Evaluation of Body Mass Index as a Factor of Outcome in Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery
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Abstract:

Background: Obesity is supposed to be a risk factor for patients undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery (CABG) increasing risk of in-hospital mortality and postoperative morbidity. So, this study was conducted to evaluate the outcome of CABG in obese patients in Bangladesh.

Methods: This prospective clinical trial was undertaken in the Department of Cardiac Surgery, National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, Dhaka, Bangladesh from January 2015 to December 2016. A total of 60 consecutive patients were selected for CABG operation and their outcome measured against pre-set variables. Body mass index (BMI) 25 kg/m² was considered as cut off value between obese and non-obese patients.

Results: Mortality after CABG surgery was 9.5% in obese group and 10.3% in non-obese group (p=0.976) showing no significant difference but average hospital stay was more in obese group (10.2 ± 25 days) than non-obese group (8.3 ± 3.7 days), p=0.489. Sternal wound infection (50.0% & 8.69%) and conduit harvest site infection (35.7% & 4.34%) is also more in obese group but not significant statistically (p=0.064 & 0.084 respectively).

Conclusion: Patients with a BMI higher than normal are not at greater risk of in-hospital mortality after CABG. But obese patients should be more cared in post operative period for sternal and conduit harvest site infection as infection is more in obese patients.

(Cardiovasc. j. 2018; 10(2): 150-157)
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Introduction:

Ischemic heart disease (IHD) is the most common form of heart disease and the most important cause of premature death in developed countries.¹ In the UKI in 3 men and 1 in 4 women die from IHD, an estimated 333,000 people have a myocardial infarct each year and approximately 1.3 million people have angina. The death rates from the IHD in the UK are amongst the highest in Western Europe (more than 140,000 people in 1997) but are falling, particularly in younger groups. In the last 10 years mortality has fallen by 42% among UK men and women aged 16-64 years. According to the WHO data published in April 2011 Coronary Heart Disease Deaths in Bangladesh reached 163,769 or 17.11% of total deaths. Bangladesh ranks 25th position in the world in respect to cause of death due to coronary artery disease.² Disease of the coronary artery is almost due to atheroma and its complications, particularly thrombosis.

Worldwide, the prevalence of obesity has taken an epidemic forms; over a billion people are overweight and 300 million people are obese. Eight percent (8%) females and 10% males of AMI patients are obese in Bangladesh. Hypertension, diabetes and hyperlipidaemia are common in obese patients in both male and female with no significant sex difference.³

Obesity is supposed to be a risk factor for patients undergoing CABG. It is assumed that obesity increases the risk of in-hospital mortality and postoperative morbidity.⁴ The Parsonnet risk stratification scoring system gives a score of 3 points for patients with a body mass index (BMI) of greater than 35 kg/m².⁴ A fair number of severely obese patients with coronary artery disease are therefore not referred by cardiologist and have their operations either postponed and are advised to lose weight, or are sometimes even turned down by surgeons due to perceptions of the supposedly high risk involved when undergoing CABG. However, there does not appear to be much evidence in the literature to support the
assumptions that obese patients have poor outcome compared to non-obese patients after CABG.

In recent years, there has been data published from several institutions suggesting that the risks of undergoing CABG in obese patients may be no different than in the non-obese, especially with regards to mortality; although an increased risk of wound infections and atrial fibrillation have been highlighted.\(^5\)

However, the relationship of obesity and the risk of adverse outcomes after CABG is still unclear due to small number of patients in several of this studies.\(^6\) So further study about the effect of BMI on CABG are time worthy.

**Methods:**

This prospective clinical trial was conducted in the Department of Cardiovascular Surgery of National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, Dhaka, Bangladesh during the period from January 2015 to December 2016. This nonrandomized clinical study consisted of sixty consecutive patients with coronary artery bypass surgery and were divided into two groups, Group A - obese (BMI $\geq 25$ kg/m$^2$) and Group B - non-obese BMI<$25$ kg/m$^2$) on the basis of their BMI in accordance with Asian ethnicity. Patients having ischaemic heart diseases with valvular diseases, pulmonary diseases, impaired renal function, ejection fraction<$40\%$, age$>$70 years, BMI$<$18.5 kg/m$^2$ and redo-coronary artery surgery were excluded from the study. Ethical consideration was strictly maintained with upholding patient’s best interest.

Patients were selected after having matched the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Weight and height were measured and recorded in all participants by a standard medical scale and stadiometer, respectively. All the methods adopted to measure height and weight was standard referring to Richard EB et al.\(^7\) Self reported weight and height was not accepted. BMI was calculated, categorized and recorded accordingly. Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery was done with standard conventional technique in all patients. In hospital outcomes were observed and recorded with one month follow-up data along with it. Each patient’s outcomes were measured against postoperative ventilation time, ICU stay, hospital stay, neurological problem, perioperative MI, re-intervention, renal problem, sternal wound infection, conduit harvest site infection, pulmonary complications and in-hospital mortality. Statistical analysis was done using standard method with t test and chi-square test. Statistical significance was assumed if $p < 0.05$ throughout the study.

**Results:**

**Distribution of Age and Sex:**

Out of 60 patients the mean age was 50.5±8.0 years ranging from 35 to 68 years. The mean age of group A patients was 50.0±7.6 years ranging from 37 to 61 years and group B patients were 50.8±8.3 years ranging from 35 to 68 years. Analysis revealed that no statistically significant ($p>0.05$) mean age difference was found between group A and B patients in unpaired t-test.

Out of 60 patients, male female ratio was 9:1. In group A 18(85.7%) and group B 36(92.3%) was male and the rest 3(14.3%) and 3(7.7%) was female in group A and group B respectively. Analysis revealed that no statistically significant ($p>0.05$) difference was found between the groups regarding male and female ratio.

**Table-I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age in years</th>
<th>Group A (n=21)</th>
<th>Group B (n=39)</th>
<th>Total (n=60)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>50.0±7.6</td>
<td>50.8±8.3</td>
<td>50.5±8.0</td>
<td>0.863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>37 to 61</td>
<td>35 to 68</td>
<td>35 to 68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group A: Obese patients (BMI $\geq 25$ kg/m$^2$)
Group B: Non-obese patients (BMI $< 25$ kg/m$^2$)

*P* value reached from unpaired t-test
ns = Not Significant
Distribution of other preoperative patient characteristics:

The mean BMI of the study patients was 24.9±3.20 kg/m² ranging from 19.37 to 32 kg/m². The mean BMI of group A patients was 28.5±2.28 kg/m² ranging from 25 to 32 kg/m² and group B patients was 22.9 ±1.4 kg/m² ranging from 19.37 to 24.99 kg/m². Analysis revealed that statistically significant (p <0.05) mean BMI difference was found between group A and B patients in unpaired t-test.

In group A12 patients (57.1%) had hypertension, 8(38.1%) had diabetes and 13(61.9%) had dyslipidaemia. In group B 5(12.8%) had hypertension, 6(15.4%) diabetes and 4(10.3%) dyslipidaemia. Analysis revealed that statistically significant (p<0.05) difference was found between group A and group B patients in chi square test in term of hypertension and dyslipidaemia. Regarding the LV function was observed that 13(61.9%) good and rest 8(38.1%) moderate in group A. In group B 30(76.9%) was good and rest 9(23.1%) moderate in group A. Analysis revealed that no statistically significant (p >0.05) difference was found between group A and group B patients in chi square test.

Regarding extent of coronary disease, single vessel was none in both groups. Double vessel was 5(23.8%) and 9(23.1%) in group A and group B respectively. Triple vessel was 16(76.2%) and 30(76.9%) in group A and group B respectively. Analysis revealed that no statistically significant (p>0.05) difference was found between group A and group B patients in chi square test.

Postoperative sternal wound infection in Diabetic and Non-diabetic patient was 6 (42.9%) and 5 (10.9%) respectively. Post operative conduit harvest site infection in diabetic patient was 4 (28.5%) and in non-diabetic patient, it was 3 (6.5%). Analysis revealed that there was statistically significant difference (p<0.05) in postoperative sternal wound infection between diabetic and non-diabetic patient but conduit harvest site infection was not increased significantly in diabetic group.

Perioperative myocardial infarction in hypertensive patients and in normotensive patients were 1 (5.8%) and 3(6.9%) respectively. Analysis revealed that there was no statistically significant difference (p>0.05 in perioperative myocardial infarction between hypertensive and normotensive patient.

Dyslipidaemia in diabetic and in non-diabetic group was 7 (50%) and 10(21.7%) respectively. Analysis revealed that there was statistically

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table-II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex distribution of study population.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group A: Obese patients (BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²).
Group B: Non-obese patients (BMI < 25 kg/m²).

ns = Not Significant

| Group A: Obese patients (BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²).
Group B: Non-obese patients (BMI < 25 kg/m²).
P value reached from Chi square test.
s = Not Significant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table-III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Distribution of body mass index in study population.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group A: Obese patients (BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²).
Group B: Non-obese patients (BMI < 25 kg/m²).
P value reached from unpaired t-test

^s = Significant
**Table-IV**

*Distribution of associated preoperative patient characteristics in both groups.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group A (n=21)</th>
<th>Group B (n=39)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidaemia</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group A: Obese patients (BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²).
Group B: Non-obese patients (BMI < 25 kg/m²).
p value reached from chi square test
S = Significant
ns = Not Significant

**Table-V**

*Distribution of Extent of Coronary disease in both groups of study population.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent of Coronary disease</th>
<th>Group A (n=21)</th>
<th>Group B (n=39)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single vessel</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double vessel</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple vessel</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group A: Obese patients (BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²).
Group B: Non-obese patients (BMI < 25 kg/m²).
P value reached from chi square test
ns = Not Significant

**Table-VI**

*Distribution of patients with wound infection in diabetic and non-diabetic patients*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Diabetic (n=14)</th>
<th>Non-diabetic (n=46)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sternal wound infection</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduit harvest site infection</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diabetic patients
Non-diabetic patients
P value reached from Fisher exact test
s = Significant
ns = Not Significant

**Table-VII**

*Distribution of perioperative myocardial infarction in hypertensive and normotensive patients.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Hypertensive patient (n=17)</th>
<th>Normotensive patient (n=43)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perioperative myocardial infarction</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hypertensive patients.
Normotensive patients
P value reached from Fisher exact test
ns = Not Significant
significant difference (p<0.05) regarding dyslipidaemia between diabetic and non-diabetic patient.

Out of 60 patients, mortality was found 2(9.5%) and 4(10.3%) in group A (obese) and group B (non-obese) respectively and the difference was not statistically significant (p >0.05) between group A and group B patients in chi square test.

The mean ventilation time (hour) of group A patients was 18.2±9.3 hours ranging from 7 to 85 hours and group B patients was 13.2 ± 4.5 hours ranging from 5 to 96 hours. Analysis revealed that no statistically significant (p>0.05) mean ventilation time difference was found between group A and B patients in unpaired t-test.

The mean ICU stay (days) of group A patients was 5.5 ± 2.1 days ranging from 2 to 11 days and group B patients was 6.2 ± 3.7 days ranging from 2 to 20 days. Analysis revealed no statistically significant (p>0.05) regarding mean ICU stay period.

The mean hospital stay (days) of group A patients was 10.2 ± 2.5 days ranging from 2 to 20 days. Analysis revealed no statistically significant (p>0.05) regarding mean hospital stay period.

Out of 60 patients, mortality was found 2(9.5%) and 4(10.3%) in group A (obese) and group B (non-obese) respectively and the difference was not statistically significant (p >0.05) between group A and group B patients in chi square test.

The mean ventilation time (hour) of group A patients was 18.2±9.3 hours ranging from 7 to 85 hours and group B patients was 13.2 ± 4.5 hours ranging from 5 to 96 hours. Analysis revealed that no statistically significant (p>0.05) mean ventilation time difference was found between group A and B patients in unpaired t-test.

The mean ICU stay (days) of group A patients was 5.5 ± 2.1 days ranging from 2 to 11 days and group B patients was 6.2 ± 3.7 days ranging from 2 to 20 days. Analysis revealed no statistically significant (p>0.05) regarding mean ICU stay period.

The mean hospital stay (days) of group A patients was 10.2 ± 2.5 days ranging from 2 to 20 days. Analysis revealed no statistically significant (p>0.05) regarding mean hospital stay period.

In group A patients, morbidity was found 3(14.3%) neurological problem, 2(9.5%) perioperative MI, 1(4.8%), re intervention, 2(9.5%) renal problem, 7(33.3%) sternal wound infection, 5(23.8%) harvest site infection, 1(4.8%) pulmonary problem. In group B patients, morbidity was found 3(7.7%) neurological problem, 2(5.1%) perioperative MI, 1(2.6%) re intervention, 3(7.7%) renal problem, 4( 10.3%)
Discussion:

Obesity is considered to be a major risk factor in patients undergoing CABG surgery. A high BMI (BMI > 25 kg/m²) and especially obesity (BMI > 30 kg/m²) is attended with the presentation of co-morbidity, like cardiovascular disease, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, hypertension etc. With increasing BMI, the co-morbidity increases. Obesity also alters the pulmonary function leading to an increase in functional residual capacity and a decrease in vital capacity and maximum voluntary ventilation.

On the other hand, in several score system, obesity is not identified as a variable needed for risk stratification. Several recent publications suggest that obesity has no influence on the mortality although there is an increased risk of in-hospital and early postoperative morbidity after CABG surgery.

In this study, the mean age in non obese group requiring CABG is slightly lower than obese group but statistically it is not significant. This result is more or less similar to other series. A male preponderance was observed in both obese and non-obese groups with overall male female ratio of 9:1. In other series, obese patients were more likely to be men but this series does not support that statement.

In this study, obese patients were more likely to be hypertensive and have dyslipidaemia compared to non-obese patients. In non-obese group, only 12.8% were hypertensive with dyslipidaemia in 10.30%. Analysis revealed statistically significant difference between obese and non obese group in term of hypertension and dyslipidaemia. This observation concurs with other studies. In this series, preoperative patient characteristics in the form of extent of coronary disease showed no statistically significant difference between obese and non-obese group.

This study has shown that obesity was not a risk factor for in-hospital mortality. In obese group, mortality was 9.5% with 10.3% mortality in non-obese group. This is in concurrence with other studies. Prabhakar et al. found a significant increase for in-hospital mortality in obese patients who had a BMI higher than 35 kg/m². He found that moderate obesity (BMI>35 kg/m²) was associated with a slight but statistically significant increase in risk adjusted increase in mortality and those with extreme obesity (BMI>40 kg/m²) had a nearly 50% increase in risk adjusted mortality. But in this study, there was no study population having BMI 35 kg/m². So relationship between moderate obesity und mortality could not be assessed. Here, mild obesity may be protective to stress response and give energy in postoperative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group A (n=21)</th>
<th>Group B (n=39)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neurological Problem</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.458&lt;sup&gt;ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perioperative MI</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.515&lt;sup&gt;ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reintervention</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.651&lt;sup&gt;ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal problem</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.807&lt;sup&gt;ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sternal wound infection</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.064&lt;sup&gt;ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvest site infection</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.084&lt;sup&gt;ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary problem</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.450&lt;sup&gt;ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group A: Obese patients (BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²)
Group B: Non-obese patients (BMI < 25 kg/m²)
p value reached from chi square test
<sup>ns= Not Significant</sup>
This study found that obesity only increases the risk of wound infections but statistically it is not significant. There is no increased risk of any other form of morbidity among obese patients undergoing CABG compared to non-obese patients except hospital stay which is more in obese patients but not significant statistically.

In this series, sternal wound infection is present in 33.3% patients of obese group compared to 10.3% of non-obese patients. It is statistically not significant. Regarding conduit harvest site infection, 23.8% obese patients developed infection whereas in non-obese group infection developed in 5.1% of patients. The difference of infection between two group statistically significant (p value= 0.084).

The increase in wound infections was also found in other studies. A previous study found that obesity is an independent preoperative risk factor for sternal wound complications after CABG. This is in association with Lu CY et al. and Schwann et al. Schwann suggests that this higher risk of wound infections may be due to a decreased perfusion of adipose tissue and increased incidence of diabetes in obese patients. There is also a relative increase in operating time in obese patients. This suggests an increased open-chest exposure to the environment and may result in an increased incidence of sternal wound infections. My study suggested that there is an association between diabetes mellitus and postoperative wound infection after coronary artery bypass surgery.

This study did not reveal any a significant difference for perioperative myocardial infection, neurological problem, re-intervention, renal problem or pulmonary problem. In obese group, morbidity was found in the form of 14.3% neurological problem, 9.5% peri-operative MI, 4.8% re-intervention, 9.5% renal problem, 4.8% pulmonary problem. In the non-obese group neurological problem was 7.7%, perioperative MI 5.1%, reinter-vention 2.6%, renal problem 7.7%, pulmonary problem 5.1%. Except pulmonary problem all are a little more in obese group but statistically not significant. This study is in concurrence with other studies. This showed that dyslipidaemia was more in diabetic patient (50%) compared to non-diabetic patient where it was 21.7% and the difference was statistically significant.

Ventilation time was found more in obese group with mean duration of 18.2±9.3 hours compared to non-obese group where mean duration of mechanical ventilation was 13.2 ± 4.5 hours but it is statistically not significant. It is like results of other studies. Prolong duration of mechanical ventilation could be due to impaired respiratory function as a result of relatively decreased vital capacity and a prolonged depression of respiratory drive due to slow release of anaesthetic agents stored in fatty tissue into the blood stream. Duration of ICU stay and hospital stay showed no significant difference between two groups. In obese group, mean ICU stay was 5.5±21 days with mean hospital stay of 10.2 ± 2.5 days. In non-obese group, the mean duration of ICU and hospital stay were 6.2 ± 3.7 days and 8.3 ± 3.7 days respectively. A little more hospital stay in obese group may be due to the direct effect of increased wound infections in obese group. But this is not statistically significant. All patients were followed up for one month in this study consisting of written survey directed to all patients who survived. In this survey survival / mortality and non-fatal cardiac events were registered. Non-fatal cardiac events included a new MI, return of angina pectoris, congestive cardiac failure, rhythm disturbance and stroke. But no events were positive in both obese and non-obese groups and hence statistical analysis was not possible. All patients of this study who survived remained fine for one month follow up period.

**Study Limitation:**
Several limitations of this study should be addressed. First of all, all the surgeries in both groups were not performed by one surgical team but same protocol was followed by all team and in all cases (off pump coronary artery bypass). The study population was small. If it was a large scale study, it would be more representative. Due to small population, correlation of diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidaemia and post operative infection in obese and non obese patient also was not so representative.
Conclusion:
This study has shown that patients who have a body mass index (BMI) higher than normal are not at greater risk of in-hospital mortality after coronary artery bypass surgery compared with non-obese patients. However, these patients appear to have a greater but statistically insignificant risk of wound infections. Diabetic patients are also at increased risk of postoperative sternal wound infection. So, obese patients can safely undergo coronary artery bypass surgery.
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