





ISSN : 2304 - 8514 (Print) **ISSN** : 2304 - 8522 (Online)

1.5% Glycine vs 5% Glucose Irrigant During TURP on Serum Electrolytes & TUR Syndrome" Which One is Better?

Mohammad Haris Uddin¹, Md. Golam Mawla Chowdhury², Md. Masudur Rahman³

Abstract

| Received: 08 - 08 - 2021 Accepted: 11 - 12 - 2021 Conflicts of interest: None | Objective: To observe the changes in the serum electrolytes and occurence of transurethral resection syndrome (TUR) with 1.5% glycine and 5% glucose as an irrig fluid during TUPR | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | Patients and methods: Between June 2008 and May 2010, 120 patients were included in a prospective comparative trial comparing 1.5% glycine with 5% glucose irrigation fluids. We measured blood loss, fluid absorption, biochemistry including serum electrolytes RBS and peri-operative symptoms. Blood samples were taken before and immediately and 24 h after TURP. Irrigating fluid absorption during TURP was measured by serum sodium level. Operative details were recorded, including the type of anaesthesia (with or with no sedation), resection time and weight of resected tissue. Peri-operative symptoms were documented prospectively. TUR syndrome was defined as a serum sodium level of \leq 125 mmol/L with two or more associated symptoms or signs of TUR syndrome. | | |
| Keywords: Glycine, Glucose, Electrolytes, TUR | Results: Two (1.67%) patients had TUR syndrome; all two were irrigated with glycine, although this difference was not statistically significant ($P = 0.10$). Of the two patients who had TUR syndrome, one had bradycardia, two had hypotension, two were drowsy, one was nauseous, two had prickling sensation, two experienced uneasiness, one had blurred vision and two were confused; none had chest pain. There was no difference between the groups in levels of sodium, potassium, urea, creatinine or peri-operative blood loss (defined as a change from before to after TURP in haemoglobin level, accounting for transfusions). | | |
| | Conclusions: Endoscopic transurethral resection of the prostate performed using 5% glucose as irrigating solution during surgery, when compared with 1.5% glycine are associated with lower perioperative morbidity including TUR syndrome. Except for the transient postoperative hyperglycemia, in glucose group, both irrigants are nearly equivalent & safe. In other studies, glycine was reportedly toxic, and that the levels recorded were many times the upper limit of normal may have both immediate and long-term effects | | |

Introduction:

Transurethral resection (TUR) syndrome is a multifactorial syndrome, which occurs from absorption of large volume of irrigation fluid during transurethral procedure, typically during a transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP). Although it is commonly thought to be due to dilutional hyponatraemia exclusively, fluid overload and effects of glycine toxicity contribute significantly to the pathophysiology and symptomatology of this condition. Since the introduction of TURP by McCarthy in 1926, the problem of which irrigation fluid to use during the procedure

^{1.} Assistant Professor, Urology Department, Sir Salimmullah Medical College & Mitford Hospital, Dhaka.

^{2.} Professor, Urology Department, BSMMU, Dhaka.

^{3.} Registrar, Urology Department, Sir Salimmullah Medical College & Mitford Hospital, Dhaka.

Correspondences: Dr. Mohammad Haris Uddin, Assistant Professor, Urology Department, Sir Salimmullah Medical College & Mitford Hospital, Dhaka.

has caused wide-ranging debate, up to and including the present. For standard TURP, the criteria for an ideal irrigant are: it must irrigate the surgical field; not be an electrical conductor and not affect diathermy; have good visual acuity and be 'user-friendly'; have similar osmolality to serum; minimal side-effects when absorbed; and can be detectable by the surgeon when excess volume is absorbed.

Several irrigant solutions are available, including sorbitol-mannitol solution and glycine solution; the former is used in Europe but glycine solution is most commonly used in the UK and North America. There is now increasing evidence highlighting the toxicity of 1.5% glycine solution when absorbed during TURP.¹⁶ Glycine solution, used in TURP for > 50 years, is the most commonly used irrigant. TURP has several recognized complications; one of the more serious and potentially fatal is the TUR syndrome. Glycine is an amino acid present in humans at concentrations < 400 µmol/L; at higher concentrations it has shown direct and indirect cardiotoxic effects in animal studies.² and pathophysiological action in stimulating the release of atrial natriuretic peptide, thereby enhancing sodium loss and contributing to hyponatraemia, which is part of the TUR syndrome.⁹

Kirollos et al. (1997) described that their clinical experience of over 20 years lead them to think that 5% glucose solution used as an irrigant is not toxic and is

entirely satisfactory as an irrigating fluid for use during TURP.

A solution of 5% glucose is a standard crystalloid. Because glucose is metabolized throughout the body, it requires 13 L to be given/absorbed intravenously to expand the intravascular compartment by 1 L. Normal serum osmolality is 290 mosmol/kg. The osmolality of 5% glucose is 285 mosmol/kg, as opposed to the osmolality of 1.5% glycine, which is 190 mosmol/kg. This higher osmolality provided by 5% glucose solution may be beneficial in reducing the possible side-effects of cerebral oedema, which can occur after inadvertent absorption of irrigating fluids.

Incidence of TUR syndrome range from 0% to 10%, but it is currently poorly defined and many mild cases can be falsely attributed to old age, anaesthetic complications and excessive blood loss.¹⁰ All these evidences suggest that 5% glucose may be safer than 1.5% glycine as an irrigating fluid to be used during TURP. Several studies have reported that 1.5% glycine is a better irrigant than 5% glucose during TURP. But no such study has yet been conducted in the context of our country.So a comparative study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of 1.5% glycine and 5% glucose seems to be essential to guide our urologists in this regard.

TUR syndrome is defined as a serum sodium level of d"125 mmol/L with two or more associated symptoms or signs of TUR syndrome.

| Symptom | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| , I | 1 | Severity score 2 | 3 |
| Circulatory | | | |
| Chest pain | Duration <5 min | Duration >5 min | Repeated attacks |
| Bradycardia | HR decrease 10-20 bpm | HR decrease >20 bpm | Repeated decrease |
| Hypertension | SAP up 10–20 mm Hg | SAP up >30 mm Hg | Score (2) for 15 min |
| Hypotension | SAP down 30–50 mm Hg | SAP down >50 mm Hg | Repeated drops >50 mm Hg |
| Poor urine output | Diuretics are needed | Repeated use | Diuretics ineffective |
| Neurological | | | |
| Blurred vision | Duration <10 min | Duration >10 min | Transient blindness |
| Nausea | Duration <5 min | Duration 5-120 min | Intense or >120 min |
| Vomiting | Single instance | Repeatedly, <60 min | Repeatedly, >60 min |
| Uneasiness | Slight | Moderate | Intense |
| Confusion | Duration <5 min | Duration 5-60 min | Duration >60 min |
| Tiredness | Patient says so | Objectively exhausted | Exhausted for >120 min |
| Consciousness | Mildly depressed | Somnolent <60 min | Needs ventilator |

A checklist used to define and score symptoms included in the TUR syndrome.

1.5% Glycine vs 5% Glucose Irrigant During Turp on Serum Electrolytes & Tur Syndrome" Which One is Better?

Patients and Methods

Between june 2008 and May 2010, 120 patients undergoing TURP in the Department of Urology, Sir Salimullah Medical College & Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh, were recruited to a prospective comparative trial, and randomly allocated to either irrigation during TURP with 1.5% glycine . All patients were given an explanation of the study and informed written consent was taken from each patient.Randomization was performed by computer-generated random allocations sequence by simple randomization.

Patients undergoing TURP with spinal anesthesia were included in the study.

Patients 1) receiving sedation, 2) having diabetes mellitus or other metabolic acidosis 3) undergoing TURP with general anesthesia 4) Bleeding disorders or existing coagulopathy 5) apparent cardiac disease with ECG evidence of ischemia, history of myocardial infarctions and congestive cardiac failure, 6) renal insufficiency as well as 7) any contraindication to spinal anesthesia 8)Significant S. PSA were excluded from the study.

All patients were given spinal anesthesia, commencement of surgery is allowed when adequate sensory block to T10 at the umbilical level was achieved. Surgical intervention was performed by specialists. Preoperative evaluation of the patients included complete medical history, ultrasound for abdomen and pelvis, routine laboratory investigations (complete blood count, blood urea nitrogen, blood sugar, serum sodium, serum potassium) and prostatic specific antigen (PSA). Preoperative as well as immediate postoperative hemoglobin, serum sodium and potassium, blood urea, serum creatinine, random blood glucose were measured. No patients had received colloid, plasma products, hypertonic saline, diuretic therapy or blood transfusion approximately 10 hours before surgery. All patients were pre-loaded with 500ml ringer solution half an hour before induction of spinal anesthesia. No patients received intravenous glucose or glucose saline before, during or immediately after surgical procedure.

Hemodynamic monitoring including: heart rate (HR), blood pressure were recorded. Hypotension, defined as 20% fall in blood pressure from preinduction levels or a systolic blood pressure lower than 100 mmHg, was treated immediately by intravenous ephedrine. The amount of irrigation fluids used in each patient is calculated and the height of the irrigating fluid reservoir is fixed at 60 cm height from

patients' bed. Operative details including operation time, resected tissue weight, irrigating volume used, evidence of prostatic capsule perforation as well as any perioperative complication were recorded. The amount of irrigant absorbed was measured by level of serum sodium, the total irrigation fluid absorbed by each patient was recorded. A standard protocol was for two 8-hourly bags of normal saline to be prescribed; no patient received i.v. dextrose or dextrose saline after undergoing TURP. After TURP, in recovery, blood samples were taken to measure haemoglobin, sodium, potassium, urea, creatinine, glucose. Blood samples were rechecked at 24 h after TURP, and all results compared with values before TURP.

Fluid absorption can be quantified by measuring serum sodium in all cases where the solution lacks electrolytes. As a rule, serum sodium is only measured at the end of surgery. The hyponatraemia then correlates with the amount of absorbed fluid, although smaller absorption events may be blurred by variability and the sodium content of other infusions.

Analysis of covariance was used to test for differences between the blood values the day after TURP for the two treatment groups, adjusting for baseline(before TURP) values. Interaction between treatment groups and baseline values were examined and retained if significant at the 5% level. If thye baseline values did not influence the next day values, either a two sample ttest or a Wilcoxon test was used to assess the next day values as appropriate.

Results

In the present study two of the 120 patients had TUR syndrome (1.67%). All two were irtrigated with 1.5% glycine solution & none of the patients in the glucose group had TUR syndrome. This defference did not reach statistical significance(P=0.10). Of the two patients who had TUR syndrome, one had bradycardia, two had hypotension, two were drowsy, one was nauseous, two had prickling sensation, two experienced uneasiness, one had blurred vision and two were confused; none had chest pain. There was no statistically significant difference between the studied groups in levels of serum sodium, potassium, blood urea, serum creatinine, haemoglobin level preoperatively, immediately after & 24 hours after TURP(P>0.05 in cases of all the variables). There were some decrease in the serum sodium & potassium postoperatively when compared with preoperative

values. But this defference did not reach statistical significance(P>0.05 in all cases). In glucose group, there was a significant elevation in the postoperative mean value of blood sugar level(P=0.0011) which returned back within 24 hours.

In glucose group, the mean age & weight of the patients were 62.68 years & 57.15 kg respectively, mean volume of the irrigant used was12.17 litres, mean volume of irrigant absorbed was 1.6 litres, mean duration of TURP was 65.42 minutes, mean weight of the resected tissue was 56.58 gm & evidence of prostatic capsule perforation was three in number. In glycine group, the mean age & weight of the patients were 62.18 years & 58.80 kg respectively, mean volume of the irrigant used was 12.08 litres, mean volume of irrigant absorbed was 1.61 litres, mean duration of TURP was 63.80 minutes, mean weight of the resected tissue was 63.80 gm & evidence of prostatic capsule perforation was four in number.

| | Tur Syndrome | | | |
|-----------|--------------|-----|-------|--|
| Treatment | NO | Yes | Total | |
| Glucose | 60 | 0 | 60 | |
| Glycine | 58 | 2 | 60 | |
| Total | 118 | 2 | 120 | |

X2 test was used was used to analyse the data &P=0.10 was found.

TUR syndrome: trans-urethral resection syndrome.

Table I implies that all two patients with TUR syndrome were in

the glycine group and none of the patients in the glucose group developed

TUR syndrome & this difference did not reach statistical significance.

Discussion

Endoscopic surgery of the genitourinary tract requires the use of an irrigating fluid. The absorption of some irrigant occurs during almost every TURP. Volumes of irrigation fluid absorbed can be difficult to predict, although the volume tends to be greater in extended and bloody operations. Currently surgeons are more aware of the dangers of irrigant absorption and most would attempt to limit the duration of TURP; however TUR syndrome still occurs.

Collins et al 2005, carried a comparative study between the effect of 1.5% glycine and 5% glucose irrigants on plasma serum physiology and the incidence of transurethral resection syndrome during TURP & showed that five of 250 patients had TUR syndrome (2%). Of the five patients who had TUR syndrome, one had bradycardia, three had hypotension, four were drowsy, one was nauseous, two had prickling, two experienced uneasiness, one had blurred vision and two were confused; none had chest pain. Although all five patients with TUR syndrome were in the glycine group and none of the patients in the glucose group developed TUR syndrome, this difference did not reach statistical significance (Fisher's exact test, P = 0.06, n = 233. There was no significant difference between the groups in sodium levels or for the changes in potassium, urea, creatinine, osmolality, calcium, haemoglobin or haematocrit. All five patients with TUR syndrome had glycine levels above the normal range (150-399 µmol/ L), a fluid absorption of 3.6 (2.6–4.1) L, and a resection time of 47.6 (35-58) min; four had prostate capsule perforation noted during TURP. They also showed that there was evidence of an association between TUR syndrome and raised glycine levels at the end of TURP (Fisher's exact test, P = 0.01, 231 men). None of the patients in the glucose group developed TUR syndrome, although one had a serum sodium value of <125 mmol/L after TURP but did not fulfil the criteria for TUR syndrome, as he had no symptoms or signs of TUR syndrome.

Akan et al.1996 investigated the incidence of TUR syndrome using 1.5% glycine,5% glucose &0.9% NaCl as irrigant during TURP & found that there was no statistically significant difference in the mean value between the studied groups regarding the preoperative hemoglobin, serum sodium, serum potassium and random blood sugar. Statistically insignificant decrease in the postoperative serum sodium was observed in glycine and glucose groups, while insignificant increase was observed in saline group (142.6±12.6 mmol/l). Insignificant reduction in serum potassium in glycine and saline group was observed, but more pronounced decrease in glucose group (3.67±0.92 mmol/l) was measured postoperatively.TUR syndrome developed in 17 patients in the glycine group but non in neither glucose nor saline groups.

Collins et al. 2005 showed that 5% glucose is relatively more physiological than 1.5% glycine with lower incidence of complication.

Issa et al,2004,in a case study concluded that bipolar saline is a safe and eliminates the risk of TUR syndrome in high-risk patients with large prostates.

1.5% Glycine vs 5% Glucose Irrigant During Turp on Serum Electrolytes & Tur Syndrome" Which One is Better?

In conclusion, endoscopic transurethral resection of the prostate performed using monoplar 5% glucose as irrigating solution during surgery, when compared with monoplar 1.5% glycine are associated with lower perioperative morbidity including TUR syndrome. Except for the transient postoperative hyperglycemia, in glucose group, both irrigants are nearly equivalent & safe.

Conflict Of Interest

- 1. As the serum glycine level cannot be measured in Bangladesh, it cannot be measured the association between the incidence of TUR Syndrome and serum glycine level.
- 2. Fluid absorption during TURP should be measured by 1% ethanol in breathed air which is added in irrigating fluid. In this study it is measured by the level of sodium in serum.

References

- Akan H, Sargin S, Turkseven F, Yazicioglu A, Cetin S 1996, Comparison of three different irrigation fluids used in transurethral prostatectomy based on plasma Volume expansion and metabolic effects. Br J Urol; 78: 224–7
- 2. Allgén LG, Madorin , Norlén H, Kolmert T, Berg K1987, Absorption and elimination of mannitol solution when used as an isotonic irrigating agent in connection with transurethral resection of the prostate. Scand J Urol Nephrol ;vol. 21: pp.177– 84.
- 3. Bergström J, Hultman E, Roch-Norlund AE 1968, Lactic acid accumulation in connection with fructose infusion. Acta Med Scand ;vol. 184:pp. 359–64.
- 4. Bernstein GT, Loughlin KR, Gittes RF1989, The physiologic basis of the TUR syndrome. J Surg Res ;vol. 46: pp.135–41.
- Collins JW, Macdermott S, Bradbrook RA, Keeley FX Jr, Timoney AG 2005, comparison of the effect of 1.5% glycine and 5% glucose irrigants on plasma serum physiology and the incidence of transurethral resection syndrome during prostateresection. Br J Urol, vol. 96: pp.368–72.
- 6. Ekengren J, Zhang W, Hahn RG 1995, Effects of bladder capacity and height of fluid bag on the

intravesical pressure during transurethral resection of the prostate. Eur Urol; vol. 27:pp. 26-30.

- Hahn RG 1988. Ethanol monitoring of irrigating fluid absorption in transurethral prostatic surgery. Anesthesiology ;vol. 68:pp. 867–73.
- 8. Hahn RG, Ekengren J 1993, Absorption of irrigating fluid and height of the fluid bag during transurethral resection of the prostate. Br J Urol ;vol. 72:pp. 80–3.
- 9. Hahn R, Essén P 1994, ECG and cardiac enzymes after glycine absorption in transurethral prostatic resection. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand ;vol. 38:pp. 550–6.
- 10. Hahn RG, Shemais H, Essén P 1997, Glycine 1.0% versus glycine 1.5% as irrigating fluid during transurethral resection of the prostate. Br J Urol ;vol. 79: pp.394–400.
- 11. Issa MM, Young MR, Bullock AR, Bouetand R, Petrous JA 2004 . Dilutional Hyponatraemia of TURP syndrome: A historical event in the 21st century. Urology, 64: 298-301.
- 12. Istre O, Bjoennes J, Naess R, Hornbaek K, Forman A 1994, Postoperative cerebral oedema after transcervical endometrial resection and uterine irrigation with 1.5% glycine. Lancet ;vol. 344: pp.1187–9.
- 13. Logie JRC, Keenan RA, Whiting PH, Steyn JH 1980, Fluid absorption during transurethral prostatectomy. Br J Urol ;vol. 52pp. 526–8.
- 14. Michielsen DP, Debacker T, De Boe V, Van Lersberghe C, Kaufman L, Braeckman JG, Amy JJ, Keuppens FI 2007, Bipolar transurethral resection in saline- an alternative surgical treatment for bladder outlet obstruction? J Urol, 178: 2035-9.
- 15. Norlén H, Allgén LG, Wicksell B 1986, Mannitol concentrations in blood plasma in connection with transurethral resection of the prostate using mannitol solution as an irrigating fluid. Scand J Urol Nephrol ;vol. 20:pp. 119–26.
- 16. Zhang W, Hahn RG 1996, "Double toxicity" of glycine solution in the mouse. Br J Urol;vol. 77:pp. 203–6.