

Surgery for complicated pulmonary tuberculosis.Ganie FA et al. (farooq.ganie@ymail.com)

Reviewer's information			
Date review assigned	19-Sep-23		
Reviewer name	Rijwan Bhuiyan		
Affiliation	Ekhlaspur Center of Health, Chandpur, Bangladesh	Do you wish to be disclosed to the author?	Yes
Email	physiorijwan@gmail.com	Do you have any conflict of interest with the author/s?	No
ORCID	0000-0003-0005-8889		

Reviewer's comments [Please select "Yes" or "No"]	Score out of 10	Author's response Please write a response if score is less than 6. You must change the manuscript as per your response. Mention line numbers.
1. How would you rate the originality and depth of the manuscript?	6	-
2. Is the manuscript written in a scholarly manner?	5	The manuscript is revised and pruned sufficiently.
3. Does the manuscript have the potential to make a valuable contribution to the world of knowledge?	6	-
4. Does the manuscript meet ethical standards?	10	-
Reviewer's comments (19-Sept-23)	Author's response (25-Nov-23)	
<p>MECHANICAL EDITING</p> <p>The manuscript could be awesome because, have a large number of cases on which have operated. However, there are issues to improve it. Kindly revise the manuscript addressing the following points:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Insert line numbers into the complete document to facilitate the review process. 2. Provide authors' affiliations with email IDs and ORCIDs. Note that ORCID is mandatory for the lead author or the corresponding author. 3. The Abstract and Introduction do not have an objective. 4. Methods: Provide a clear statement of the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Provide a little details of the surgeries done for the common readers to understand. I suppose there is a need for operational definitions too. Add a sub-section for statistical analysis. 5. Results: I do not see any tables. Is it inadvertently omitted? You have 967 cases, for whom you could share a descriptive analysis of the socioeconomic status (at least age and sex) and clinical features, outcome etc. Add the tables to the end of the document. 6. Add author contributions, acknowledgments, COI, Funding, and ethical clearance just above the References. 7. References: I suppose the literature review is not adequate. Add more relevant references with a full list of authors, and DOIs, using the Vancouver style. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Given line number as advised. 2. Given accordingly. 3. Revised the abstract and introduction. 4. Revised the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Explained the surgical procedures in a simple way. Given few definition as appropriate. Given a separate paragraph for statistical analysis. 5. As the description is simple so we explained in the text. However, we shall provide if needed further. 6. Given as per journal's guidelines. 7. Added few literatures and given DOI of the cited article in reference list. 	

Executive editor's comments (25-Nov-23)		Author's response (26-Nov-23)
<p>Upon my review, I came to the conclusion that the message of the article can very well be expressed within 500 to 600 words. Therefore, we shall be ready to consider it as a RESEARCH LETTER (see the website for formatting related details).</p> <p>If you agree, we can start editing it. Otherwise, let us know about your disagreement. In such a case, we shall reject it soon.</p>		<p>We are very grateful to your esteemed journal for considering our research in your esteemed journal as RESEARCH LETTER.</p>
Editor's Decision	Major revision	

Second round

Executive editor's comments (03-Dec-23)		Author's response (23-Dec-23)
<p>We have uploaded the file named "63667.Ganie.TB_ResearchLetter" for your review and necessary correction/revision.</p> <p>Kindly insert all authors' information into the online submission platform of the BSMMU Journal.</p>		<p>I am sorry for delay from my side. Please find the revised version of the manuscript as advised.</p> <p>We are facing difficulties to edit the details of the authors in the system. However, we have given information in the manuscript (attached).</p>

Third round

Executive editor's comments (24-Dec-23)		Author's response (1-Jan-24)
<p>The numbers are still confusing. Please double-check the highlighted texts and numbers.</p>		<p>We have checked and corrected the numbers. Please find the attached version.</p>

Fourth round

Executive editor's comments (21-Jan-24)		Author's response (21-Jan-24)
<p>Please find the final version as Research letter. The journal office has deep language editing and formatting. Please agree with that revision.</p>		<p>We have gone through the and it's very much correct.</p>