

PREVALENCE AND SEASONAL VARIATION OF ECTOPARASITE IN PIGEON, COLUMBA LIVIA (GMELIN, 1789) OF DHAKA, BANGLADESH

Aleya Begum* and Sabrina Sehrin

Department of Zoology, University of Dhaka, Dhaka-1000, Bangladesh

Abstract: A total of 60 pigeons, *Columba livia* (25 males and 35 females) were examined for ectoparasites. All the birds were infected (100%) by 10 species of ectoparasites. The ectoparasite comprised lice: 60 (100%) *Menopon gallinae*, 28 (46.66%) *Menacanthus stramineus*, 43 (71.66%) *Colpocephalum turbinatum*, 60 (100%) *Columbicola columbae*, 31 (51.66%) *Lipeurus caponis*, 19 (31.66%) *Goniocotes gallinae*, 28 (46.66%) *Chelopistes meleagridis*; fleas: five (8.33%) *Echidnophaga gallinacean*; flies: 38 (63.33%) *Pseudolynchia canariensis* and eight (13.33%) of mites *Dermanyssus gallinae*. Serious damage was observed in wing feathers (31.74%). The females had a higher intensity (30.11) of infestation than the males (29.04). The ectoparasites were removed from the pigeons throughout the year. The overall intensity of infestation was highest during summer (40.69) and lowest during winter (21.94).

ৱি-মস্টিফিক্ট ২৫৩৩ চ্জ"ল ল ৩৫৩৩ ৗৗ ৱিৱজিৗ ত্গ৩৩ ৬০৩৩ কেজ্টিই ঁন:চিৱিৱৌ চিৗৗ৩৩ ৗিৗ নৗৗ | মে, ৗৗ৩৩ (১০০%) কেজ্টিই ১০ চিৱিৱিৗ ঁন:চিৱিৱৌ ৗ ত্গ ৗ৩৩৩-৩০ৗ | গ্টি ৗ গ্টি" ডক্টি ৱিৱজিৗ চিৱিৱৌ ৗৗ৩৩ মস্টি৩ ল ৗৗৗৗৗ ৗ ৗৗৗৗৗ মস্টিৗৗ ন্টি৩: ৬০ (১০০%) *Menopon gallinae*, ২৮ (৪৬.৬৬%) *Menacanthus stramineus*, ৪৩ (৭১.৬৬%) *Colpocephalum turbinatum*, ৬০ (১০০%) *Columbicola columbae*, ৩১ (৫১.৬৬%) *Lipeurus caponis*, ১৯ (৩১.৬৬%) *Goniocotes gallinae*, ২৮ (৪৬.৬৬%) *Chelopistes meleagridis*; গক্টিৗ ৗৗ-ৱিৱজিৗ চিৱিৱৌ ৫ (৮.৩৩%) *Echidnophaga gallinacean*; গক্টিৗ গৗৗৗ ৱিৱজিৗ ৩৮ (৬৩.৩৩%) *Pseudolynchia canariensis* গেস গক্টিৗ গৗৗৗ ৱিৱজিৗ চিৱিৱৌ ৮ (১৩.৩৩%) *Dermanyssus gallinae*. চিৗৗ৩ চ্জ্টিই গ্টি" মেট্টিৗ তৌক ৗৗৗৗ মৗৗৗৗ নৗৗ | ৗৗ ৱিৱজিৗ কেজ্টিই (৩০.১১) গ্টি" চিৱিৱৌ নৗৗ চ্জ"ল ৱিৱজিৗ কেজ্টিই (২৯.০৪) জ্জি ৗৗৗৗ তৌক | মৗৗৗ ৗৗৗৗৗ কেজ্টিই ঁন:চিৱিৱৌ চিৗৗৗ৩৩ ৗিৗ নৗৗ | ঁন:চিৱিৱৌ মস্টিৗৗৗৗ নৗৗ মস্টিৗৗৗৗৗ মেট্টিৗ তৌক (৪০.৬৯) গেস ৗৗৗৗৗৗৗ মেট্টিৗ ৗগ (২১.৯৪) চ্জিৗ ৗৗৗৗৗ নৗৗ |

Key words: Ectoparasites, pigeon, prevalence, intensity, season.

INTRODUCTION

The pigeon (*Columba livia*) is kept as pet and reared for food in several countries including Bangladesh, it's interaction with man and other domestic and wild birds, portends it as a potential carrier of zoonotic parasites.

Ectoparasites are regarded as the basic causes of retardation in growth, lowered vitality and poor conditions of the birds (Ruff 1999). They can affect bird health directly by causing irritation, discomfort, tissue damage, blood loss, toxicosis, allergies and dermatitis which in turn reduce the quality and quantity of meat and egg production.

*Corresponding author. E-mail: aleya2000@hotmail.com

It has been reported that ectoparasites affect the health and productivity of birds, initiate excessive preening which interrupts feeding, as the birds spend much time preening rather than being involved in other essential life activities (De Vaney 1979, Clayton *et al.* 1999).

The prevalence and intensity of parasitic infestations may be influenced by a number of epidemiological factors including host factors (age, sex and breed) and environmental factors (climatic conditions) (Nadeem *et al.* 2007).

OBJECTIVES

The present investigation was concentrated on the occurrence of ectoparasite infestation in different organs, sex and seasons of pigeon. The infection status was also recorded.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sampling area: The sampling was carried out in different areas of Dhaka city. The host was collected on monthly basis at regular intervals.

Sampling size and technique: A total of 60 pigeons (25 males and 35 females) were randomly selected from the area under study over one year period from February 2010 to January 2011.

Parasitological examinations: Ectoparasites were collected from live hosts by placing them in a large jar with a special lid that permitted the head of the bird to protrude. A filter paper dipped in chloroform was placed at the bottom of the jar. The bird was restrained with its body in the jar for about 20 minutes. During this time many dying parasites detached and fell off. The bird was then removed and placed on a piece of white paper.

Different regions of the bird's body was examined for parasites. Care was taken to avoid the intermixing of parasites of one region of the body with those of the other regions. In the birds suspected for infestation with mites, deep scrapings were done by using a scalpel or knife blade and their sites noted.

The ectoparasites were counted and preserved in labeled vials containing 70% alcohol and a drop of glycerin (Soulsby 1982 and Loomis 1984). All types of ectoparasites thus collected were categorized. Permanent preparations were made and then the slides were identified on the basis of their morphological characters following Fabiyi (1980) and Soulsby (1982).

Data analysis: Prevalence of individual parasite species was calculated as a percentage of the host population that was infested with a specific parasite at a point in time (Thrusfield 1995). Intensity was calculated as the number of parasites per infected birds. Chi square analysis was used to compare the

intensity of parasite infestation between male and female pigeons using the SPSS® computer software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Of the 60 pigeons examined, all the birds were infested (100%) with ectoparasites. Ten species of ectoparasites were identified, which comprised seven species of lice, viz. *Menopon gallinae* (100%), *Menacanthus stramineus* (46.66%), *Colpocephalum turbinatum* (71.66%), *Columbicola columbae* (100%), *Lipeurus caponis* (51.66%), *Goniocotes gallinae* (31.66%), *Chelopistes meleagridis* (46.66%); one species of fleas *Echidnophaga gallinacea* (8.33%); one species of flies *Pseudolynchia canariensis* (63.33%) and one species of mites *Dermanyssus gallinae* (13.33%) (Table 1).

Table 1. Prevalence and intensity of arthropod infections in *C. livia*.

Name of parasites	No. of host examined	No. of host infected	Prevalence (%)	total no. of parasites collected	Intensity
Menoponidae					
<i>Menopon gallinae</i>		60	100.0	331	5.52
<i>Menacanthus stramineus</i>		28	46.66	96	3.43
<i>Colpocephalum turbinatum</i>		43	71.66	280	6.51
Philopteridae					
<i>Columbicola columbae</i>		60	100.0	476	7.93
<i>Lipeurus caponis</i>		31	51.66	247	7.97
<i>Goniocotes gallinae</i>	60	19	31.66	168	8.84
<i>Chelopistes meleagridis</i>		28	46.66	93	3.32
Pulicidae					
<i>Echidnophaga gallinacea</i>		5	8.33	13	2.60
Hippoboscidae					
<i>Pseudolynchia canariensis</i>		38	63.33	54	1.42
Dermanyssidae					
<i>Dermanyssus gallinae</i>		8	13.33	22	2.80

The ectoparasites were removed from different sites on the body of the birds: *M. gallinae*, *M. stramineus*, *C. turbinatum*, *G. gallinae* and *C. meleagridis* from down and contour feathers of skin, trunk, rump and nape feathers, *C. columbae* and *L. caponis* from quill feathers of wing and tail, *E. gallinacea* from down and contour feathers of skin and limb, *P. canariensis* from down and contour feathers of skin, trunk, rump and nape feathers, and quill feathers of wing, and *D. gallinae* from down and contour feathers of skin, trunk and limb (Table 2).

Out of 60 pigeons, 35 were females and 25 were males. The overall prevalence of infestation was 100% in both sexes and the intensity of females was higher (30.11) than the males (29.04). The male and female birds had 10 ectoparasite species each and the Chi square test revealed insignificant difference ($p > 0.05$) in the intensity of infestation between male and female birds.

M. gallinae and *C. columbae* showed 100% prevalence both in male and female birds. Highest (10.88) intensity was found in *L. caponis* in male and 8.45 was *G. gallinae* in female pigeon. Both male and female hosts' lowest prevalence and intensity was observed in *E. gallinacean* and *P. canariensis* (Table 3).

Seasonal pattern of parasite prevalence and mean intensity of infestation are given in Table 4. *Menopon gallinae* and *C. columbae* were the most prevalent ectoparasites. They were found throughout the year and the prevalence was 100% in Summer. *Echidnophaga gallinacean* showed the lowest prevalence, it was absent in Autumn and Winter but in Summer the prevalence was 23.08% and in Spring it was 12.50%. The other prevalent ectoparasites were *L. caponis* and *C. meleagridis* 92.31% in Summer and *P. canariensis* showed 92.86% prevalence in Autumn.

No studies has been done on the ectoparasites of pigeon in Bangladesh. This study thus provides a baseline or preliminary information on the subject. In the present study lice, fleas, flies and mites were observed. Almost all the birds were found to be infested with different types of ectoparasites throughout the year and each bird was found to harbour more than one type of ectoparasites.

The overall prevalence (100%) of ectoparasites on pigeons in Bangladesh appears to be high compared to 73.8 and 72% found in pigeons by Adang *et al.* (2008) and Senlik *et al.* (2005), respectively. Ten ectoparasite species were collected from pigeons compared to nine by Conti and Forrester (1981), five by Adang *et al.* (2008) and three by Senlik *et al.* (2005). The overall prevalence of various parasites differs greatly among the previous reports as well as when compared with present observation. Diversity of bird ectoparasite assemblages may be related many factors, which may include home range, behaviour, size and roosting habit of the host. This may also be attributed to difference in the geographical areas and period of study.

Parasites living mainly on the skin may cause the affected birds to be restless, unable to sleep, suffering from overall weakness, reduced food intake with a consequential loss of weight, resulting in decreased resistance to pathogens, as well as their potential ability to reproduce (Wall and Shearer 2001, Mullen and Durden 2002). In particular, heavy infestations with *C. turbinatum* may cause severe damage to the flight feathers. Lice can perforate the large wing and tail feathers. These perforations increase during heavy infestations, with considerable loss of areas of the wing surface and lower flight efficiency (Selim *et al.* 1968). In heavy infestations, lice can also be observed inside the feather quills (Naz *et al.* 2010, Fasungova *et al.* 2008).

Table 2. Distribution of ectoparasites and their percentage (%) of occurrence in comparison to total number of ectoparasites in *C. livia*.

Name of the parasites	Skin (No. & %)	Trunk (No. & %)	Rump and nape feather (No. & %)	Wing feather (No. & %)	Limb (No. & %)	Tail feather (No. & %)	Total no. of parasite
<i>Menopon gallinae</i>	70 (21.15)	154 (46.52)	107 (32.33)	-	-	-	331
<i>Menacanthus stramineus</i>	16 (16.67)	49 (51.04)	31 (33.57)	-	-	-	96
<i>Colpocephalum turbinatum</i>	20 (7.14)	166 (59.28)	94 (33.57)	-	-	-	280
<i>Columbicola columbae</i>	-	-	-	381 (80.05)	-	95 (19.95)	476
<i>Lipeurus caponis</i>	-	-	-	173 (70.04)	-	74 (29.96)	247
<i>Goniocotes gallinae</i>	23 (13.69)	91 (54.17)	54 (32.14)	-	-	-	168
<i>Chelopistes meleagridis</i>	21 (22.58)	29 (31.18)	43 (46.23)	-	-	-	93
<i>Echidnophaga gallinacea</i>	9 (69.23)	-	-	-	4 (30.77)	-	13
<i>Pseudolynchia canariensis</i>	8 (14.81)	19 (35.19)	16 (29.63)	11 (20.37)	-	-	54
<i>Dermanyssus gallinae</i>	11 (50)	7 (31.82)	-	-	4 (18.18)	-	22

Table 3. Prevalence of ectoparasites on 25 male and 35 female *Columba livia*.

Name of the Parasites	Male			Female			
	No. of birds infested	Prevalence (%)	Total No. of ectoparasites recovered	No. of birds infested	Prevalence (%)	Total No. of ectoparasites recovered	Mean Intensity ± SE
<i>Menopon gallinae</i>	25	100	105	35	100	226	6.46 ± 0.070
<i>Menacanthus stramineus</i>	9	36	45	19	54.29	51	2.68 ± 0.299
<i>Colpocephalum turbinatum</i>	16	64	121	27	77.14	159	5.88 ± 0.082
<i>Columbicola columbae</i>	25	100	209	35	100	267	7.63 ± 0.107
<i>Lipeurus caponis</i>	9	36	98	22	62.86	149	6.77 ± 0.081
<i>Goniocotes gallinae</i>	8	32	75	11	31.43	93	8.45 ± 0.184
<i>Chelopistes meleagridis</i>	11	44	38	17	48.57	55	3.24 ± 0.037
<i>Echidnophaga gallinacea</i>	1	4	4	4	11.43	9	2.25 ± 0.252
<i>Pseudolynchia canariensis</i>	15	60	23	23	65.71	31	1.35 ± 0.205
<i>Dermanyssus gallinae</i>	3	12	8	5	14.29	14	2.80 ± 0.030

Table 4. Seasonal infestation of arthropod parasites in *C. itia*

Name of the Parasites	Summer (n=13)				Autumn (n=14)				Winter (n=17)				Spring (n=16)			
	No. of host infested	Prevalence (%)	Total no. of parasites collected	Mean Intensity \pm SE	No. of host infested	Prevalence (%)	Total no. of parasites collected	Mean Intensity \pm SE	No. of host infested	Prevalence (%)	Total no. of parasites collected	Mean Intensity \pm SE	No. of host infested	Prevalence (%)	Total no. of parasites collected	Mean Intensity \pm SE
<i>Menopon gallinae</i>	13	100.0	35	2.69 \pm 0.030	13	92.86	99	6.60 \pm 0.071	15	88.24	121	8.07 \pm 0.113	15	93.75	76	5.07 \pm 0.0.70
<i>Menacanthus stramineus</i>	7	53.85	26	3.71 \pm 0.043	8	57.14	33	4.13 \pm 0.056	6	35.29	22	3.67 \pm 0.040	4	25.00	15	3.75 \pm 0.044
<i>Colpocephalum turbinatum</i>	9	69.23	67	7.44 \pm 0.162	7	50.00	39	5.57 \pm 0.060	12	70.59	81	6.75 \pm 0.072	11	68.75	93	8.45 \pm 0.329
<i>Columbicola columbae</i>	13	100.0	170	13.08 \pm 0.451	13	92.86	160	14.06 \pm 0.302	15	88.24	57	3.80 \pm 0.433	15	93.75	89	5.93 \pm 0.063
<i>Lipeurus caponis</i>	12	92.31	92	10.22 \pm 0.127	11	78.57	69	7.67 \pm 0.117	14	82.35	35	8.75 \pm 1.334	14	87.50	51	7.29 \pm 0.117
<i>Goniocotes gallinae</i>	9	69.23	69	7.67 \pm 0.107	3	21.43	31	10.33 \pm 0.234	2	11.76	19	9.50 \pm 0.108	5	31.25	49	9.80 \pm 0.033
<i>Chelopistes meleagridis</i>	12	92.31	43	3.58 \pm 0.042	5	35.71	16	3.20 \pm 0.037	3	17.65	11	3.67 \pm 0.044	8	50.00	23	2.88 \pm 0.299
<i>Echidnophaga gallinacea</i>	3	23.08	8	2.67 \pm 0.277	0	0	0	0.00 \pm 0.000	0	0	0	0.00 \pm 0.000	2	12.50	5	2.50 \pm 0.205
<i>Pseudolynchia canariensis</i>	8	61.54	15	2.50 \pm 0.028	13	92.86	16	1.22 \pm 0.186	10	58.82	16	1.60 \pm 0.243	5	31.25	7	1.40 \pm 0.213
<i>Dermanyssus gallinae</i>	1	7.69	4	4.00 \pm 0.056	0	0	0	0.00 \pm 0.000	5	29.41	11	2.20 \pm 0.246	2	12.50	7	3.50 \pm 0.040

n = No. of pigeon examined

The non-statistically significant association between sex and ectoparasite infestation indicates that both males and females are equally exposed to the acquisition of ectoparasites and their sex related physiognomy may not confer any differences in infestation. This result is in agreement with the observations of Adang *et al.* (2008) and Senlik *et al.* (2005), who reported no significant difference between male and female pigeons in overall ectoparasite infestation.

In general, prevalence was highest during summer and lowest during winter. Also the parasitic load was higher during summer for *M. gallinae*, *C. columbae*, *L. caponis*, and *C. meleagridis*. During autumn *Pseudolynchia canariensis* showed higher prevalence. The observations could be related to the higher temperature and humidity during these months. Adang *et al.* (2008) also reported *C. columbae* and *P. canariensis* were the most prevalent ectoparasite of domestic pigeon in summer. Salam *et al.* (2009) observed *L. caponis* was the most prevalent among ectoparasites in free-range chicken of Kashmir valley. The prevalence and intensity of infestation were positively correlated to temperature, both being followed by autumn and least in winter (Nadeem *et al.* 2007). The increased prevalence of ectoparasites during summer months can be attributed to the requirement of optimum temperatures for the development of parasites and decreased resistance of birds to the parasites in high temperatures resulting in heavy infections.

CONCLUSION

The present study shows that pigeons have high prevalence of ectoparasite infestation. Parasitic infestation in almost all cases are harmful to their host. *Columba livia* is infected by arthropod mallophagan ectoparasites when they live in non-hygienic conditions. The ectoparasites are feather eaters and cling to the feather by means of their tarsal curved claws.

LITERATURE CITED

- ADANG, K.L., ONIYE, S.J., EZEALOR, A.U., ABDU, P.A., and AJANUSI, O.J. 2008. Ectoparasites of domestic pigeon (*Columba livia domestica*, Linnaeus) in Zaria, Nigeria. *Res. J. Parasitol.* **32**: 9-84.
- CLAYTON, D.H., LEE, P.L.M., TOMPKINS, D.M., and BRODIE, E.D. 1999. Reciprocal natural selection on host-parasite phenotypes. *Amer. Naturalis* **154**: 261-270.
- CONTI, J.A. and FORRESTER, D.J. 1981. Inter relationship of parasites of white-winged dove and mounting doves in Florida. *J. Wildlife Dis.* **17**: 529-536.
- DE VANCY, J.A., 1979. The effects of the Northern fowl mite, *Ornithonyssus sylviarum* on egg production and body weight of caged White leghorn hens. *Poultry Science* **57**: 191-194.
- FABIYI, J.P. 1980. Survey of lice infesting domestic fowl on the Jos Plateau, Northern Nigeria. *Bull. Animal Health and Product.* **28**: 215-219.

- FASUNGOVA, K.C., LITERÁK, I., SYCHRA, O., NOVOTNÝ, L., GRÝMOVÁ V., KUBÍČEK, O., SMÍD B. and KULICH, P. 2008. Pinching off syndrome in two White-Tailed Sea Eagles (*Haliaeetus albicilla*) in the Czech Republic. *J. Raptor Res.* **42**: 65-66.
- LOOMIS, E.C. 1984. External Parasites, In: Diseases of Poultry, Hotstad, M.S., B.W. Calnek and H. W. Jr. Yoder (Eds.). 8th Edn. *The Iowa State University Press*, pp. 667-704.
- MULLEN, G.R. and DURDEN, L.A. 2002. Medical and Veterinary Entomology. *Academic Press: London*, pp. 597.
- NADEEM, M., KHAN, M.N., IQBAL, Z., SAJID, M.S., ARSHAD, M. and YASEEN, M. 2007. Determinants influencing prevalence of louse infestations on layers of district Faisalabad (Pakistan). *Brit. Poul. Sci.* **48**: 546-550.
- NAZ, S., RIZVI, S.A. and SYCHRA, O. 2010. The high rate of infestation of chewing lice (Phthiraptera) in rock pigeon (*Columba livia* Gmelin 1789) in Pakistan. *Trop. Zool.* **23**: 21-28.
- RUFF, M.D. 1999. Important parasites in poultry production systems. *Veterinary Parasitol.* **84**: 337-47.
- SALAM, S.T., MIR, M.S., and KHAN, A.R. 2009. Prevalence and seasonal variation of ectoparasite load in free-range chicken of Kashmir valley. *Trop. Animal Health Product.* **41**: 1371-1376.
- SELIM, M.K., EL-KASABY, A. and EL-REFALL, A.H. 1968. External parasites of domestic pigeon in United Arabic Republic. *Angewandte für Parasitologie* **9**: 74-84.
- SENLIK, B., GULEGEN, E. and AKYOL, V. 2005. Ectoparasites of domestic pigeon (*C. l. domestica*) in Bursa Province. *Türkiye Parazitoloji Dergisi.* **29**: 100-102.
- SOULSBY, E.J.L. 1982. *Helminths, Arthropods and Protozoa of Domesticated animals.* 7th edn. (Bailliere Tindall, London), pp. 809.
- THRUSFIELD, M. 1995. *Veterinary epidemiology*, 2nd edn, (Black-well Scientific, Oxford).
- WALL, R., and SHEARER, D. 2001. *Veterinary ectoparasites: Biology, pathology and control*, 2nd edn. *London: Wiley Blackwell*, pp. 304.

(Manuscript received on December 20, 2011; revised on February 7, 2012)