

Review Article

Ischemia of Non-Obstructive Coronary Artery Disease: Need to Address in our Patient Population

AHM Waliul Islam, AQM Reza, Shams Munwar, Shahabuddin Talukder, Tamzeed Ahmed, Kazi Atikur Rahman, Md. Atahar Ali

Interventional Cardiology Department, Evercare Hospital Dhaka

Abstract

Key Words:
Ischaemic heart disease, Coronary artery disease, INOCA

Ischemia of non-occlusive coronary artery disease (INOCA) not an uncommon phenomenon, exist in our patient population which did not address well. Many of the stable angina and or unstable angina patient, whose coronary angiogram revealed significant coronary stenosis (>70%) are being treated by PCI with drug eluting stent. On the contrary, quite a significant proportion of patient, who are found to have non-significant coronary lesion (<50%) or essentially normal epicardial coronaries. These group of patients with angiographic evidence of non-occlusive CAD, remain undiagnosed of their exact etiology of angina. As a result, recurrence of anginal chest pain leading to repeat hospitalization impaired quality of life and the expenditure. Women are significant number in this category, labelled as syndrome X. Many of the scientific literature, has labeled it as Ischemia of Non obstructive Coronary artery Disease. Notably, Microvascular angina is due to ischemia driven mismatch of demand and supply in the myocardium. Microvascular Dysfunction (MVD) and Coronary vascular spasm or Vasospastic Angina (VSA) are the main pathogenic causes of INOCA. With the advent of Imaging physiology, and its availability in Bangladesh, many of the center can assess INOCA and its severity by FFR, iFR, DFR and transthoracic Doppler study of the coronaries. Therefore, we recommend evaluating INOCA patient by available technical assistance and to address the issue and patients suffering with repeated hospitalization and financial expenditure.

(Cardiovasc j 2021; 13(2): 217-222)

Bangladesh with an estimated population over 170 million, many are suffering from life threatening cardiovascular events like acute myocardial infarction, Stable Angina, Unstable Angina. Many dies before reaching the hospital and many could not afford the treatment expenses. Thus, death from cardiovascular disease is number one leading cause of death in this part of world.

Angina pectoris, most common symptoms of ischemic heart disease, affects 112 million people globally and among these, 70% of population undergoing coronary angiogram, do not have documented obstructive CAD.¹⁻³ Many of the studies documented that coronary microvascular dysfunction (CMD) and epicardial vascular

dysfunction are additional pathophysiologic mechanism of IHD. CMD and epicardial vasospasm, alone or in combination with coronary artery disease (CAD) are adjunctive to myocardial ischemia. These group of patients continue to experience recurrent angina with impaired quality of life, leading to repeated hospitalizations, unnecessary CAG, and adverse cardiovascular outcomes.⁴⁻⁵

Cardiac ischemia may also be caused by vascular dysfunction without angiographic evidence of CAD, a condition recently termed INOCA (ischemia of non-occlusive coronary artery) disease. In INOCA, mismatch of demand supply in myocardium caused by CMD and or epicardial coronary artery spasm (vasospastic angina, VSA).⁶

Address of Correspondence: Prof. Dr. AHM Waliul Islam, Interventional cardiology Department, Evercare Hospitals Dhaka, Bangladesh. E-mail: waliul.islam@evercarebd.com

© 2020 authors; licensed and published by International Society of Cardiovascular Ultrasound, Bangladesh Chapter and Bangladesh Society of Geriatric Cardiology. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the CC BY NC 4.0 (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>)

Coronary microvascular dysfunction refers to the subset of disorder affecting the structure and function of the coronary microcirculation, serve as key mediators of patient symptoms, is prevalent in patients across a broad spectrum of cardiovascular risk factors. With the advent of medical technologies, available resources, Cath lab facilities in many of the big cities, interventional cardiologist expertise, and drug eluting stents, acute Myocardial Infarction patient are benefitting by Primary angioplasty (pPCI) in many of the centers. Successful flow re-stabilization with better myocardial salvage depends on reduced door to balloon time of less than two hours.

In the global pandemic of Covid-19, strategy in treating AMI patient from pPCI has changed to pharmacoinvasive therapy, like other parts of developed world and as per ACC/ AHA/ESC guideline recommendation.

To date, most of the Coronary angiogram registries include obstructive CAD.⁷ Few registries include patient longitudinal outcome data.⁸ Nonobstructive CAD is atherosclerotic plaque that will not expect to obstruct flow or induce angina, is found in 10-25% patient undergoing CAG.⁹ Although these are labelled as insignificant, prior studies mentioned that majority of the plaque ruptures and resultant MI arises from non-obstructive plaque.¹⁰⁻¹³

Historically, obstructive CAD has been the primary focus of CAD management as its role in causing ischemia associated anginal chest pain.^{14,15} Obstructive CAD usually correspond to extensive CAD associated MI. However, recognition that ruptured plaque, rather than the occlusive plaque is genesis of MI, arise from non-obstructive CAD.^{10,12,13,16} Non obstructive CAD is associated with significant risk of MI and all-cause mortality.¹⁷ This group patient constitutes 10% AMI and referred as MI with Non-Obstructive coronary arteries, termed MINOCA.¹⁸

Microvascular angina (MVA) is the clinical manifestation caused by microvascular dysfunction. Myocardial ischemia may result from structural remodeling of the vasculature leading to fixed reduced microcirculatory conductance or vasomotor disorders affecting the coronary arterioles causing dynamic obstruction.^{19,20} Microvascular angina and vasospastic angina may coexist together with worst prognosis.

Microvascular dysfunction related to impaired smooth muscle relaxation is an endothelium-independent mechanism for cardiac ischemia.²¹ Microcirculation accounts for 70% of resistance within the coronary circulation and ineffective smooth muscle relaxation prevents demand-related increases in flow. These group of patients are theorized to present with exercise or stress related angina.²² Endothelial-independent microvascular dysfunction is studied by measuring coronary flow reserve using transthoracic Doppler echocardiography, Myocardial contrast Echocardiography, PET, Intracoronary Doppler or CMR following induced vasodilatation with an endothelium-independent agent such as adenosine or dipyridamole.²³⁻²⁸ Endothelial-dependent dysfunction is caused by pathologic constriction of a vessel or vascular bed. Diffuse distal epicardial and microvascular constriction causes ST depression and angina at rest or stress. Anginal chest pain or ECG changes may precede diffuse epicardial constriction, indicating that it may instigating effect that propagates proximally.^{29,30}

In unselected population referred for angina, less than 10% have obstructive CAD. In a large US study, for suspected angina and or positive stress test, 39% patient have non-obstructive CAD.³¹ This frequency was higher in women (50-70%, compared to men (50-70%).

Microvascular angina due to coronary artery spasm were prevalent in Japanese population. frequency of multiple coronary spasm (>2 spastic arteries) by provocative testing in Japanese (24.3%), Taiwanese 19.3%), markedly higher than those in Caucasians (7.5%). Interestingly VAS prevalent in men than women of age 40-70yrs.³² Epicardial vasospasm has origin in hyper-reactive epicardial coronary segment that undergoes maximal contraction when exposed to vasoconstrictor stimulus, such as smoking, drugs, peaks in blood pressure, cold exposure, emotional stress and hyperventilation.³³

Microvascular dysfunction could develop before epicardial artery stenosis and could coexist with angiographically significant coronary artery stenosis. Diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, HTN and clinical conditions might have been associated with MVD.

Nonobstructive CAD is not “insignificant” rather associated with significant and quantifiable risk of cardiovascular mortality and morbidity. The stable non obstructive CAD patient population excluded from many of the major cardiac prevention studies. Therefore, empirical evidence is lacking whether these group of patients will benefit from secondary prevention therapies with antiplatelet and statins.³⁴

The prognosis of INOCA is far from benign. Angina of non-obstructive CAD associated with impaired quality of life, higher risk of disability, healthcare cost with repeated hospitalization and coronary angiogram. In WISE (Women’s Ischemia Syndrome Evaluation) study, persistent chest pain, smoking, CAD severity, DM and QTc interval were significant independent predictor of cardiovascular events defined as CV death, MI, Congestive heart failure or stroke.³⁵ In a cohort of patients undergoing elective CAG, nonobstructive CAD, compared with no apparent CAD, was associated with a significantly greater 1-year risk of MI and all-cause mortality.³⁶

INOCA patient might present with wide spectrum of symptoms and signs, like angina occurring with obstructive CAD, may complain of breathlessness, pain in between shoulder blades, nausea, weakness and or sleep disturbances. This group of patients should not be leveled or classify as non-cardiac, since Women have much higher prevalence of INOCA.

As we know that functional or structural abnormalities of the coronary can be responsible for impaired myocardial perfusion and ischemia, in the absence of large epicardial coronary artery disease.³⁷ In the ESC CCS 2019 guideline first line of testing is non-invasive testing and common non-invasive technique assessing ischemia related large regional differences in LV perfusion and or wall motion in epicardial perfusion territories by single photon emission computed tomography or dobutamine stress echo.³⁸

Transthoracic Doppler echocardiography (TDE) as a tool to measure CFVR, has the advantages of being non-invasive, widely available, performed at bedside, without radiation exposure, not time consuming. Among the three coronaries, LAD most interrogated, followed by PDA. Technical

feasibility for LAD is high 90% in experienced hand, PDA is lower and LCX is most challenging due to its anatomy.^{39,40}

Coronary flow reserve is the ratio of hyperaemic blood flow in response the various vasoactive stimuli divided by resting blood flow. Reduced CFVR is an indicator of CMD. Coronary flow velocity reserve (CFVR) is a useful tool to measure CMD. Patient with or without DM with a CFVR<2 has worse prognosis despite normal LVE EF, preserved wall motion during dipyridamole stress test and angiographically significant coronary stenosis.⁴¹ Non-endothelial dependent dysfunction may be assessed by noninvasively, acetylcholine can only be administered during invasive testing and is supported Ila recommendation. The 2019 ESC CCS guideline recommend Ila for guide wire based CFVR microcirculatory resistance measurement in patients with persistent symptoms.³⁸

In invasive testing, invasive coronary flow reserve (iFR) can be assessed by intracoronary doppler tipped guidewire or thermodilution techniques to measure coronary blood flow velocity at rest and in response to adenosine (endothelium-independent) and acetylcholine (endothelium-dependent) vasodilation.⁴² Fractional flow reserve (FFR) provides a surrogate measure of flow limitations and stenosis-level physiological obstruction and calculated as the ratio between coronary pressure distal to a stenosis and aortic pressure during hyperemia. FFR represent pressure ratio across a stenosis during hyperemia, it is a relative index of epicardial conductance and may influenced by CMD and diffuse atherosclerosis. CMD may increases microvascular resistance and may pseudo normalize FFR.⁴³

Instantaneous wave-free ratio (iFR) uses wave intensity analysis to identify the mid-late diastolic instantaneous-wave free interval over which the iFR is computed. iFR is a pressure-derived index of stenosis severity, obtained in diastole without adenosine and it can be useful in evaluation of CMD.⁴⁴

Treatment of anginal symptoms in patient with INOCA is challenging. Short acting Nitrates may be effective since long acting may be ineffective and intolerable due to stealing effect. For microvascular spasm following acetylcholine

testing calcium antagonist should be considered as first line therapy. In patients with MVA and reduced CFR and or increased IMR betablocker, calcium channel blocker ACEi or ARB would have been good choice. Nicorandil a vasodilator acting via nitrate and potassium channel activation.⁴⁵ Ranolazine, an antianginal agent improves myocyte relaxation,⁴⁶ and trimetazidine also improve symptoms in this group of patients. Role of Ivabradine efficacy in MVA poorly investigated.⁴⁷

Lifestyle modification is a very important and key determinant in improving anginal chest pain or angina equivalent symptoms due to CMD and VSA. Stopping of smoking, weight reduction, avoidance of excess carbohydrate intake, avoidance of cholesterol rich food with brisk regular walk would be beneficial in reducing the angina. Eating healthy diet, avoidance of any stressful condition, sound and sufficient sleep would offer additive improvement of symptoms in INOCA patient.

Ischemia of non-occlusive CAD is not an uncommon clinical entity that causing anginal chest pain induced by angina, either due to coronary microvascular dysfunction (MVD) and or vasospastic angina (VSA). Literature has documented, that after PCI of a significant stenotic lesion, patient may have ongoing angina, for which re-look CAG revealed stent patency without any occlusive or new lesion either in stented territory or other non-stented territory. From this view, it is evident, or we may conclude that these group of patient has microvascular disease, that are the key factor in inducing anginal chest or angina equivalent symptoms, or some instances may lead to heart failure.⁴⁸

In our common practice, we found approximately 14% patient having non-occlusive CAD (% stenosis <50% angiographic eye-ball estimation), 11% essential normal epicardial coronaries (our unpublished CAG data of 5174 patients). So, we found many of the patients are admitting with chest pain with angina or angina equivalent symptoms and many are swapping from one doctor to other. Many may be ignorant or non-compliant to the medication and lifestyle modification. Some patients might have repeated coronary angiogram.

Time has changed, in Bangladeshi Cardiology interventional era. Many of the big city Hospital,

has modern facilities with cardiac catheterization laboratory and expert interventional cardiologist. Some center has IVUS, FFR, iFR and DFR facilities. Some of the center can assess non-invasively CFR by Transthoracic Doppler Echocardiography (TDE) study by a technically skilled echocardiographer, since TDE is addressed in LAD in majority cases, followed by PLB and most difficult in LCX due to its anatomic location.⁴⁹ Invasively, patient with normal epicardial coronaries, coronary flow can be assessed by intracoronary Acetylcholine with careful observation and documentation of chest pain and ECG changes. In patient with non-obstructive CAD (stenotic lesion <50% by angiographic view), FFR with adenosine, iFR or DFR without adenosine may provide information and diagnosis of INOCA. No doubt, if we do these tests, it will benefit patient from anginal chest pain with repeated hospitalization and or in severe cases from myocardial infarction (MINOCA),⁵⁰ boost up patient psychologically and alleviate symptoms with the improvement of quality of life.⁴³

To our knowledge, INOCA is not well addressed in our clinical practice. Therefore, we may recommend forming a common consensus, set a standard protocol to evaluate these group of patients, find out the underlying pathology by available non-invasive and invasive means. Thus, to provide or ensure healthy life, improvement of angina or angina equivalent symptoms, avoidance of consequences of MI and or heart failure from INOCA.

Conflict of Interest - None.

References

1. GBD 2015 Mortality and Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national life expectancy, all-cause mortality, and cause-specific mortality for 249 causes of death, 1980-2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet* 2016; 388:1459-1544.
2. Knuuti J, Wijns W, Saraste A, et al. ESC Scientific Document Group. 2019 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of chronic coronary syndromes. *Eur Heart J* 2020;41(3):407-477.
3. Reeh J, Therning CB, Heitmann M, et al. Prediction of obstructive coronary artery disease and prognosis in patients with suspected stable angina. *Eur Heart J* 2019; 40:1426-1435.

4. Camici PG, Crea F. Coronary microvascular dysfunction. *N Engl J Med* 2007; 356:830-840.
5. Jespersen L, Hvelplund A, Abildstrom SZ, et al. Stable angina pectoris with no obstructive coronary artery disease is associated with increased risks of major adverse cardiovascular events. *Eur Heart J* 2012; 33:734-744.
6. Khuddus MA, Pepine CJ, Handberg EM, et al. An intravascular ultrasound analysis in women experiencing chest pain in the absence of obstructive coronary artery disease: a substudy from the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute-Sponsored Women's Ischemia Syndrome Evaluation (WISE). *J Interv Cardiol* 2010; 23:511-519.
7. Mancini GBJ, Hartigan PM, Shaw LJ, et al. Predicting outcome in Courage trial: Coronary anatomy versus ischemia. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2014;7(2):195-2019.
8. Patel MR, Peterson ED, Dai D, et al. Low diagnostic yield of elective coronary angiography. *N Eng. J Med* 2010;362(10):886-895.
9. Brindis RG, Fitzgerald S, Anderson HV, et al. The ACC-NCDR: Building a national clinical data repository. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2001;37(8):2240-2245.
10. Patel MR, Peterson ED, Dai D, et al. Low diagnostic yield of elective coronary angiography. *N Eng J Med* 2010; 362(10): 886-895.
11. Falk E, Shah PK, Fuster V. Coronary plaque disruption. *Circulation* 1995;92(3)657-671.
12. Ambrose JA, Tannebaum MA, Alexopoulos D, et al. Angiographic progression of coronary artery disease and the development of MI. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1988;12(1):56-62.
13. Shah PK. Molecular mechanism of plaque instability. *Curr opinion Lipidol* 2007;18(5):492-499.
14. Libby P, Theroux P. pathophysiology of coronary artery disease. *Circulation* 2005.111(25) 3481-3488.
15. Fihn SD, Gardin JM, Abrams J, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS Guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012; 60(24): e44-e64.
16. Montalescot G, Sechtem U, Achenbach S, et al. 2013 ESC guideline on the management of stable Coronary artery disease. *Eur Soc Card. Eur Heart J* 2013;34(38):2949-3003.
17. Steg PG, Greenlaw N, Tendera M, et al. Prospective observational longitudinal registry of patients with stable coronary artery disease (CLARIFY) investigators. Prevalence of anginal symptoms and myocardial ischemia and their effect on clinical outcomes in outpatients with stable CAD; data from the interventional observational CLARIFY registry. *JAMA Intern Med* 2014; 174(10): 1651-1659.
18. Tian J, Dauerman H, Toma C et al. Prevalence and characteristics of TCFA and degree of coronary artery stenosis: an OCT, IVUS and angiographic study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014;64(7):672-680
19. Pasupathy S, Air T, Dreyer RP, et al. Systemic review of patients presenting with suspected myocardial infarction and non-obstructive coronary arteries. *Circulation* 2015;131:861-870.
20. Ong P, Camici PG, Beltrame JF, et al. Coronary Vasomotion Disorders International Study Group (COVADIS). International standardization of diagnostic criteria for microvascular angina. *Int J Cardiol* 2018; 250:16-20.
21. Mejia-Renteria H, van der Hoeven N, van de Hoef TP, et al. Targeting the dominant mechanism of coronary microvascular dysfunction with intracoronary physiology tests. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2017; 33:1041-1059.
22. Zeiher AM, Krause T, Schachinger V, et al. Impaired endothelium dependent vasodilation of coronary resistance vessel is associated with exercise-induced myocardial ischemia. *Circulation* 1995. 91:2345-2352.
23. Lanza GA, Buffon A, Sestito A, et al. Relation between stress induced myocardial perfusion defects on cardiovascular magnetic resonance and coronary microvascular dysfunction in patients with cardiac syndrome X. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008; 51: 466-472.
24. Lanza GA, Buffon A, Sestito A, et al. Relation between stress induced myocardial perfusion defects on cardiovascular magnetic resonance and coronary microvascular dysfunction in patients with cardiac syndrome X. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008; 51:466-472.
25. Meimoun P, Tribouilloy C. Non-invasive assessment of coronary flow and coronary flow reserve by transthoracic Doppler echocardiography: A magic tool for the real world. *Eur J Echocardiogr* 2008; 9: 449-457.
26. Galiuto L, Sestito A, Barchetta S, et al. Noninvasive evaluation of flow reserve in the left anterior descending coronary artery in patients with cardiac syndrome X. *Am J Cardiol* 2007; 99: 1378-1383.
27. Kaufmann PA, Camici PG. Myocardial blood flow by PET: Technical aspects and clinical applications. *J Nucl Med* 2005; 46: 75-88.
28. Joye JD, Schulman DS. Clinical application of coronary flow reserve using an intracoronary Doppler guide wire. *Cardiol Clin* 1997; 15: 101-129.
29. Panting JR, Gatehouse PD, Yang GZ, et al. Abnormal subendocardial perfusion in cardiac syndrome X detected by cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging. *N Engl J Med* 2002; 346: 1948-1953.
30. Ong P, Athanasiadis A, Mahrholdt H, et al. Increased coronary vasoconstrictor response to acetylcholine in women with chest pain and normal coronary arteriograms (cardiac syndrome X). *Clin Res Cardiol* 2012; 101: 673-681.

31. Ong P, Athenasasiadis A, Sechterm U. Patterns of coronary vasomotor responses to intracoronary acetylcholine provocation. *Heart* 2013; 17: 1288–1295.
32. Pepine CJ, Anderson RD, Sharaf BL, et al. Coronary microvascular reactivity to adenosine predicts adverse outcome in women evaluated for suspected ischemia results from the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute WISE (Women's Ischemia Syndrome Evaluation) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010; 55:2825-2832.
33. Beltrame JF, Sasayama S, Maseri A. Racial heterogeneity in coronary artery vasomotor reactivity: differences between Japanese and Caucasian patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1999; 33:1442-1452.
34. Beltrame JF, Crea F, Kaski JC, et al. Coronary Vasomotion Disorders International Study Group (COVADIS). International standardization of diagnostic criteria for vasospastic angina. *Eur Heart J* 2017; 38:2565-2568.
35. Maddox MT, Ho PM, Roe M, et al. Utilization of secondary prevention therapies in patient with nonobstructive coronary artery disease identified during cardiac catheterization: insights from the National Cardiovascular registry Cath-PCI registry. *Cir Cardiovascular Qual Outcomes* 2010;3(6):632-641.
36. Johnson BD, Shaw LJ, Pepine CJ, et al. Persistent chest pain predicts cardiovascular events in women without obstructive coronary artery disease: results from the NIH-NHLBI-sponsored Women's Ischaemia Syndrome Evaluation (WISE) study. *Eur Heart J* 2005; 27:1408-1415.
37. Maddox MT, Stanislawski MA, Grunwald GK, et al. Nonobstructive coronary artery disease and risk of myocardial infarction. *JAMA* 2014;312(17):1754-1763.
38. Suda A, Takahashi J, Hao K, et al. Coronary functional abnormalities in patients with angina and nonobstructive coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2019; 74:2350-2360.
39. Knuuti J, Wijns W, Saraste A, et al. ESC Scientific Document Group. 2019 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of chronic coronary syndromes. *Eur Heart J* 2020 ;41(3):407-477.
40. Meimoun P, Tribouilloy C, et al. Non-invasive assessment of coronary flow and coronary flow reserve by b transthoracic Doppler echocardiography: a magic tool for the real world. *Eur J Echocardiography* 2008;9:449-457.
41. Rigo F, Murer B, Ossena G, et al. Transthoracic echocardiographic imaging of coronary arteries: tips, traps and pitfalls. *Cardiovascular Ultrasound* 2008;6:7.
42. Cortigani L, Rigo F, Gheradi S, et al. Prognostic meaning of coronary microvascular disease in Type 2 diabetes mellitus: a transthoracic Doppler echocardiographic study. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2014; 27:742-748.
43. Egashirwa K, Inou T, Hirooka Y, et al. Impaired coronary blood flow response to acetylcholine in patients with coronary risk factors and proximal atherosclerotic lesion. *J Clin Invest* 1993;91:29-37.
44. Echavarria-Pinto M, Escaned J, Macias E, et al. Disturbed coronary hemodynamics in vessels with intermediate stenosis evaluated with fractional flow reserve: a combined analysis of epicardial and microcirculatory involvement in ischemic heart disease. *Circulation* 2013; 128:2557-2566.
45. Sen S, Petraco R, Mayet J, et al. Wave intensity analysis in the human coronary circulation in health and disease. *Curr Cardiol Rev* 2014 10:17-23.
46. Guarini G, Huqi A, Morrone D, Capozza P, Todiere G, Marzilli M. Pharmacological approaches to coronary microvascular dysfunction. *Pharmacol Ther* 2014; 144:283-302.
47. Bairey Merz CN, Handberg EM, Shufelt CL, et al. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of late Na current inhibition (ranolazine) in coronary microvascular dysfunction (CMD): impact on angina and myocardial perfusion reserve. *Eur Heart J* 2016; 37:1504-1513.
48. Braga JR, Austin PC, Ross HJ, et al. Importance of nonobstructive coronary artery disease in the prognosis of patients with heart failure. *JACC Heart Fail* 2019; 7:493-501.
49. Simova I, et al. Coronary flow velocity reserve assessment with transthoracic doppler echocardiography. *Eur Card Review* 2015;10(1):12-18.
50. Pasupathy S, Tavella R, McRae S, et al. Myocardial infarction with nonobstructive coronary arteries-dioagnosis and management. *Eur Card Review* 2015;10(2):79-82.
51. Jespersen L, Abildstrom SZ, Hvelplund A, Prescott E. Persistent angina: highly prevalent and associated with long-term anxiety, depression, low physical functioning, and quality of life of stable angina pectoris. *Clin Res Cardiol* 2013; 102:571-581.