin and NT-pro BNP plasma concentrations on emergency admission and 8 and 24 hours later in 64 patients with ACS. Troponin levels were abnormal in respectively 44%, 51% and 52% of patients, while NT-pro BNP levels were abnormal in 75%, 83% and 79% of patients. Both troponin and NT-pro BNP levels were abnormal in patients with ST elevation MI and in patients with non-ST elevation MI. In contrast, among 30 patients with unstable angina, troponin levels were always normal whereas NT-pro BNP levels were elevated in 73% of cases.

Suzuki et al. studied whether the plasma BNP level measured 3 to 4 weeks after the onset of AMI represents a reliable prognostic marker for patients with AMI 49. They analyzed 145 consecutive patients with AMI. Plasma BNP levels were measured during the 3 to 4 weeks after onset of AMI. Of those patients, 23 experienced fatal cardiac events during this study. The mean follow-up period was 58.6 months. Log BNP, left ventricular end-diastolic pressure, and pulmonary vascular resistance were all significantly higher in the cardiac death group.

Jarai et al. had done another study to compare the accuracy of the NT-proBNP and NT-proANP in the prediction of the 2-year mortality and to investigate whether additional measurement of NT-proANP to troponin I (TnI) could improve risk assessment in the subgroups of patients with unstable coronary artery disease (UCAD) and normal NT-proBNP 50. Plasma levels of the TnI, NT-proANP, and NT-proBNP were determined in 120 consecutive patients with UCAD without ST-segment elevations and normal left ventricular function. In multivariable logistic regression analysis, TnI and NT-proBNP were independent predictors of mortality.

In a prospective study, Wang et al. examined the relations of plasma BNP and NT-proBNP to the risk of death from any cause like heart failure, atrial fibrillation, stroke or transient ischemic attack and coronary heart disease. During a mean follow-up of 5.2 years, 119 participants died and 79 had a first cardiovascular event. After adjustment for cardiovascular risk factors, each increment of 1 SD in log BNP levels was associated with a 27% increase in the risk of death, a 28% increase in the risk of a first cardiovascular event, a 77% increase in the risk of heart failure, a 66% increase in the risk of atrial fibrillation, and a 53% increase in the risk of stroke or transient ischemic attack.

Elevated baseline levels of BNP and NTproBNP have been associated with adverse long-term outcome in patients with ACS, whereas the prognostic implications of serial NT-proBNP measurements had not been investigated. NT-proBNP, troponin T, and C-reactive protein were measured by Heeschen et al. at baseline and at 48 and 72 hours in 1791 patients with non-ST-elevation ACS. Death and MI were recorded during 30 days of follow-up. After adjustment for independent predictors of cardiac risk, baseline NT-proBNP levels >250 ng/L were associated with higher event rates.
Kirstop et al. had done a prospective study to assess the prognostic value of the NT-proBNP vs. CRP and urinary albumin/creatinine ratio in an older adult population. Among 764 participants (aged 50 to 89 years) 658 participants provided blood and urine samples which were examined. Of these participants, 626 without heart or renal failure were enrolled. A subgroup of 537 had no history of cardiovascular disease at baseline. During 5 years of follow-up 94 participants died and 65 developed a first major cardiovascular event. Researchers commented that measurements of NT-proBNP provide prognostic information of mortality and first major cardiovascular events beyond traditional risk factors. NT-proBNP was a stronger risk biomarker for cardiovascular disease and death than CRP in non-hospitalized individuals aged 50 to 89 years.

The relationship between NT-proBNP levels and long term mortality from all causes in a large cohort of patients with stable coronary heart disease has been assessed by Krageland et al. NT-pro-BNP was measured in baseline serum samples from 1034 patients referred for angiography. The rate of death from all causes was determined after a median follow-up of nine years. At follow-up, 288 patients had died. The median NT-pro-BNP level was significantly lower among patients who survived than among those who died (120 pg/mL vs. 386 pg/mL).

In a study by Puri et al. the role of NTproBNP in risk stratification and prediction of short-term events including mortality in patients with ACS were evaluated. A total of 120 consecutive patients admitted with a diagnosis of AMI, including both STEMI (n=80) and NSTEMI (n=40) were enrolled. In multivariate analysis NTproBNP above median emerged as the strongest predictors of adverse outcomes, including 30-day mortality.

James et al. evaluated whether biomarkers of ischemia, inflammation, myocardial damage, and dysfunction are equally useful in patients who have diabetes mellitus (DM) for prediction of cardiac events in NSTEMI. DM was present in 1,677 of 7,800 patients who had NSTEMI/UA and were included in the Fourth Global Utilization of Strategies (GUSTO IV) trial. Creatinine, NT-pro-BNP, TrT, CRP, and IL-6 were analyzed in serum samples obtained at a median of 9.5 hours from symptom onset. One-year mortality rates were 13.5% among patients who had DM and 6.9% among those who did not. The median level of NT-pro-BNP was 2 times as high in patients who had DM, whereas troponin T levels did not differ by DM status.

**SUMMARY**

Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS), i.e., unstable angina or non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction (MI) and ST-elevation MI is the most common cause of admission to the coronary care unit with highest risk of death and adverse outcome. ACS accounts for 60-70% of all admissions in the hospital. Patients with ACS encompass a heterogeneous group that varies widely regarding severity of the underlying coronary artery disease, prognosis and response to treatment. Patients with the highest risk of subsequent events usually have the largest benefit of an intensified pharmacological treatment and early mechanical intervention. The prognosis for low-risk patients, on the other hand, is often difficult to improve further and these patients usually benefit more from a conservative management with a lower risk of side effects. Therefore, risk stratification is essential and should be initiated early and updated continuously throughout the hospital stay.

Early risk stratification is usually performed by the use of clinical background factors, clinical presentation, electrocardiography, and biochemical markers of myocardial damage. Markers of inflammation and renal function have also been shown to be useful. Moreover, measurements of cardiac performance, such as left ventricular ejection fraction and wall motion index, have an important impact on the prognosis. Levels of natriuretic peptides have been shown to reflect cardiac performance. This review focuses on the emerging role of these peptides in the early risk stratification of ACS patients.

In cardiac decompensation, hormones are released from both cardiac and extracardiac origins. Where norepinephrine and endothelin reflect the peripheral responses to cardiac impairment, natriuretic peptides are neurohumoral hormones produced by the heart. Atrial natriuretic peptides (ANP) are mainly expressed in response to atrial myocardial distension, with minute elevations in response to ventricular distension. BNP are natriuretic peptides released by ventricular myocardium, stored mainly in the ventricular myocardium and released into the circulation in response to ventricular dilatation and pressure overload. BNP levels reflect neurohormonal activity and increase with disease progression. They are used currently as prognostic markers in acute coronary syndromes and congestive heart failure.

Elevation of BNP levels in acute MI and UA is predictive of a greater risk of death, postinfarction heart failure, or reinfarction. Postinfarction studies demonstrate that elevated plasma BNP levels are associated with larger infarct size, increased probability of ventricular remodeling, lower ejection fraction, higher risk of heart failure, and increased mortality. This cardiac marker is a potent predictor of mortality in patients with all forms ACS. BNP measurements serve as an index of severity of the ischemic injury, as well as the degree of impairment in left ventricular function. Transient myocardial ischemia, causing an increase in left ventricular wall stress, leads to increased production and release of BNP, even in the absence of necrosis or preexisting left ventricular dysfunction. In homeostatic states, BNP influences natriuresis, inhibits the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system and the sympathetic nervous system activity and facilitates vasodilatation. The release of BNP is thought to be a backup mechanism to ANP, when ANP effects fail to generate adequate cardiac compensation. BNP levels, as a measure of an adverse prognosis, are valid even in the presence of neurohumoral blocking therapy. Levels of BNP during the first day as well as 3 to 4 weeks later following an
acute coronary event can predict long-term mortality risk and help identify patients risk for adverse outcomes. BNP measurements also help determine the need for aggressive pharmacological and interventional therapies. In acute MI, BNP levels increase rapidly during the first 24 hours and then plateau. Biphasic increase showed additive risk of mortality. In UA with no evidence of necrosis, an increase in BNP levels predicts a worse prognosis. Serial BNP levels provide more prognostic information than a single measurement. The BNP threshold of 80 pg/mL, indicative of neurohormonal activation in heart failure, is similar to that in acute coronary syndromes. Prognostic value of BNP in ACS has been proved as an independent and more significant marker than the other markers of inflammation. It also acts as a risk predictor of mortality and cardiovascular events in normal population.

CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATION

BNP and NTproBNP are strongly associated to mortality and the risk of future congestive heart failure and carry important prognostic information independent of previously known risk factors in ACS. As such, natriuretic peptides can be added to previously existing risk stratification models and multi-marker approaches. There are some data indicating that these markers might also be helpful in the selection of the appropriate therapy in these patients, but further studies are needed. Before the recommendation of routine measurement of BNP or NTproBNP in ACS, the cost-effectiveness of adding these new markers to the currently used routine markers (e.g. creatinine, troponin and CRP) and their impact on selection of treatment needs further evaluation.
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