Posterior lumber interbody fusion with pedicle screw fixation for the management of spondylolisthesis: An analysis of 40 cases


Introduction

Spondylolisthesis is forward slipping of upper vertebra in relation to its lower one, which is classified by Meyerding Grades into I, II, III, IV and V or isthmic, degenerative, traumatic, pathologic and iatrogenic. The degree of slip is measured with Meyerding Grades. Low and Meyerding Grade 3, 4, or 5 are high. Spondylolisthesis always represent the mechanism of spinal instability. It may be due to ligamentous laxity, a defect in the pars interarticularis, previous surgery, or may be traumatic and up to 5% of the general population suffer from spondylolisthesis and it can occur at any age.

Indication for surgery of spondylolisthesis is intolerable due to low back pain, severe radicular pain, neurogenic claudication, presence of neurological symptoms, conservative treatment failure, increase grading of lysis thesis, instability confirmed by radiology, Meyerding Grade III and IV lysis thesis, and spondyloptosis. The ideal surgical treatment remains controversial.

Several procedures have been described in the surgical management of spondylolisthesis where the fusion with or without instrumentation is the most popular. Anterior lumbar interbody fusion, posterior lumbar interbody fusion, posterior lumbar fusion, circumferential 360 fusion (front and back) and more recently, the transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion are the most common procedure done in lysis thesis.

Lumbar interbody fusion is the most reliable and popular fusion technique because it is biomechanically stronger, provide axial support with less graft subsidence or collapse comparing to those with posterolateral arthrodesis, and produce a better biologic fusion in lordotic alignment. In lumbar spondylolisthesis, pedicle screw fixation was popularized in Europe in 1970 by Roy-Camille. The use of posterior intersomatic fusion with autologous bone in the discal space was described by Briggs and Milligan. Coward popularized this procedure in 1953. The association of intersomatic lumbar fusion with spacer and pedicle screw fixation was first proposed by Steffee. In isthmic spondylolisthesis, Suk et al. used the bone graft as intersomatic spacer with the pedicle screw fixation, to provide a truly circumferential fusion with better results.

This study was conducted to share our experience with the posterior lumbar interbody fusion with pedicle screw fixation for the management of lumbar spondylolisthesis with its functional
outcome and complication and compare this study to other literatures.

Materials and Methods
Forty patients who diagnosed as lumbar spondylolisthesis treated with posterior lumbar interbody fusion and pedicle screw fixation during the period of January 2012 to March 2015 done by a single surgeon was included in this prospective study. The patients who were unwilling to do the operation, pathological spondylolisthesis and treated conservatively were excluded in this study. A proforma was made for each patient and records were kept in a custom built Microsoft Excel Database. The consent was obtained from each patient.

There were 22 females and 18 males patients. The mean age of the patients in the study was 41.4 years with maximum patients being in the 41-60 age groups (25 patients).

Preoperatively all patients had the plain radiographs of lumbar vertebral slip was graded according to Meyerding’s (Figure 1, Figure 2).

The assessment of cauda equina and nerve root was carried out using magnetic resonance imaging in all the cases. The percentage of slip was graded according to Meyerding’s (Figure 1, Figure 2).

The major indications for the surgery were persistent/recurrent back or leg pain, severe neurogenic claudication leading to a significant reduction in the quality of life, failure of conservative trial of treatment, and worsening neurological deficit with bowel/bladder involvement.

After thorough investigation and obtaining fitness for the surgery from both the medical and anesthetic teams, all 40 patients with spondylolisthesis underwent posterolumber interbody fusion with bone grafting from the spine and lamina of the same vertebra and posterior spinal instrumentation with the pedicle screws and rods under general anesthesia (Figure 3, Figure 4).

The patients received intravenous antibiotics for a minimum of 5 days. Mobilization was commenced on the 5th post-operative day with a lumbar sacral corset. In the meanwhile patients underwent bedside physiotherapy in the form of deep breathing exercises, active and passive limb range of motion exercises. The patients were reviewed periodically after discharge at 6th, 12th weeks and at 6th months. The results were analyzed in the forms of union, reduction of lysthesis and clinical improvement in complaints of back pain, claudication pain and neurological deficits. Follow-up radiographs were obtained at all the outdoor visits to determine the amount of fusion and implant position. Graphic rating scale using descriptive terms such as mild, moderate, severe and pain as bad as it could be (excruciating) was used as a tool to describes patients pain pre- and post-operatively.

Data was collected based on the Oswestry disability index as following: Excellent outcome: No more pain or neurological deficits was included in this group. Patient's satisfaction was more than 80% with normal daily activities. Good outcome: Back pain and sciatica were significantly improved, analgesics were required some times and less experience with numbness and paraesthesia and improve in weakness was noticeable. No constraint in daily activities any more with satisfaction of the patient was 60-80%. Fair outcome: Compared with the pre-operative status improvement was up to 50% but strong analgesics needed occasionally, improvement in sensory and motor symptoms was evident mild but daily activities of the patient had some difficulty. Patient’s satisfaction was around 50 - 60%. Poor outcome: Symptoms compared with pre-operatively was same or worsened up. Patient had significant restriction of their daily life activities.

Results
Degenerative listhesis was more common 25 (62.5%) and the more common involved level was L4-L5, L5-S1 was the second commonest (30%) (Table I). Slip grade was measured with Meyerding scale, 20 patients had Grade 1 and 14 patients had Grade 2.

Pain is the main indication for surgery. Severe pain was in 31 cases and excruciating in 5 cases (Table II). Thirty eight patients had neurogenic claudica-
tion with a walking distance average 20 meter. All the patients relieved neurogenic claudication postoperatively with increase walking distance average 600 meter. Twenty eight patients had sciatica before surgery which was improved significantly within 2 months of surgery. Only 1 patient had occasional leg pain. Bowel/bladder problem had only in 5 patients, which was not improved after surgery. The maintained by doing self-catheterization. Average follow-up was 3 and 6 months. The union was achieved in 34 cases. Only 2 had hardware failure, 1 developed psudoarthrosis. We had dural tear of 2 patients, which was repaired at the time of operation, 1 patient had post-operative wound infection which was treated accordingly. Medical problem such as urinary tract infection and pneumonia developed in 2 patients. Clinical outcome were measured by Oswestry disability index, excellent were 25 patients (62.5%), good were 12 patients (30%) and fair were 3 patients (7.5%) (Table III).

### Table III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>62.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

In this study, the average age incidence were 41.4 years, which was similar to the Madan and Boeree et al (44.4 years)\(^{15}\) and Kim et al (41.3 years).\(^{16}\) Among 40 patients of this study, majority of them are 4th and 5th decade, with slight female preponderance 22 female (55%) and 18 male (45%). The female predominance may be attributed to repeated birth trauma, tendency to obesity, weak musculature and osteoporosis.\(^{17}\) The most common affected level in this series was L4-L5 level (50%) and Grade 1 spondylolisthesis in Meyerding\(^2\) scale is more common (50%). Fifty percent were defective levels were reported by Kim et al. and was found same in our series.

In this study, conservative trial including bed rest, anti-inflammatory drugs, physiotherapy and bracing was tried in all patients. This was the same with Cheng et al. (1989).\(^{18}\) Surgical indications, in this study, were intractable pain not responding to conservative treatment, neurological deficits including sensory, motor or reflex changes, bowel-bladder symptoms and slip progression. Cheng et al. (1989) and Burkus et al. (1992) and reported same indications for surgical intervention.\(^{18, 19}\) We achieved pain relief in 70% patients.

The clinical outcome in spondylolisthesis is closely related to the attainment of solid fusion.\(^{20}\) In this series we achieved fusion at 6 month follow-up to 85% patients, which was similar to Madan and Boeree et al (87.5%)\(^{15}\) and Kim et al (95%).\(^{16}\)

In this series we hardware failure of 2 (5%) patients, regarding one patient had one screw breakage and other developed pseudoarthrosis. We had unintended dural tear of 2 (5%) patients, which was repair by simple stich. We had wound infection of 1 (2.5%). This was improved by daily dressing and proper antibiotics. Dickman et al. (1992) and West et al. (1991) reported infection rate range from 0-6%.\(^{21, 22}\)

Good outcome was significantly associated with younger age group, male gender, lower grade of slip and radiological fusion group. However, final outcome had no significant relation between the level of instability. In spondylolisthesis patients for...
proper surgical management, the main key was to know the concern biomechanics of that level. We measure the clinical outcome by Oswestry disability index. 35 (87.5%) patients had excellent and good outcome, which was almost same when compared to study of Kim et al (90%) and slightly more than Madan and Boeree et al (81%). Three patients had fair outcome, which may be due to late presentation, severe claudication pain and neurological deficit at time of initial presentation, hamstring spasm/sciatica and poor patient’s compliance with post-operative physiotherapy and follow-up.

**Conclusion**

Posterior lumber interbody fusion with pedicle screw fixation is the effective treatment of lumbar spondylolisthesis. It helps to maintain the biomechanics, correct the deformity, maintaining the spinal angulation, thus prevent the further neurological deficit, associated with less complication and improve the quality of life of patient.
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