

Correlation of Fetal Cerebroplacental Ratio with Adverse Perinatal Outcome in Clinically suspected Intrauterine Growth Restriction

TASNOOVA KADER¹, SHAHARA HAQUE², SAJIDA NAHID³, TANZILA ISLAM⁴, NOUSHIN HUDA⁵, SUNANDA BARMAN⁶

Abstract

Background: Intrauterine growth restriction is defined as a fetus's development rate being slower than normal given the fetus's growth potential (for that particular gestational age). Intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR), as one of the primary causes of prenatal death and morbidity, has enormous ramifications for children's short- and long-term growth.

Objective: To correlate cerebroplacental ratio with adverse perinatal outcomes in intrauterine growth restriction. **Materials and Methods:** A total of 60 females with singleton pregnancies between 30-40 weeks of gestation with IUGR were subjected to obstetric Doppler ultrasonogram. The MCA-PI and UA-PI were recorded, and CPR was calculated. All patients underwent serial sonographic evaluation of fetal weight and the abovementioned Doppler indices at two weeks intervals until delivery.

Results: The validity of emergency CS for evaluating suspected IUGR pregnancies was correlated by CPR calculating sensitivity 84.4%, specificity 71.4%, accuracy 78.3%, positive predictive value 77.1% and negative predictive value 80.0%. The validity of low birth weight for evaluating suspected IUGR pregnancies was

correlated by CPR calculating sensitivity 89.2%, specificity 91.3%, accuracy 90.0%, positive predictive value 94.3% and negative predictive value 84.0%. The validity of low APGAR score for evaluating suspected IUGR pregnancies was correlated by CPR calculating sensitivity 90.6%, specificity 100%, accuracy 94.4%, positive predictive value 100% and negative predictive value 88.0%. The validity of NICU admission for evaluating suspected IUGR pregnancies was correlated by CPR calculating sensitivity 89.5%, specificity 56.1%, accuracy 66.7%, positive predictive value 48.6% and negative predictive value 92.0%.

Conclusion: A better indicator of poor prenatal outcomes would be cerebroplacental ratio (CPR) measurement and Doppler evaluation, which are non-invasive tools for clinical practice. Cerebroplacental ratio should be taken into consideration as a sign of placental under perfusion and placental reserve and may prove to be a valuable indicator to pinpoint fetuses that are more likely to experience hemodynamic disturbances prior to delivery.

Key words: Intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR), cerebroplacental ratio, perinatal adverse outcome

Author of correspondence: Dr. Tasnoova Kader, Radiologist, Department of Radiology & Imaging, Sir Salimullah Medical College Mitford Hospital, Dhaka. Email: tasnoova1984@gmail.com

Introduction:

Intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) is defined as a fetal growth rate below its genetically determined potential size per race and gender and

1) Radiologist, Department of Radiology & Imaging, Sir Salimullah Medical College Mitford Hospital, Dhaka. 2) Professor, Head of the Department, Department of Radiology & Imaging, Dhaka Medical College Hospital, Dhaka. 3) Assistant Professor, Department of Radiology & Imaging, Dhaka Medical College Hospital, Dhaka. 4) Assistant Professor, Department of Radiology & Imaging, Colonel Malek Medical College, Manikganj. 5) Registrar, National Institute of Traumatology and Orthopaedic Rehabilitation (NITOR), Dhaka. 6) Junior Consultant, M. Abdur Rahim Medical College Hospital, Dinajpur.

is associated with a higher risk of cardiovascular morbidity, mortality and long-term neurological adverse outcome.¹

The terms IUGR and Small for Gestational Age (SGA) are often used alternatively to describe the same problem, although there exist subtle differences between the two. The burden of IUGR is concentrated mainly in Asia which accounts for nearly 75% of all affected infants.²

Intrauterine growth restriction is associated with an increased risk of perinatal mortality and morbidity. It has long been recognized that impaired fetoplacental perfusion is associated with intrauterine growth retardation. Ultrasonography with Color Doppler has provided a new tool for this purpose.³ Color Doppler evaluation of MCA and UA PI ratio is useful in diagnosis of IUGR and prediction of adverse perinatal outcome.⁴

The correct detection of the compromised intrauterine growth retardation fetus to allow for timely intervention is a main objective of antenatal care. Umbilical artery and middle cerebral artery (MCA) Doppler velocimetry was the most rigorous evaluation test among the noninvasive tests of fetal wellbeing.⁵ Previous study reported that among the Doppler indices, the CPR was a better predictor of SGA fetuses and adverse perinatal outcome than either the UA-PI or the MCA-PI alone, with a high specificity and PPV.⁶

A color Doppler apparatus may be used to assess the blood flow velocity profiles in the umbilical arteries to determine if complications associated with impaired trophoblastic invasion of the placental bed could be predicted by this measurement. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to assess the efficacy of CPR to predict IUGR in clinically suspected IUGR cases and its correlation with perinatal outcome. There is inadequate data available regarding the role of CPR to predict adverse perinatal outcome in clinically suspected IUGR cases in Bangladesh. Therefore, present study may be helpful to assess the efficacy of CPR to predict IUGR in clinically suspected IUGR cases and its correlation with adverse perinatal outcome.

Methods:

Prospective longitudinal observational study was carried out Department of Radiology & Imaging at Dhaka Medical College Hospital, Dhaka during From July 2019 to June 2021. A total of 60 females with singleton pregnancies between 30-40 weeks of gestation with IUGR were subjected to obstetric Doppler ultrasonogram. The MCA-PI and UA-PI were recorded, and CPR was calculated. All patients underwent serial sonographic evaluation of fetal weight and the abovementioned Doppler indices at two weeks intervals until delivery. These data were not available for the obstetrical team and hence, could not influence management decisions. Emergency cesarean section for fetal distress, APGAR scores at 5 minutes < 7, neonatal intensive care admission and stillbirths were recorded as primary perinatal outcomes. Statistical analyses were carried out by using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences version

23.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) as well as Statistical and data analysis libraries of the programming language Python, where necessary. A descriptive analysis was performed for all data. The mean values were calculated for continuous variables. The quantitative observations were indicated by frequencies. For the validity of study outcome, sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, positive predictive value and negative predictive value of color Doppler study in the diagnosis of clinically suspected IUGR pregnancies were calculated. A “*p*” value <0.05 was considered as significant.

Results:

Table I shows that the majority 28(46.7%) patients belonged to the age group 25-30 years with mean age was 27.4±4.2 years. Almost two third (65.0%) patients were multi para, 43(71.7%) patients were gestational age 32-34 weeks at Doppler examination, 25(41.7%) patients had PE + anemia, 37(61.7%) patients had preterm delivery and 32(53.3%) patients had an emergency caesarean section for fetal distress.

Table-I
Maternal demographic characteristics of the study subjects (N=60)

	Frequency	Percentage
Age(years)		
18-20	2	3.3
21-25	19	31.7
26-30	28	46.7
31-37	11	18.3
Mean ± SD	27.4±4.2	
Parity		
Primi	21	35.0
Multi	39	65.0
Gestational age atDoppler examination		
32-34 weeks	43	71.7
35-36 weeks	17	28.3
Risk factors		
Anemia	9	15.0
GDM	4	6.7
Pre-eclampsia (PE)	17	28.3
PE + anemia	25	41.7
Nil	5	8.3
Gestational age atdelivery		
Preterm	37	61.7
Term	23	38.3
Mode of delivery		
Elective caesareansection	3	5.0
Emergency caesarean section(for fetal distress)	32	53.3
Normal vaginal delivery (Induced/Assisted)	6	10.0
Normal vaginal delivery (Spontaneous)	19	31.7

Table II
Doppler indices of the study subjects (N=60)

	Frequency	Percentage
MCA-PI		
0.709-1.49	29	48.3
1.50-2.24	31	51.7
UA-PI		
0.56-1.49	48	80.0
1.5-6.57	12	20.0
Cerebroplacentalratio		
0.51-0.99	35	58.3
1.00-2.73	25	41.7

Table II shows that 29(48.3%) patients had MCA-PI<1.5, 48(80.0%) had UA-PI<1.5 and 35(58.3%) had CPR<1.0.

Table III
Distribution of study subjects by CPR and perinatal adverse outcomes (N=60)

Perinatal adverse outcomes	CPR <1 (n=35)	CPR ≥1 (n=25)
Still birth	6(17.1%)	0(0.0%)
Emergency caesareansection	27(77.1%)	5(20.0%)
Low APGAR score	12(34.3%)	1(4.0%)
NICU admission	17(48.6%)	2(8.0%)

Table III shows that 35 study subjects had CPR<1, among them the majority 27(77.1%) had emergency caesarean section for fetal distress, 12(34.3%) had low APGAR scores (<7 at 5 minutes), 17(48.6%) needed NICU admission and 6(17.1%) were stillborn babies. Whereas in 25 study subjects having CPR e”1 only 5(20%) had emergency caesarean section for fetal distress, 1(4%) had low APGAR scores (<7 at 5 minutes), 2(8%) needed NICU admission and no babies were stillborn.




Figure 1: Scatter diagram showing positive significant correlation ($r=0.750$; $p=0.001$) between birth weight with CPR.




Figure2: Scatter diagram showing positive significant correlation ($r=0.764$; $p=0.001$)between low APGAR score (< 7 at 5minute) with CPR.

Table IV
Association between adverse perinatal outcome and Doppler indices

	Total	Outcome		P value
		Yes (n=32) n (%)	No (n=28) n (%)	
MCA-PI				
0.709-1.49	29	20 (62.5)	9 (32.1)	0.023 ^s
1.50-2.24	31	12 (37.5)	19 (67.9)	
UA-PI				
0.56-1.49	48	20 (62.5)	28 (100.0)	0.001 ^s
1.5-6.57	12	12 (37.5)	0 (0.0)	
Cerebroplacentalratio				
0.51-0.99	35	29 (90.6)	6 (21.4)	0.001 ^s
1.00-2.73	25	3 (9.3)	22 (78.6)	

s=significant; P value reached from Chi square test

Table 4 shows that 29 patients with MCA-PI level <1.5 among them 20(62.5%) had adverse perinatal outcomes. Forty eight patients were UA-PI level <1.5 among them 20(62.5%) had adverse perinatal outcomes. Thirty five patients had CPR < 1.0 among them 29(90.6%) had adverse perinatal outcomes. The difference were statistically significant ($p<0.05$) between two groups.

Table V

Sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, positive and negative predictive values of the emergency CS, birth weight, APGAR score, NICU admission for evaluation of suspected IUGR pregnancies

Validity test	Emergency CS	Low birth weight	Low APGAR score	NICU admission
Sensitivity	84.4	89.2	90.6	89.5
Specificity	71.4	91.3	100.0	56.1
Accuracy	78.3	90.0	94.4	66.7
PPV	77.1	94.3	100.0	48.6
NPV	80.0	84.0	88.0	92.0

Table 5 shows the validity of emergency CS for evaluating suspected IUGR pregnancies was correlated by CPR calculating sensitivity 84.4%, specificity 71.4%, accuracy 78.3%, positive predictive value 77.1% and negative predictive value 80.0%. The validity of low birth weight for

evaluating suspected IUGR pregnancies was correlated by CPR calculating sensitivity 89.2%, specificity 91.3%, accuracy 90.0%, positive predictive value 94.3% and negative predictive value 84.0%. The validity of low APGAR score for evaluating suspected IUGR pregnancies was correlated by CPR calculating sensitivity 90.6%, specificity 100%, accuracy 94.4%, positive predictive value 100% and negative predictive value 88.0%. The validity of NICU admission for evaluating suspected IUGR pregnancies was correlated by CPR calculating sensitivity 89.5%, specificity 56.1%, accuracy 66.7%, positive predictive value 48.6% and negative predictive value 92.0%.

Discussion:

This cross-sectional study was carried out with an aim to correlate cerebroplacental ratio with adverse perinatal outcomes in intrauterine growth restriction. Fetal cerebroplacental ratio by color Doppler study was used to predict adverse perinatal outcomes in clinically suspected and/or biometrically diagnosed IUGR pregnancies.

This study showed that the majority 28(46.7%) patients belonged to the age group of 25-30 years with mean age of 27.4±4.2 years. Khadija et al.⁷ also revealed a similar observation where the mean age was found 26.13±4.63 years. In the current study almost two third (65.0%) patients were multipara and 21(35.0%) were primipara. Sing et al.⁸ reported 62% of patients were primigravida and 38% were multigravida. Kuber

et al.⁹ observed primipara (n=29) constituted 58% and multipara (n= 21) constituted 42 %. In their study multiparous patients were marginally less than the primi group.

In this study, it was observed that at Doppler examination the majority 43(71.7%) patients were of gestational age 32-34 weeks. Kuber et al.⁹ reported that the gestational age at the time of Doppler examination ranged between 31 to 39 weeks.

Regarding mode of delivery more than half (53.3%) patients had emergency caesarean section for fetal distress followed by 19(31.7%) had spontaneous normal vaginal delivery (NVD-SP), 6(10.0%) had induced or assisted vaginal delivery (VD-I/A) and 3(5.0%) had elective caesarean section. Page et al.¹⁰ reported 29 patients (9%) underwent operative delivery (instrumental delivery or cesarean section) for presumed fetal compromise. Deora and Gara¹¹ vaginal delivery was 96 cases and caesarean section 74 cases.

This study showed that 29(48.3%) patients had MCA-PI <1.5 and 31(51.7%) had MCA-PI \geq 1.5. Bhattacharjee et al.¹² reported 38% of the study population had an MCA PI value <1.5, while 62 % had a value \geq 1.5. Kuber et al.⁹ observed low MCA PI in 72 %. In this study, 12(20.0%) patients had UA-PI \geq 1.5 and 48(80.0%) had UA-PI

<1.5. Bhatta charjee et al.¹² reported 24% of the study population had an abnormal UA PI >1.42(12 cases). The current study showed that 35(58.3%) had CPR <1.0 and 25(41.7%) patients had CPR \geq 1.0. Bhatta charjee et al.¹² reported the mean CPR of the study population was 1.42 ± 0.55 . The range of CPR values of the patients with 0.34 to 2.75.

Present study observed that 35 study subjects had CPR<1, among them the majority 27(77.1%) had emergency caesarean section for fetal distress, 12(34.3%) had low APGAR scores (<7 at 5 minutes), 17(48.6%) needed NICU admission and 6(17.1%) were stillborn babies. Whereas in 25 study subjects having CPR \geq 1 only 5(20%) had emergency caesarean section for fetal distress, 1(4%) had low APGAR scores (<7 at 5 minutes), 2(8%) needed NICU admission and no babies were stillborn. Sing et al.⁸ reported that most of the cases with CPR<1.08 had a birth

weight <2.5 kg (n=12) which comprised of 75% of the study population having abnormal CPR while 63.6% of the group with CPR>1.08 were found to have a birth weight >2.5 kg.

In this study it was observed that twenty-nine (29) patients were with MCA-PI <1.5, among them 20(62.5%) had adverse perinatal outcomes. Thirty one (31) patients had MCA-PI level \geq 1.5, out of which 12(37.5%) had adverse perinatal outcomes. The difference was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) between two groups. Kuber et al.⁹ reported 66% (33 cases) cases were associated with adverse perinatal outcomes. The fall in Doppler indices was the fetus's adaptive mechanism to hypoxemia mentioned in literature as "brain sparing effect". Bano et al.⁶ reported the 90 pregnancies showed abnormal MCA PI and all four (100%) fetuses were SGA and all (100%) had adverse perinatal outcomes.

In this study observed that 48 patients were UA-PI <1.5, among them 20(62.5%) had adverse perinatal outcomes. Twelve (12) patients were UA-PI level \geq 1.5, out of which 12(37.5%) had adverse perinatal outcomes. The difference was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) between two groups. Bhatta charjee et al.¹² only 55.6% of the study group had an abnormal UA PI >1.42(n=5) who had adverse perinatal outcome while 44.4% of women having normal UA PI also had poor outcome (n=4) which was not statistically significant.

In this study observed that 35 patients were CPR <1.0 among them 29(90.6%) had adverse perinatal outcomes. Twenty-five (25) patients had CPR \geq 1.0, out of which 3(9.3%) had adverse perinatal outcomes. The difference was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) between two groups. Kuber et al.⁹ reported considering Doppler parameters of umbilical artery and middle cerebral artery separately, 68.7% showed elevated umbilical artery PI and 56.2% cases showed low MCA PI values. Thus, highlighting that CPR is a better indicator of predicting adverse perinatal outcome than either umbilical artery PI or MCA PI alone.

In this study the validity of CPR for evaluating IUGR pregnancies was correlated by emergency CS calculating sensitivity 84.4%, specificity 71.4%, accuracy 78.3%, positive predictive value 77.1% and negative predictive value 80.0%. The validity

of CPR for evaluating IUGR pregnancies was correlated by low birth weight calculating sensitivity 89.2%, specificity 91.3%, accuracy 90.0%, positive predictive value 94.3% and negative predictive value 84.0%. The validity of CPR for evaluating IUGR pregnancies was correlated by low APGAR score calculating sensitivity 90.6%, specificity 100%, accuracy 94.4%, positive predictive value 100% and negative predictive value 88.0%. The validity of CPR for evaluating IUGR pregnancies was correlated by NICU admission calculating sensitivity 89.5%, specificity 56.1%, accuracy 66.7%, positive predictive value 48.6% and negative predictive value 92.0%. Munikumari et al.¹³ reported cerebroplacental ratio (MCA/UA PI Ratio) was the most sensitive (sensitivity 95.8%). It was more sensitive than either UA PI (sensitivity 91%) or MCA PI (sensitivity 87.5%) alone in predicting any adverse outcome. Cerebroplacental Ratio and UA PI were equally specific (Specificity=84.6%) and MCA PI had comparably low specificity (specificity=46%). Cerebroplacental Ratio had the highest Positive Predictive Value (PPV=85%) followed by UAPI (PPV=84%) and MCA PI (PPV=60%). Negative Predictive Value of Cerebroplacental Ratio was 95% when compared to 91% for UA PI and 80% for MCA. Diagnostic accuracy of Cerebroplacental ratio (Accuracy=90%) was better than UA PI (Accuracy=88%) and MCA PI (Accuracy=66%) in predicting adverse outcomes. Nimmagadda et al.¹⁴ reported Low EFW shows 83% sensitivity and 85% specificity, 38% positive predictive value and 97% negative predictive value for estimation of IUGR. Cerebroplacental ratio (CPR) less than one is seen in 90% of IUGR cases with positive predictive value 66% in the present study. Deora and Gara¹¹ reported that UA/MCA PI index ratio was the best test when compared with MCA, UA, and RA PI indices (sensitivity 89%, specificity 94%). Sing et al.⁸ study, sensitivity and specificity of cerebroplacental ratio was 93.2% and 89% respectively which was more than sensitivity and specificity of UA PI and MCA PI. The diagnostic accuracy in prediction of adverse perinatal outcomes in clinically suspected IUGR cases Cerebroplacental ratio has the diagnostic accuracy of 91.6%, and MCA PI was 72.6% and UA PI was 79.6%. Gupta et al.⁵ the diagnostic accuracy of MCA:UA PI was

72% for SGA while 96% for adverse perinatal outcome. Bano et al.⁶ reported 72.2% diagnostic accuracy of MCA:UA PI for SGA while 95.6% for adverse perinatal outcome. In their

study the diagnostic accuracy of UA PI was 70% for SGA while 78% for adverse perinatal outcome which was correlating with the study of Gramillini et al.¹⁵ being 65.5% and 83.3% respectively. The diagnostic accuracy of MCA PI was 54% for SGA while 78% for adverse perinatal outcome in their study which is also correlating with the study of Bano et al.⁶ being 54.4% and 77.8% respectively.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, a non-invasive method for clinical practice called Doppler evaluation and measurement of cerebroplacental ratio (CPR) would be a better indicator of unfavorable perinatal outcomes. The cerebroplacental ratio should be taken into consideration as a measure of placental underperfusion and placental reserve in order to help identify fetuses who are more likely to experience hemodynamic disturbances prior to delivery. Accordingly, the potential efficacy of CPR evaluations in high-risk pregnancies may aid in the prediction of unfavorable perinatal outcomes and may lessen preterm low birth weight and related issues in IUGR pregnancies.

References:

1. Montaldo P, Puzone S, Caredda E, Pugliese U, Inserra E, Cirillo G, et al. Impact of intrauterine growth restriction on cerebral and renal oxygenation and perfusion during the first 3 days after birth. *Scientific reports*. 2022; 12(1):1-9.
2. Dhiman B, Ganju S, Sood N. Correlation of middle cerebral artery dopplervelocimetry and perinatal outcomes in intra uterine growth restricted babies: Middle cerebral artery dopplervelocimetry and perinatal outcomes in IUGR. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Gynaecology and Obstetrics*. 2019;1(1):26-32.
3. Islam I, Yasmin T, Rubaiat L, Arephin SS, Hossain MM, Asfia A. Color Doppler Imaging of Cerebral-Umbilical Pulsatility Ratio in Intrauterine Growth Retardation. *Journal of Enam Medical College*. 2017;7(3):140-5.

4. Mahmood S, Chowdhury S, Chowdhury SB. Color Doppler Evaluation of Cerebral-Umbilical Pulsatility Ratio and its Usefulness in the Diagnosis of Intrauterine Growth Retardation and Prediction of Adverse Perinatal Outcome. *Journal of Bangladesh College of Physicians and Surgeons*. 2016;34(3):145-50.
5. Gupta BD, Sharma R, Shah K. Prediction of IUGR and adverse perinatal outcome by colour Doppler examination of UA PI and MCA: UA PI Ratio. *Int J Contemp Med Res*. 2016;3(4):976-8.
6. Bano S, Chaudhary V, Pande S, Mehta VL, Sharma AK. Color doppler evaluation of cerebral-umbilical pulsatility ratio and its usefulness in the diagnosis of intrauterine growth retardation and prediction of adverse perinatal outcome. *Indian Journal of Radiology and Imaging*. 2010;20(01):20-5.
7. Khadija S, Gilani SA, Butt S, Yousaf M, Bacha R. The Efficacy of Doppler Indices in Third Trimester of IUGR Pregnancies. *Obstetrics and Gynecology Research*. 2020; 3(1):1-9.
8. Singh G, Gupta N, Singhal S, Sharma PK. Correlation of efficacy of cerebro placental ratio with adverse perinatal outcome in clinically suspected IUGR pregnancies. *International journal of reproduction, contraception, obstetrics and gynecology*. 2018;7(5):1808-13.
9. Kuber R, Randhawa S, Khaladkar S, Patil A, Veeramachaneni R, Indurkar PS. Doppler study of middle cerebral artery and umbilical artery in biometrically suspected intra uterine growth restricted pregnancies. *Int J Res Med Sci*. 2016;4(2):403-14.
10. Page AS, Page G, Dehaene I, Roets E, Roelens K. Can the cerebroplacental ratio (CPR) predict intrapartum fetal compromise?: a prospective observational study. *Clinical Obstetrics Gynecology and Reproductive Medicine*. 2017;3(5):1-6.
11. Deora R, Gara N. The value of the middle cerebral to umbilical artery doppler ratio in the prediction of neonatal outcome in patients with high risk pregnancy. *International Journal of Reproduction, Contraception, Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2017;6(9): 3965-9.
12. Bhattacharjee AK, Majumdar MK, Divya M. Cerebroplacental Ratio in Intrauterine growth restriction and perinatal outcome. *Sch. J. App. Med. Sci.*, 2017;5(11C):4507-11.
13. Munikumari T, Vijetha V, SreeDivya NV. Comparison of diagnostic efficacy of umbilical artery and middle cerebral artery waveform with color Doppler study for detection of intrauterine growth restriction fetuses. *Radiology*. 2017;2(2):41-6.
14. Nimmagadda H, Kapoor P, Ladwal MR. Evaluation of the Diagnostic Criteria of Ultrasonographic Parameters In The Prediction of Intrauterine Growth Restriction. *World Journal of Research and Review*. 2017;5(3):262756.
15. Gramellini D, Folli MC, Raboni S, Vadora E, Meriardi A. Cerebral-umbilical Doppler ratio as a predictor of adverse perinatal outcome. *Obstetrics and gynecology*. 1992;79(3):416-20.