ESBL Genes, blaTEM, blaOXA, and blaSHV in Poultry Gut Bacteria: An Endemic Public Health Burden in Bangladesh

Shamsun Nahar1, Umme Laila Urmī1, Tamanna Ali1, Adity Rumnaz1, Tanjum Ara Haque1, Bayasrin Ara1, Mohammed Shah Alam2, Abu Syed Md. Mosaddek3, and Salequl Islam1, *

1Department of Microbiology, Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka-1342, Bangladesh; 2Department of Microbiology, Gono Bishwabidyalay, Savar, Dhaka-1344, Bangladesh; 3Department of Pharmacology, Uttara Adhunik Medical College, Uttara, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Abstract

Background: In Bangladesh, the poultry industry contributes a significant role in the food sector. A vast amount of antibiotic is used as prophylaxis and growth promotion factors in farms. These unconcerned uses of antibiotics ultimately generate resistant bacteria affecting substantial adverse consequences on human health. Extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL) genes are responsible for inactivation of antibiotics containing β-lactam ring, namely, penicillin, cephalosporins, monobactams, and carbapenems.

Objectives: This study was designed to analyse the distribution of three ESBL genes and associated antimicrobial susceptibility profile of poultry gut bacteria.

Methods: This study was designed to analyze the distribution of three ESBL genes and associated antimicrobial susceptibility profile of poultry gut bacteria. Poultry feces were collected and cultured on cysteine lactose electrolyte deficient (CLED) agar and Salmonella-Shigella (SS) agar to differentiate various isolates based on colony characteristics. Identification of the isolates was made by conventional biochemical tests, analytical profile index (API-20E), and 16S rRNA sequence analysis. Antibiotic susceptibility test was done by disc diffusion method using 17 antibiotics from seven groups. Subsequently, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was employed with a specific primer to identify respective ESBL genes (blaTEM, blaSHV, blaOXA). All data were analysed by SPSS.

Results: A total of 113 isolates were identified from 85 poultry feces tested. Most of the bacteria belonged to Enterobacteriaceae family, notably Proteus spp., E. coli, Klebsiella spp., Salmonella spp., and Enterobacter spp. Different bacteria were detected, namely, Kurthia populi, Cronobacter sp., and Eikenella corrodens. Most of the poultry isolates were resistant against more than one group of antibiotics. ESBL gene, blaTEM gene was identified most frequently (53.9%), followed by blaOXA (52.2%), and blaSHV (23%). Higher phenotypic resistance was observed in isolates carrying ESBL genes.

Conclusion: This study revealed a very high frequency of three ESBL genes with their phenotypic resistance-capacities in Bangladeshi poultry gut microbiota. Excess uses of antibiotics in local poultry farms may result in the emergence of antibiotic resistance that is imposing public health threatening in Bangladesh.
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Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) has become a rapidly growing public health concern worldwide. Infections from resistant bacteria are now too common, and some pathogens have even become resistant to multiple types of antibiotics.1,2 Non-judicial use of antibiotics is considered to be the most significant reason for the emergence, selection, and spreading of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in both animals and humans.3,4 Antibiotics are used extensively in the poultry industry for therapeutic and prophylactically, including growth promoters in poultry feeds.5 Aggressive use of antibiotics plays a crucial role in the emergence of antibiotic resistance among gram-negative bacteria worldwide, and limiting treatment options considerably.6 Recent studies have recognized that inappropriate use of antibiotics in animal husbandry leads to the increase of multidrug-resistant bacteria and also considered as a potential reservoir of resistant bacteria.7,8,9 Antibiotics can lead to the emergence and dissemination of different resistant bacteria, which can be passed on to people via food or direct contact with infected animals.10,11

*Correspondence: Dr. Md. Salequl Islam, Associate Professor, Department of Microbiology, Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka-1342, Bangladesh. e-mail, salequl@juniv.edu
ORCID: 0000-0001-6131-4132
Beta-lactams are the most widely used antibiotics, including natural and synthetic penicillins and their derivatives such as cephalosporins, cephapemycins, monobactams, and carbapenems. Resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics is of special concern because of their critical importance for humans and veterinary medicine. Food-producing animals, especially poultry, have been suggested as a potential source for transmission of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing bacteria to humans, either by direct contact or consumption of contaminated meat products, leading to the colonization of the intestinal tract and eventually to severe infections. Human infection due to ESBL producing bacteria is associated with increased mortality, morbidity, high cost of hospitalization, and delay appropriate therapy.

ESBLs are most commonly found in Enterobacteriaceae. Escherichia coli and Salmonella spp. are often common ESBL-producers isolated in poultry and its environment. These bacteria are resistant to penicillins, cephalosporins, and aztreonam mainly due to the production of CTX-M, TEM, and SHV β-lactamases, which are encoded by blaCTX-M, blaSHV, and blaTEM genes, respectively.

There is limited information about the ESBL gene carriage in Bangladeshi animal husbandry, particularly in poultry-gut bacteria. Poultry feces are a very prominent source for analyzing antibiotic-resistant bacteria and the ESBL genes. The main objective of the present study was to detect the type of bacteria in poultry feces with the extent of their antibiotic resistance and the presence of three ESBL genes, namely, blaSHV, blaTEM and blaOXA. Commensal bacteria represent a reservoir for these antibiotic resistance genes, which can be disseminated into different other recipient bacteria progressively. This study unveils the local distribution of the three ESBL genes, blaSHV, blaTEM, and blaOXA among isolates from poultry feces in Bangladesh. Thus, the research contributes to generating some evidence-based information about the reservoir of antimicrobial resistance in a food-animal industry.

**Materials and Methods**

*Study Design and Specimen Collection:* A cross-sectional study was conducted between July 2019 and December 2019 to examine ESBL gene prevalence in bacterial isolates from chicken droppings. Poultry farms (PFs) were conveniently selected by the trained team of microbiologists, veterinary doctors, clinicians, public health professionals, statisticians as well as postgraduate students for the chicken-feces collection followed by microbiology analyses. We selected 17 PFs from areas of Savar, Hemayetpur, Manikganj, Gazipur, Tangail, and Mymensingh, where the major poultry industry is located in Bangladesh. Geographic information mapping software, ArcGIS version 10 for Windows, was used to draw a sampling spot-location map (figure 1). A structured questionnaire was approached to farm owners to enquire types of poultry chicken, their recent disease history, and records of

![Figure 1. Sampling Areas A) Spatial locations of poultry farms and houses from where 85 poultry chicken droppings were collected from six districts in Bangladesh. B) Sampling locations were pointed inside Bangladesh map.](image)
Antibiotics applied for treatment and/or prophylaxis. The query had also sought the farm-owners about their levels of education and attained training on animal husbandry.

**Bacterial isolation and identification:** Chicken faecal samples were directly collected in specific specimen collection tube following all safety precautions and aseptic techniques. Samples were stored immediately in insulated ice-boxes and transported to the One Health Laboratory at the Department of Microbiology, Jahangirnagar University, Bangladesh. All associated microbiological and molecular biology analyses were carried out there. Long distant feces samples were dipped into Cary Blair transport medium (Oxoid, UK) before shifting into the laboratory. For bacterial isolation, approximate one gram of chicken-feces was mixed in four mL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and one loopful diluted sample was streaked on a differential culture medium, cysteine-, lactose-, and electrolyte-deficient (CLED) agar (Lyophilchem, Italy) for growth of Gram-negative enteric bacilli. For the detection of *Salmonella* and *Shigella*, the diluted chick-droppings were enriched in Rappaport Vassiliadis Soya Broth (RVS Broth, Oxoid, UK) and streaked separately on Salmonella-Shigella (SS) agar (Oxoid, UK) media. After overnight incubation at 37°C, each type of bacteria was differentiated initially on their colony characteristics (figure 2a). A distinct single colony was picked-up and cultured again on tryptone soya agar (Lyophilchem, Italy) for preparing pure-culture repository and for further analyses. The purified bacterial colonies were identified by conventional biochemical procedures followed by a rapid biochemical-test kit (API 20E, BioMe’rieux, Durham, NC) consisting of a set of the chromogenic panel, carbohydrate batteries, and enzymatic substrates (figure 2b).

**Antimicrobial susceptibility testing:** Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed by the disc diffusion method (Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method) on Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA) plates and the zone diameter for individual antimicrobial agents interpreted according to Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute recommendations (CLSI 2016) and then translated into sensitive, moderate or resistant categories (figure 2c). *Bacillus cereus* ATCC 14579 was used as the quality control strain. Seventeen different commercially available antibiotic discs (Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom) belonging to seven individual groups (Beta-lactam, Carbapenem, Sulphamethaxazol Trimethoprim, Nitrofurantoin, Fluoroquinolones, Aminoglycoside, and Macrolides) of antibiotics were used for the test. The utilized antimicrobials included Amoxycillin+Clavulanic acid (20+10ìg), Cephalexin (30ìg), Cefuroxime sodium (30ìg), Cefixime (5ìg), Ceftriaxone (30ìg), Cefepime (30ìg), Imipenem (10ìg), Sulphamethaxazol Trimethoprim (25ìg), Nitrofurantoin (100ìg), Ofloxacin (5ìg), Lomefloxacin (10ìg), Nalidixic Acid (30ìg), Ciprofloxacin (5ìg), Gentamycin (10ìg), Amikacin (30ìg), Netilmicyn (30ìg) and Azithromycin (15ìg).

**Detection of ESBL specific genes:** The conventional polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method was applied for screening of all isolates for the presence of *bla*TEM, *bla*OXA, and *bla*SHV genes. The sequences of primers used in this study and specific for *bla*TEM, *bla*OXA, and *bla*SHV were listed in Table I. For PCR, freshly cultured isolates bacteria were used to prepare template deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) by the boiling method. For each PCR reaction, prepared bacterial DNA 2.0 µL was added to a 12 µL 2X PCR pre-mixture (GeneON, Germany) and five pmol of each primer (1 µL), and the remaining deionized water to make a final volume of 24 µL. Reactions underwent an initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min followed by 32 cycles of amplification (Applied Biosystems 2720 Thermal Cycler, Singapore), consisting of denaturation 30s at 94°C, annealing 30s at 52°C, extension 1 min at 72°C, and a final 7 min extension at 72°C. Amplicons (857bp, 198bp, and 768bp for *bla*TEM, *bla*OXA, and *bla*SHV, respectively) were visualized under UV light after electrophoresis through 1.2% agarose gel at 100 volts for 30 minutes, followed by staining with ethidium bromide. The standard molecular weight marker (GeneRuler, ThermoFisher Scientific, MA) was run parallel to measure specific amplicon sizes (figure 2d).

**Statistical Analysis:** Data were verified, entered, and subsequently analyzed using IBM SPSS statistics data editor. Missing data were omitted from the bivariate analysis. This study was approved by the National Research Ethics Committee (NREC) of the Bangladesh Medical Research Council (BMRC) [BMRC/Grants/2018-2019/99, dated 31.10.2018]. Verbal consents were obtained from poultry farm owners and homeowners for collecting the respective chicken droppings.
**Figure 2:** Bacterial identification, antibiogram and ESBL gene detection.

a) Pre-enriched poultry droppings were inoculated onto CLED agar medium. Visible bacterial growth was observed after overnight incubation at 37°C. 
b) Isolates were identified by commercial API 20E kits (BioMe´rieux, Durham, NC). 
c) Different levels of zones of inhibition were observed after applying representation antibiotic discs on previously lawned test bacteria following CLSI guidelines. 
d) Amplified PCR products (857bp, 198bp, and 768bp) of the ESBL gene, \( \texttt{blaTEM} \), \( \texttt{blaOXAblaSHV} \) respectively. The products underwent electrophoresis through 1% agarose gel and visualized under UV light.

**Table I:** List of primers used for PCR amplification of ESBL genes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target gene</th>
<th>primer</th>
<th>sequence (5‘-3’)</th>
<th>Amplicon size</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \texttt{blaTEM} )</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>GAGTATTCAACATTTTCTG</td>
<td>857 bp</td>
<td>(Van et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>ACCAATGCTTATCAATGTA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \texttt{blaOXA} )</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>GCAGCGCCAGTGCATCAAC</td>
<td>198 bp</td>
<td>(Van et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>CGCATCAAATGCCATAGTGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \texttt{blaSHV} )</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>TCGCCTGTGTATATATCC</td>
<td>768 bp</td>
<td>(Van et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>CCGCAGATAAATAAACCATG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

Study Farms and Samples: Small- and medium-scale poultry farms (PFs) have been expanded extensively in commercial and traditional levels in Bangladesh. A total of 85 feces housed in 17 poultry farms in six districts of Bangladesh were screened for distinct types of enteric bacteria (figure 1).

Isolation and Identification of Chicken Feces Bacteria: A total of 113 isolates were yielded from the 85 poultry feces examined. As a whole, all the poultry feces yielded at least one or more types of bacteria. Very few culture plates appeared no growth, where we repeated the procedure the next day from preserved samples to validate prior results. Any discordant of the two culture-results were excluded from the analysis. The 113 poultry faeces isolates were classified as, 20 E. coli, 62 Proteus spp., 11 Klebsiella spp., 10 Salmonella spp., three Shigella spp., and three Pseudomonas spp. Four different bacteria, namely Enterobacter, Kurthia populi, Cronobacter, and Eikenella corrodens had also been identified in (figure 3).

Table II: Antimicrobial susceptibility profiling of identified bacteria from poultry feces

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
<th>Susceptibility among tested isolates, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proteus spp. (n=82)</td>
<td>Klebsiella spp. (n=11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(n=10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-lactam</td>
<td>Amoxycillin-Clavulanate</td>
<td>2 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cephalexin</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cefuroxime</td>
<td>6 (9.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cefixime</td>
<td>4 (6.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ceftriaxone</td>
<td>32 (51.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cefepime</td>
<td>6 (9.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Imipenem</td>
<td>11 (17.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-trimoxazole</td>
<td>TMP/SMX a</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrofurantoin</td>
<td>Nitrofurantoin</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinolone/Fluoroquinolone</td>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>5 (8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nalidixic Acid</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ofloxacin</td>
<td>2 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lomefloxacin</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aminoglycoside</td>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>26 (41.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>34 (54.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Netilmicin</td>
<td>22 (35.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrolides</td>
<td>Azithromycin</td>
<td>12 (19.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole

Antibiotic Susceptibility Profiles of Isolates: The isolates were subjected to antimicrobial susceptibility tests against 17 different antimicrobial agents of seven groups in order to evaluate their resistance patterns. The phenotypic susceptibilities of the isolates against the different tested antimicrobial agents have been summarised (table II). The majority of the isolates exerted resistance to more than one group of antibiotics. The result of disc diffusion susceptibility testing revealed that all of the Proteus spp. were resistant to Cephalexin, Nitrofurantoin, and Lomefloxacin. The susceptibility rate of isolated Proteus spp. to other antibiotics was: Ceftriaxone 51.6%; Amikacin 54.8%; Gentamycin 41.9%; Netilmicin 35.4%. Less than 20% of Proteus spp, isolates were sensitive to all other tested antibiotics.

Besides resistance to all antibiotics of fluoroquinolone group, Klebsiella spp also resistant to Cephalexin, Cefuroxime sodium, Sulphamethaxazol-Trimetoprim, Nitrofurantoin, and Netilmicin. About 36% of Klebsiella spp. were sensitive to Ceftriaxone and Amikacin.
Besides against Gentamicin (18.2%), less than 10% sensitivity was shown by all of the *Klebsiella* spp. against tested antibiotics. All of the isolated *Salmonella* spp. was resistant to Cephalexin, Cefixime, Sulphamethaxazol Trimethoprim, Nitrofurantoin, and all antibiotics of fluoroquinolone group. Around 40% of *Salmonella* spp. were sensitive to Amikacin, 20% sensitive to Ceftriaxone, and Imipenem and 10% sensitive against the rest of the tested antibiotics. In the case of isolated *Shigella* spp., sensitivity was 100% against Gentamycin and Amikacin, whereas 33.3% isolates were sensitive against Amoxycillin+Clavulinic acid, Ceftriaxone, Cefepime, Ofloxacin, Netlimycin, and Azithromycin.

Furthermore, the results of the antimicrobial susceptibility test revealed that *E. coli* showed 100% resistance to Amoxicillin+Clavulanic acid, Cephalexin, Sulphamethaxazol-Trimethoprim, and Nitrofurantoin. However, 75% of the isolates showed susceptibility against Ceftriaxone and Gentamycin, 50% against Amikacin, and 30% against ciprofloxacin and Imipenem. Only 5–25% *E. coli* showed susceptibility to all Cefuroxime, Cefixime, Cefepime, Ofloxacin, Lomefloxacin, Nalidixic Acid, Netlimycin, Azithromycin. Except for Ofloxacin, all antibiotics of fluoroquinolone and beta-lactam groups showed resistance to all isolated *Pseudomonas* spp. Over 33.0% of isolates remained sensitive to all other tested antibiotics. Over all 25% of *Pseudomonas* spp. isolates were sensitive against Ceftriaxone, Imipenem, Amikacin, Netlimycin, and Azithromycin.

*Distributions of the ESBL genes:* The PCR data revealed that the *bla*TEM gene was the most frequent (53.9%) followed by the *bla*OXA (52.2%) and finally the *bla*SHV (23%) respectively. The overall frequency of ESBL genes among different bacteria (figure 4). None of the *Shigella* spp. contained a *bla*SHV gene where the *bla*OXA gene detected in all *Pseudomonas* spp. 62.9% *Proteus* spp contained *bla*OXA gene besides 54.5% *Klebsiella* spp, and 55% *E. coli* contain *bla*TEM gene.

**Association of blaTEM, blaSHV, and blaOXA:** Three different ESBL gene variants were detected. *bla*TEM was found to be more prevalent, followed by *bla*OXA. Association of the three ESBL genes to their phenotypic resistance to β-lactam group antibiotics (Table III). The resistance range of *bla*TEM-containing isolates varied from 82% to 100% against all tested β-lactam antibiotics. The level of resistance was appeared almost similar to those isolates without harboring the *bla*TEM gene. *bla*SHV-containing isolates showed almost equal levels of resistance compared to isolates without carrying *bla*SHV. All the 26 isolates carrying *bla*SHV showed 100% harmony of phenotypic resistance to cefixime, a third-generation cephalosporin. However, 93% of the isolates with *bla*SHV also showed resistance to the antibiotic. Association of *bla*OXA was somewhat stronger than those of *bla*TEM and *bla*SHV, incurring phenotypic resistance to β-lactam antibiotics. Isolates carrying *bla*OXA showed overall higher phenotypic resistance; notably, resistance to ceftriaxone was 61% in *bla*OXA-positive isolates that 40% in *bla*OXA-negative bacteria.

Altogether, there were no statistically significant associations found between the antimicrobial susceptibility and the presence of the ESBL genes. A smaller sample size may affect the statistical association observed in this study.

**Table III:** Association of ESBL genes and phenotypic resistance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESBL</th>
<th>Genes% of phenotypic resistance to β-lactam antibiotics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amoxiclav (30µg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>bla</em>-TEM Presence (n=61)</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence (n=52)</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>bla</em>-SHV Presence (n=26)</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence (n=87)</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>bla</em>-OXA Presence (n=59)</td>
<td>96.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence (n=54)</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Poultry faeces is the source of diverse microorganisms. Besides gram-positive bacteria, a substantial number of pathogenic bacteria also reported in poultry feces. We reported the highest frequency of Proteus spp. in poultry feces examined under this study. The higher abundance of Proteus spp. in chicken gut content showed harmony with a similar previous report from Bangladesh. Unlike some other studies had identified E. coli and Klebsiella spp. as the most frequent isolates from poultry droppings; we identified these bacteria as the next most abundance isolates after Proteus spp. An endemic poultry bacteria, Salmonella, which can potentially contaminate fresh produce or the environment, was also detected in this study.

This study has reported some bacteria for the first time in poultry gut-content from Bangladesh. Those uncommon bacteria include Kurthia populi, Cronobacter spp. and Eikenella corroden. The increase of antibiotic resistance and the existence of multidrug-resistant ESBL producers have become an emerging issue worldwide. The indiscriminate use of antimicrobials in animal farming is likely to accelerate the development of AMR in pathogens, as well as in commensal organisms. In the current study, all isolates’ antimicrobial susceptibility patterns reveal that all of the isolates were resistant against most of the antibiotics included in the study. Moreover, all of the Klebsiella spp., Shigella spp., and Pseudomonas spp., showed more resistance than other isolates. Further, none of the beta-lactam antibiotics was useful toward Pseudomonas spp. Some reports showed that E. coli has a higher propensity to develop resistance. However, resistant pattern observed against ceftriaxone to Proteus spp. and Klebsiella spp. appeared higher than E. coli in this study. This study indicated that the isolated bacteria showed a very high resistance towards most of the antibiotic tested. The resistance pattern of poultry isolates against â-lactam antibiotics was higher than the previous report of Bangladesh. Moreover, carbapenem was found to be effective in the previous report, but lower susceptibility was observed here. Findings indicate that the resistance phenomena against cutting-edge antibiotics have been increasing since the last decades.

The increasing rate of antimicrobial resistant bacteria is a global problem that affects both human and animal ecosystems. The study showed that highly ESBL gene producing bacteria were circulating in poultry feces. blaTEM was the most prevalent ESBL gene
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ESBL genes revealed higher than previously published studies from the poultry sector. Over the counter availability of antibiotics, no or less stringent rules about their application in agriculture and animal husbandry, and lack of educated human resources are some remarkable factors that contribute to applying irrational antibiotics in poultry flocks. The aberrant uses of antibiotics may eventually enforce acquisition and transmission of both phenotype and genotype AMR in poultry, human, and environment. Further detailed studies should be undertaken to investigate more about these ever-increasing genetic hazards. The bacteria-specific analysis detected a higher ratio of blaTEM in E. coli, supported by multiple previous studies. Likely, blaSHV was detected higher in E. coli and Klebsiella spp. in this study and previously. It was reported that some poultry-borne E. coli functions as a potential reservoir of AMR genes that may be transmitted to humans conveniently.

This study showed a significant portion of isolates resistant to many â-lactam antibiotics without carrying either of the three ESBL genes. This observation makes the blaTEM, blaOXA, and blaSHV genes as some unnecessary entities for contributing resistance to â-lactam antibiotics.

The disagreement of the genotype-phenotype association could be explained by other ESBL genes or factors that have not been investigated in this study. Therefore, there are about 200 different types of ESBL genes responsible for conferring resistance to â-lactam antibiotics. Varieties of ESBLs genes out of the blaTEM, blaOXA, and blaSHV lineage may have contributed to the different phenotypic resistance phenomena. Previous studies suggest that the distribution of ESBL genotypes can vary in different geographical locations. Therefore, studies and surveillance covering broad geographic regions of Bangladesh could validate our present findings of ESBL genes and associated AMR phenotypes.

This study carries several basic limitations. This study was performed in a cross-sectional assessment and follow up could not be carried out due to resource limitations. The convenience sampling was undertaken; however, samples were collected from several districts of Bangladesh to secure some generalizability. This study analyzed only a few ESBL genes and limited β-lactam antibiotics. The small sample size was also a limiting factor in performing fully powered statistical analyses. However, our results were generated from a resource-limited setting and maintained internal validity by repeating independent experiments where necessary.

Conclusion

ESBL gene producing bacteria have been increasingly recognized in the poultry sector in Bangladesh. The high prevalence of multidrug-resistant bacteria in poultry environments may increase the risk of spread to humans, particularly to those who work close to poultry farms and their excretory products. The high level of antibiotic resistance in poultry faeces from Bangladesh indicates the higher presence of ESBL genes that could impact adverse effects on human-, animal-, and environmental-health.
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