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Abstract

The study aimed to compare the effects of intralesional steroid injection and ultrasound therapy for the treatment of carpal tunnel syndrome. A total 130 patients divided into 2 groups: a) One group (n=65) received intralesional corticosteroid injection along with wrist splint, exercise, naproxen sodium 500 mg tablet and omeprazole 20 mg capsule twice daily for 2 weeks; b) Another group received (n=65) ultrasound therapy (10 min/day, 3 days/week for 4 weeks) along with Wrist splint for 4 weeks, exercises for 4 weeks, naproxen sodium 500 mg tablet and omeprazole 20 mg capsule twice daily for 2 weeks. The patients were followed-up 2 weekly for 4 weeks. The visual analogue score, symptom severity scores and functional status scores were significantly improved within each group at week 2 (p<0.05) and week 4 (p<0.05) except for the symptom severity score at (p>0.05). The improvement in symptom severity scores and functional status scores in the intralesional steroid group was more than in the ultrasound therapy group after 4 weeks.

Introduction

Carpal tunnel syndrome is the most common form of entrapment neuropathy caused by the compression of the median nerve as it passes through the carpal tunnel. The management is based on relieving the pressure on the median nerve with various conservative and surgical treatment methods. However, clinicians strive to build up conservative or less invasive methods. This trend is likely due to three main reasons: a) the recurrence rate of surgical treatment, b) less complication with conservative treatment and c) some patients with carpal tunnel syndrome have spontaneous recovery.

Mild to moderate symptoms are commonly managed with conservative measures. Non-surgical treatment for carpal tunnel syndrome include wrist splinting, steroid injection into the carpal canal, exercises, yoga, therapeutic ultrasound, activity or ergonomics modification and oral medication of vitamins.

There are many options of treatment. Steroid injection into the carpal tunnel is easy to perform, and the rate of complications is low. In addition, response to the treatment may confirm the diagnosis. Local corticosteroid injection is effective in the short-term treatment of musculoskeletal problems including carpal tunnel syndrome. Iatrogenic injury to the median nerve is a major complication and the safest location for the injection is highly debated. Therapeutic ultrasound is a physical therapy that involves the application of a round-headed instrument to the skin of the painful area to deliver sound waves that are absorbed by the underlying connective tissue, such as ligaments and tendons. The intervention can vary in its intensity and frequency of sound waves, and the duration of treatment can range from a few days to months. Ultrasound is a widely used and accepted adjunct modality for the management of many musculoskeletal conditions, particularly lesions of tendon, ligament and bursa. Therapeutic ultrasound is reported to reduce the edema, relieve pain and accelerate tissue repair. Analgesia that is induced by therapeutic ultrasound may be the result of increased capillary permeability and tissue metabolism, the enhancement of fibrous tissue extensibility and the elevation of the pain threshold by thermal mechanisms. Therapeutic ultrasound is also used to treat a...
number of musculoskeletal conditions, such as osteoarthritis and acute ankle sprain.\textsuperscript{17}

Splinting creates immobilization of the wrist joint by an external device. The splint usually leaves the fingers and thumb free to move and it may be worn at nighttime, or at night and during daytime activities that cause wrist motion. A thermoplastic splint may be custom-fitted to the patient by an occupational therapist, or a softer, adjustable splint may be fitted and purchased. A specific soft splint that prevents the wrist from moving into flexion, and maintains the long and ring fingers in extension at the metacarpophalangeal and interphalangeal joints, called the 'MANU' hand brace, is commercially made.\textsuperscript{18}

**Materials and Methods**

The study was conducted from July 2017 to June 2018. On arrival of the patient, the history, physical examination and investigation were done. The subjects were selected according to the inclusion criteria: a) age ≥18 years; b) patients with complaints of paresthesia and/or pain for at least one month in all or part of the hand territory innervated by the median nerve mainly at night or on waking and/or triggered by certain postures or repetitive forced movements of the fingers or wrist; c) electrophysiological evidence of median nerve entrapment at the wrist; d) no evidence of joint infection, recent trauma, fracture, malignancy, tuberculosis; e) no history of heat sensitivity or skin lesion and f) stable level of activity and would continue the treatment as directed.

In total 158 patients were selected according to the criteria and divided into two groups randomly by the way of lottery manually: a) one group (n=80) received intralesional corticosteroid injection (Trialone 20 mg/mL from Drug International Ltd, Bangladesh) along with wrist splint, exercise for 4 weeks, naproxen sodium 500 tablet and omeprazole 20 mg capsule twice daily for 2 weeks; b) Another group received (n=78) ultrasound therapy (10 min/day, 3 days/week for 4 weeks) along with wrist splint for 4 weeks, exercises for 4 weeks, naproxen sodium 500 mg tablet and omeprazole 20 mg capsule twice daily for 2 weeks. The patients were followed-up 2 weekly for 4 weeks.

The patients received intralesional steroid injection (20 mg/mL) in a single wrist on the carpal tunnel. The patients received continuous ultrasound using Enraf Nonius Sonoplus operated at a frequency of 1 MHz and intensity of 1.5 W/cm² with a transducer of 5 cm² and with aquasonic gel as couplant. The machine was standardized initially, and the output was controlled regularly on a simple underwater radiation balance. Slow circular movements applied by the transducer head over the wrist for 10 min/day 3 days/week for 4 weeks. The duration of ultrasound application estimated for each patient using Grey’s formula as follows:

\[
\text{Total treatment time} = \text{Planned local exposure time} \times (\text{tissue area/effective radiating area})
\]

Ultrasound therapy was given and exercise was shown by a physiotherapist.

Among the 158 patients, 28 were dropped out (15 from the intralesional steroid group and 13 from the ultrasound therapy group) because they could not follow the allocated treatment regularly. Ultimately 130 patients (52 males, 78 females) followed the treatment regularly.

**Data collection procedure:**

After the treatment of the patients as per schedule, the patients were assessed at baseline, week 2, week 4 after the starting of treatment and the outcome recorded in the assessment datasheet. Assessment of the patient was done by Boston Questionnaire,\textsuperscript{19} and visual analog score (VAS). The data were recorded properly in the data schedule.

**Statistical analysis**

All data were analyzed using SPSS-22 software. The results expressed as a percentage and mean ± SD and p<0.05 considered as the level of significance. Comparison of continuous variables between the two groups made with Student’s t-test for measuring independent data.

**Results**

Table I shows the comparison of VAS score between two groups at different time points. In Group A, at baseline, the mean VAS score was 6 which decreased gradually to 3.3 (at week 2) and then 2.7 (at week 4). In Group B, at baseline, the mean VAS score was 6.4 which decreased gradually to 4.4 (at week 2) and then 3.2 (at week 4). At the initial stage there was no statistical difference between two groups (p>0.05). But in week 2 and 4, there were highly significant statistical difference (p<0.05).

The mean symptom severity score at baseline was 3.7 which decreased gradually to 1.9 (at week 2) and then 1.4 (at week 4) in Group A. In Group B, at baseline, the mean symptom severity...
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Week 0</th>
<th>Week 2</th>
<th>Week 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visual analogue scale</strong></td>
<td>6.0 ± 1.3</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>6.4 ± 1.1</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.0</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Symptom severity score</strong></td>
<td>p value &gt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 &lt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Functional status score</strong></td>
<td>p value &gt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.05 &gt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>p value</strong></td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 &lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the present study, there was no statistical difference between the two groups (p>0.05). However, in week 2, there was a highly significant statistical difference (p<0.001). In week 4, there was no statistical difference between the two groups (p>0.05).

In case of functional status score, at baseline, the mean functional status score was 3.9 which decreased gradually to 1.7 (at week 2) and then 1.3 (at week 4) in Group A. In Group B, at baseline, the mean functional status score was 4.0 which decreased gradually to 2.3 (at week 2) and then 2.0 (at week 4). At the initial stage, there was no statistical difference between the two groups regarding functional status score (p>0.05). But in week 2 and 4, there were highly significant statistical differences between the two groups (p<0.05).

There was no statistical difference regarding the age, sex, handedness and duration of carpal tunnel syndrome between the two groups.

**Discussion**

There was an improvement in carpal tunnel syndrome after treatment in both the groups in the present study. The improvement appeared after one week. That is, intralesional steroid group and ultrasound therapy group, both began to improve after starting treatment. The difference of improvement between the two groups was found to begin at the end of week 2. The improvement was continued throughout the whole period of the study. After completion of the treatment i.e. after end of week 4 there was a highly significant improvement in both groups. In comparison, between the two groups, a significant improvement of the carpal tunnel syndrome was found in the patients who received intralesional steroid plus wrist splint, exercise and naproxen sodium 500 mg tablet twice daily.

Similar to this study, Huisstede et al. (2010) studied that strong and moderate evidence was found for the effectiveness of oral steroid injections, ultrasound, nocturnal splinting in the short-term. Also, moderate evidence was found for ultrasound in the midterm. With the exception of steroid injections, no long-term results were reported for any of these treatments. Moreover, although higher doses of steroid injections seem to be more effective in the midterm, the benefits of steroids injections were not maintained in the long-term. Although potential adverse effects to nerves and tendons with repeated injections have limited the value of this treatment.

In contrast to this result, Ebenbichler et al. (1998), concluded that ultrasound treatment may be similar in effectiveness to steroid injection or wrist splinting; improvements persisting for at least 6 months in most patients might even suggest the potential superiority of ultrasound treatment.

Carpal tunnel syndrome should be initially treated conservatively. Several authors declared that non-surgical methods are ineffective, some stated that local steroid injection has been proven to provide consistent and predictable short-term pain relief. While others stated that physical modalities could treat patients with carpal tunnel syndrome successfully and assist recoveries such as ultrasound, pulsed magnetic field, paraffin therapy, low-level laser therapy, exercises or splints.

According to this study intralesional corticosteroid injection improves VAS scores and Boston carpal tunnel questionnaire (BCTQ) either the symptom severity or functional capacity for mild to moderate carpal tunnel syndrome. The effect of corticosteroid in pain and inflammation control is well-studied. The effect of ultrasound therapy is not thermal, instead, it is supposed to facilitate microcirculation and endorphin secretion. In addition, hinder the enzymes that block pain enzymes leading to reduce pain and inflammation.

A study shows that the ultrasound therapy group showed improvement in pain-relieving...
and an increase in hand grip and pinch strength these can be attributed to stretching adhesions, increasing the space between the transverse carpal ligament and median nerve, and by reducing the compression and edema within the carpal tunnel. These are in favor of this present study. The treatment of carpal tunnel syndrome with corticosteroid is effective in short and long-term follow-up. There are important reasons to minimize the amount of steroid used, and this study has shown that low dose hydrocortisone is as effective as higher doses of the same or alternative, longer acting and steroid preparation.

**Conclusion**

Significantly higher improvement is noticed in patients who received intralesional corticosteroid than ultrasound therapy as evidenced by improvement of VAS score and Boston carpal tunnel questionnaire.
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