Disturbance in glucose metabolism in patients of lichen planus
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Introduction

Lichen planus is an inflammatory keratotic dermatosis of unknown etiology, occurs in 0.5-1.9% of the population. Its classical clinical presentation is characterized by flat-topped, polygonal, papules or plaques of violet color. It affects primarily the flexure surface of the wrists, thighs, distal third of lower extremities, abdomen, genitals, nails and oral mucosa. Lichen planus is a pruritic, inflammatory disease of the skin, mucous membrane and hair follicles. It occurs throughout the world in all races. It is a common skin disease, comprising 4.0% in Bangladeshi population. Some authors have demonstrated an incidence of diabetes mellitus between 14 and 85% in patients with lichen planus and reported abnormal glucose tolerance test results. However, some disagreed with this statement. Grinspan et al. (1966) reported a 40% prevalence of diabetes mellitus in patients with oral lichen planus. The variation in reported incidence of diabetes mellitus in patients with lichen planus may chiefly be explained by different methods and criteria used. In addition there is a wide variation in the frequency of glucose intolerance in these patients ranging from 0.5-1.9%.

The prevalence of diabetes (20-79 years) in South-East Asia was 7.6% and predicted to be 9.1% in 2030 and in Bangladesh 6.1% in 2010 and predicted to be 7.4% in 2030. Impaired glucose tolerance has been referred to as prediabetes, indicating the relatively high-risk for the further development diabetes. The prevalence of impaired glucose tolerance is 4.0% in Bangladeshi population. Diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance can be diagnosed by using American Diabetes Association (ADA) criteria. Insulin resistance also indicates relatively high-risk for future development of diabetes. Insulin resistance can be measured by HOMA-IR (homeostasis model of assessment of insulin resistance index).

The etiopathogenesis of lichen planus remains unknown. The current trend is to consider lichen planus as an autoimmune process, mediated by T-cells and is triggered by antigen alterations on the cell surface of the basal layer of the epithelium. In addition, epidermal cells have shown abnormalities in the enzymatic activity, as well as defective carbohydrate expression, which might have a connection with hormones essential for the metabolic process. Recently, diabetes mellitus is considered to be associated with immunological changes, thus making a possible relationship between diabetes mellitus and lichen planus.
from 37 to 85%. These wide variations in previous results motivate further investigations concerning the incidence of diabetes mellitus in people with lichen planus. The study was conducted to see the prevalence of diabetes mellitus, impaired glucose tolerance and insulin resistance in patients with lichen planus.

### Materials and Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted on 80 patients of lichen planus from November 2013 to June 2015. Patient who had more than one dermatologic disease, pregnancy, subject with a history of neoplasia or malignancy, patient undergoing radiotherapy or chemotherapy, patient with autoimmune diseases such as lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis, Sjögren’s syndrome or metabolic diseases such as diabetes, patient taking any systemic medication (including benzodiazepine, antidepressant, anabolic steroid, oral contraceptive pill, corticosteroid suppressing the immune system) within the last 30 days and smoker were excluded from the study.

Clinically selected cases of lichen planus were enrolled in the study with their consent and confirmed by histopathological examination of biopsy material from skin. Lesional skin biopsy specimen was taken in 10% formalin solution in a test tube. Then the test tube was sent in the Department of Pathology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University for histopathological study for the diagnosis of lichen planus. Then 5 mL of blood sample was collected from the patients for determining fast ing blood glucose level, oral glucose tolerance test, HbA1c and HOMA-IR according to the protocol of the Department of Biochemistry, BSMMU.

Diagnosis of diabetes was based on plasma glucose criteria, either the fasting plasma glucose or the 2 hours value in the 75 g oral glucose tolerance test. HbA1c ≥6.5% or fasting plasma glucose ≥126 mg/dL (7.0 mmol/L) or 2 hours plasma glucose ≥200 mg/dL (11.1 mmol/L) during an oral glucose tolerance test or in a patients with classic symptoms of hyperglycemia or hyperglycemic crisis a random plasma glucose ≥200 mg/dL (11.1 mmol/L).

### Results

Among the total 80 patients, 31 belonged to age group of 31-40 years (mean age: 33.7 ± 11.2 years). Males were 58 in number whereas 22 were females. Regarding types of lichen planus, 61 were classic type, 7 were ashy dermatosis, 4 were hypertrophic, 4 were oral lichen planus (Table I).

The mean fasting blood glucose was found 5.6 ± 1.0 mmol/L. Abnormal fasting blood glucose (≥7 mmol/L) was found in 18 patients (Table II). The blood glucose level 2 hours after glucose drink was abnormal level in 20 cases. High HbA1c (<6.5 percent) was detected in 7 cases.

### Discussion

Although lichen planus was described more than a century ago, its etiology remains little known. Many factors have been suggested for the pathogenesis, such as immunological mechanisms, genetic characteristics, drug use and infections, particularly viral infections. Recently four mechanisms are suggested as antigen-specific cell-mediated immune response (heat shock proteins, CD4+ T helper cells, CD+ cytotoxic T-cells), nonspecific mechanism (epithelial basement membrane, mast cells, chemokines, matrix metalloproteinases), autoimmune response, humoral immunity (circulating auto antibodies to desmoglin 1 and 3). The autoimmune background of lichen planus could support its association with different autoimmune diseases like diabetes mellitus. But different previous studies carried out to see the association lichen planus with diabetes mellitus or glucose intolerance gave confusing results.

This disease involves 2-5% of the general population with predominance in women. Its onset is in the 4th and 5th decades of life. This cross-sectional study was carried out with an aim to explore the association of diabetes mellitus, impaired glucose tolerance and insulin resistance in patients with lichen planus. Here, 38.8% of patients with lichen planus were in 4th decade and the mean age was found 33.7 ± 11.2 years. Similarly, Manzoor et al. (2013) observed that the age of the patients varied from 15 to 54 years with 80% of the patients belonging to the 20 to 40 years age group. The mean age (±SD) was 30.2 ± 7.9 years, which is
closely resembled with the present study. On the other hand, Panchal et al. (2015) showed the mean age was 41.2 ± 3.3 years and 44.5 ± 13.2 years respectively for lichen planus patients, which are higher than the present study. In another study Denli et al. (2004) found the incidence occur in early age, where they found ages ranged between 5 and 78 years mean age and SD was 42.2 ± 23.6 years. Seyhan et al. (2007) observed that the mean age was 42.9 ± 14.1 years with range from 14 to 72 years. In persons of European countries, it appears largely after the age of 20 and peaks between the age of 40 and 70 years. Very few cases appear after age 80 years. Similarly, the mean age was higher.

In the current study, lichen planus was predominant in male subject. Manzoor et al. (2013) observed 50 consecutive patients of lichen planus comprising of 54.0% male and 46.0% female, which is similar with the current study. Similarly, Naheed et al. (2002) showed male to female ratio was 3:2, which is closely resembled with the present study. On the other hand, Panchal et al. (2015) Nosratzehi et al. (2015) Atefi et al. (2012) and Brown et al. (1993) found lichen planus predominant in females.

In the current study, classic variety is the most common (76.3%), followed by ashy dermatosis, hypertrophic, oral, actinic and nail lichen planus. Panchal et al. (2015) observed that all the patients had cutaneous involvement; 40.5% presented with classic lichen planus, 13.5% oral lichen planus, 13.5% hypertrophic type, 10.8% pigmentedous type, 9.5% planopilairis type, 6.8% eruptive type, 2.7% had both oral and classic lichen planus, nail involvement and genital lichen planus type was observed in one of the patients (1.35%). In another study, Manzoor et al. (2013) observed 22.0% patients had nails affection. Atefi et al. (2012) found 40.0% were presented only with skin lesions, 7.5% had only oral lesions and 52.4% had both skin and oral cavity lesions. Seyhan et al. (2007) observed patients with lichen planus, 20.0% had only skin lesions, 6.7% had only mucosal lesions, and 73.4% had both skin and oral lesions. The most frequently observed clinical form of skin involvement was classical distribution: Localized in 63.3% patients, generalized in 30.0% patients. Primary lesion sites were wrists in skin and buccal mucosa in mouth. Six (20%) patients had no oral mucosal involvement and 80.1% showed mucosal lesions. Reticular lesions were seen most frequently (66.7%), followed by ulcerative/eroseive lesions 6.7%.

This cross-sectional study was carried out with an aim to explore the association of diabetes mellitus, impaired glucose tolerance and insulin resistance in patients with lichen planus. Here, 77.5% patients had normal fasting blood glucose (<7 mmol/L) and 22.5% patients were diabetic (≥7 mmol/L fasting blood glucose). The mean fasting blood glucose was found 5.6 ± 1.0 mmol/L, which is similar with Seyhan et al. In another study, Nosratzehi et al. (2015) showed the mean fasting blood glucose in patient with oral lichen planus was 95.1 ± 8.1 mg/dL. Atefi et al. (2012) Chalkoo (2010) and Ara et al. (2011) revealed that the prevalence of diabetes mellitus among patients with oral lichen planus is more than normal population. However, similar to the studies of Ansar et al. (2011) and Borhan et al. (2009) Nosratzehi et al. (2015) study illustrated no significant differences in fasting blood glucose test results between the two groups. Nosratzehi et al. (2015) study indicated that there was no positive dependency between diabetes mellitus and oral lichen planus as 100% of oral lichen planus patients had normal fasting blood glucose values and no fasting blood glucose disorder was observed in the control group. Atefi et al. in 2012 found 14 patients (17.5%) were classified as non-diabetic patients (fasting plasma glucose <126 mg/dL) but with impaired fasting glucose. Altogether, of 80 patients with lichen planus, 30 (37.5%) had an impaired glucose metabolism. Also Nosratzehi et al. (2015) observed the prevalence of 17.5% for impaired fasting glucose in comparison with the prevalence of 12.8% in 1993 by Bussell et al. It seems that the relationship between diabetes and lichen planus is remarkable in Iran. Additionally, Nosratzehi et al. (2015) investigated the relationship between impaired glucose tolerance or diabetes and the pattern of distribution of lichenoid lesions. This prevalence was found 14.6% by Christensen et al. 30.3% by Nigan et al. and 45.6% by Halevy and Feuerman.

In this present study, 75% patients were found normal blood glucose level 2 hours after glucose drink. Unless there are concerns regarding possible hypoglycemia (blood glucose <3.9 mmol/L [70 mg/dL]), significant hyperglycemia (fasting blood glucose of 7.0 mmol/L [126 mg/dL] or higher; 2 hour postprandial blood glucose of 11.1 mmol/L [200 mg/dL] or higher), ketoacidosis, oral infection, or infection elsewhere in the body. In another study, Bhovmik et al. found the prevalence of diabetes mellitus 7.9% and impaired glucose tolerance 4.0% in Bangladesh community. Current study showed high prevalence of diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance 37.5 and 18% respectively in patients with lichen planus.

In the current study it was observed that 73 (91.2%) patients were found <6.5 percent (normal) HbA1c and 7 (8.8%) was diabetic (26.5% HbA1c). The mean HbA1c was found 5.6 ± 0.7 percent. Nosratzehi et al. and Seyhan et al. showed the mean HbA1c levels in patient with oral lichen planus was 5.1 ± 1.3 and 5.9 ± 0.6% respectively, which is comparable with the present study. Here, it was observed 12.5% patient had higher HOMA-IR. Seyhan et al. found
the mean HOMA score of 2.3 ± 1.9, which is comparable with the present study.

Conclusion

A strong association of glucose metabolism disturbance specially diabetes mellitus with lichen planus was found. Classic variants of lichen planus are more prone to abnormal glucose metabolism.
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