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Abstract

Arsenic from groundwater affects people in Bangladesh via seed grains and forages. Samples of rice (Oryza sativa L) and rice straw were collected from arsenic-contaminated areas and arsenic concentration was measured using Flow Injection Hidride Generator Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (FI-HG-AAS) method. The concentrations in rice and rice straw were 0.235 ± 0.014 ppm (n = 48) and 1.149 ± 0.119 ppm (n = 51), respectively. Both were greater than the maximum permissible concentration in drinking water (0.05 ppm; WHO). (Bangl. vet. 2012. Vol. 29, No. 1, 1 – 6)

Introduction

Arsenic ranked the first in a list of 20 hazardous substances by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (Goering et al., 1999). Higher arsenic concentrations have been reported in the top layer of soil (Huq et al., 2003). The main source of air and soil contamination with arsenic compounds is the mining of coal and oil as well as mining and metallurgy of non-ferrous metals (Ozna and Biernat, 2008) and in drinking water the source is arsenic-rich rocks through which the water has filtered. The use of groundwater for irrigation has increased greatly over the last couple of decades. About 80% of pumped groundwater is utilized for crops, but the groundwater in many areas of Bangladesh is severely contaminated with arsenic. There is a possibility of arsenic accumulation in rice and rice plants from irrigation water (Delowar et al., 2005). Arsenic-rich groundwater from shallow tubewells is widely used for the irrigation of Boro rice in Bangladesh (Dittmar et al., 2007). Arsenic is transported by the blood to different organs in the body, mainly in the form of monomethylarsonic acid. After acute and chronic exposure arsenic causes a wide variety of adverse health effects to humans (Mandal and Suzuki, 2002).

Ghosh (2011) reported that 83.3% cows in Bangladesh depended on shallow tubewell water for drinking and arsenic is also deposited into cattle body through rice straw and husk, which in turn finds a route into the human body. Arsenic intake in humans from rice and cattle could be potentially hazardous as the people of the contaminated areas are also getting arsenic from drinking water (Rahman et al., 2008). Feeding cattle with contaminated straw could be a threat to their health and indirectly to human health via contaminated beef and milk (Abedin et al., 2002). The present
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study was conducted to estimate the concentration of arsenic in rice and rice straw collected from selected areas of Daudkandi Upazilla (sub-district) of Comilla district of Bangladesh.

Materials and Methods

The rice and its straw were collected from six unions (North Eliotganj, South Eliotgonj, North Mohammadpur, South Mohammadpur, East Mohammadpur, West Mohammadpur) in Daudkandi Upazilla of Comilla district and that has been identified as one of the most contaminated areas (DPHE-BGS, 2000).

Sample preparation and digestion

Rice: Rice samples were sun-dried. About 0.95 -1g samples were taken separately into digestion tube and 10 mL of 69% concentrated nitric acid and 70% of perchloric acid mixture at the ratio of 5:3 was added. The samples were left to react overnight in a chemical hood, then heated in a block digester (M-24 plazas/samples, JP Selecta, Spain) at 120°C until colourless clear watery fluid appeared. Tubes were gently shaken several times to facilitate destroying all the carbonaceous material. This digestion converts all arsenicals to inorganic arsenic for FI-HG-AAS determination. Tubes were removed from the digestion block, cooled, diluted to 50 mL adding Millipore water, filtered through filter paper and stored in 50 mL plastic bottles.

Rice straw: Clean and oven-dried samples were digested as described by Wang et al. (2006) with modifications. About 0.45 - 0.50g rice straw was weighed after further drying at 60°C to constant weight. It was taken separately into digestion tube and 7 mL of 69% concentrated nitric acid was added and similar procedures were followed as before.

Detection of Arsenic

Concentrations of arsenic in digested samples were determined using atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS), model PG – 990 equipped with a computer with atomic absorption (AA) Win software (PG Instruments Ltd., UK) as described by Samanta et al. (1999). Briefly, samples were spiked with standards at different concentrations. For constructing standard curve, working standards of 0, 2.5, 5, 10, 15 and 20 ppb were prepared immediately before use by serial dilution of the stock in 10% hydrochloric acid. Samples exceeding the standard curve range were diluted again and analysed further. The concentration of arsenic in those samples was calculated by multiplying the appropriate dilution factor. Sample solution concentrations were determined by direct comparison with the calibration curve and the reading was automatically transferred to AA Win software. Concentration of arsenic in the sample was calculated from the following formula:

\[
\text{Arsenic concentration (ppm)} = \frac{\text{Concentration of arsenic in sample solution (µL) \times mL of sample}}{\text{Sample weight (g) \times 1000}}
\]
Statistical analysis

The data were analysed statistically using Student’s *t*-test as described by Bailey (1981).

Results and Discussion

The concentration of arsenic in rice ranged from 0.04605 to 0.46981 ppm with a mean (± SEM) value of 0.235 ± 0.014 ppm (*n* = 48; Table 1). Arsenic concentration in rice straw was significantly higher (*P*<0.01) than in rice (Fig. 1), ranging from 0.077 to 5.139 ppm with a mean (± SEM) value of 1.149 ± 0.119 ppm (*n* = 51). Similar concentration of arsenic in rice (0.213 ± 0.125, *n* = 35) from the same area was reported by Haq (2012).

Table 1. Concentration of arsenic (ppm) in rice and rice straw collected from contaminated areas of Comilla district

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Name</th>
<th>Mean (Range)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>0.235424 (0.04605 – 0.46981)</td>
<td>0.099039</td>
<td>0.014295</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice straw</td>
<td>1.149421 (0.077 – 5.139)</td>
<td>0.855987</td>
<td>0.119862</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Arsenic concentration (ppm) in rice and rice straw collected from arsenic-contaminated areas of Comilla district

The concentrations of arsenic in rice straw varied more than in rice (Fig. 1). The fluctuations could be due to differences in the absorption and distribution of arsenic in the plant. Moreover, the root of the rice plant accumulates higher concentrations of arsenic than other parts of the mature plant. Rahman *et al.* (2007) found significantly higher concentration of As in different parts of various rice varieties in the order: straw > husk > brown rice grain > polished rice grain. Another reason for variation in the
concentration of arsenic in rice straw could be variation in the soil arsenic concentration, which is supported by a study carried out in Mymensingh district of Bangladesh where the arsenic concentration in soil varied considerably between locations (Islam et al., 2004).

A research group based at Cornell University reported that average arsenic concentrations in Brahmanbaria district of Bangladesh in rice grain and rice straw were 0.45 and 2.00 ppm, respectively (Farid et al., 2005). These figures are approximately double what were found in this study. These variations could be due to differences in soil and water arsenic concentrations in different districts of Bangladesh. The Cornell group found significant correlations between arsenic contents of soil, grain and straw (Farid et al., 2005).

In Thailand concentrations of inorganic arsenic in polished white, jasmine and sticky rice were 0.068 ± 17.6, 0.068 ± 15.6, and 0.075 ± 24.8 ppm, respectively, while those in three brown rice samples were 0.124 ± 34.4, 0.120 ± 31.6, and 0.131 ± 35.6 ppm, respectively (Ruangwises et al., 2012). Arsenic concentration in brown rice is greater than in polished rice. However, the concentration of arsenic in Thai rice is almost half that found in rice from Comilla district of Bangladesh.

There was no significant difference between rice varieties. The average concentration of arsenic in the Aman variety of rice was 0.192 ± 0.026 and that in Boro was 0.242 ± 0.016 ppm. There were significant differences in the concentration of arsenic in rice straw of different varieties (Hossain et al., 2008). The values for Aus (cultivated April to August), Aman (cultivated July to December) and Boro (cultivated November to July) straw were 702.4 ± 67.1 ppb (95% CI : 559.5 - 845.3 ppb), 431.7 ± 28.8 ppb (95% CI : 374.0 - 489.5 ppb) and 1386.9 ± 71.8 ppb (95% CI : 1245.1 - 1528.8 ppb), respectively. Content of arsenic in Boro straw was significantly (P<0.01) higher than that in Aus and Aman straw, whereas no significant (P>0.05) difference was found between Aus and Aman straw (Ghosh, 2011).

Because, shallow tubewell water is considered to be the primary source of contamination of irrigated crops, the concentration of arsenic in shallow tubewell water was compared with the concentrations in rice and rice straw of Daudkandi Upazilla of Comilla district. In Daudkandi Upazilla, the mean arsenic concentration in shallow tubewell water is 0.455 ppm (93 - 183 feet, 28 - 56 metres depth), 10 times higher than the Bangladesh maximum permissible limit of 0.05 ppm (Das et al., 2002).

In this study rice and rice straw were collected from Daudkandi Upazilla of Comilla district and the average concentrations of arsenic were 0.235 ± 0.014 and 1.149 ± 0.119 ppm, respectively. The rice plant accumulated more arsenic than rice and the latter accumulated less arsenic than in the shallow tubewell water of the same area.

Conclusions

The concentrations of arsenic in rice grain (0.235 ± 0.014 ppm) and straw (1.149 ± 0.119 ppm) is four and 22 times greater than the maximum permissible concentration
of arsenic in drinking water (0.05 ppm, WHO), respectively. The grain contains less arsenic than the straw. Since, many animals are mainly fed on rice straw, this is an alarming concentration of arsenic. Arsenic tolerance could be due to detoxification in some plant species (Mallick et al., 2011). Cultivation of arsenic-accumulating plants can be followed by cultivation of rice or other grains. Cucumis sativus (cucumber) has been found to be the best for extraction of arsenic from soil and water (Hong et al., 2011).
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