Management Strategies and Maternal Outcome of Placenta Accreta
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Abstract:

Objectives: To find out the proportion and maternal outcome of placenta accreta.

Materials and Methods: Total 10579 deliveries were served during January 2013 to 31st December 2013 in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology of Addin Women Medical College Hospital, Dhaka. All patients who needed postpartum or cesarean hysterectomy for postpartum hemorrhage and diagnosed as placenta accreta after postpartum hysterectomy were included for the study. Among them who were antenally diagnosed as placenta previa with having other risk factors of placenta accreta, were evaluated by Doppler Sonography. All these cases such as diagnosed, suspected or only had multiple risk factors of placenta accreta were managed by a team approach and proper counseling of the patient’s guardian about need of massive transfusion, hysterectomy, Intensive Care Unit (ICU) admission.

Results: Among the total 10579 deliveries 22 cases were diagnosed as placenta accreta after postpartum hysterectomy. On histopathology 8 of these cases were placenta percreta, 7 cases were placenta increta and 7 cases were placenta accreta vera. Almost ninety one (90.90%) patient had placenta previa and 90.90% patient had past H/O one or two cesarean section. Placenta percreta cases were more common in patients with H/O two previous C/S or one C/S and dilatation & curettage (D&C). In all preoperatively diagnosed cases, Right lower paramedian incision was given and hysterectomy was done leaving the placenta in situ.

Conclusion: Placenta accreta is associated with previous two or more cesarean deliveries, or multigravidae with past H/O repeated D&C or M/R or combined. History of these operations are diagnosed as having anterior or central placenta previa.

Introduction:

Placenta accreta is the severe form of obstetric complication, in which placenta is abnormally attached to the uterus. Once a rare occurrence, placenta accreta is becoming an increasingly common complication of pregnancy, likely related to the increasing rate of cesarean delivery over the last five decades. The incidence had increased from 1 in 4027 pregnancies in 1970s, to 1 in 2510 pregnancies in the 1980s and to 1 in 533 pregnancies for the period of 1982-2002. Placenta accreta accounts for 7%-10% of maternal mortality and is currently the most common indication for peripartum hysterectomy.

Although exact pathogenesis is unknown, an area of accretion of chorionic villi in direct contact with the myometrium and absence of decidua was found on hysterectomy specimen. This decidual maldevelopment is usually associated with previous instrumentation as in the case of prior cesarean sections or uterine curettages.
It is important to diagnose placenta accreta prior to delivery, as early in the pregnancy as possible, in order to allow prevention of maternal mortality and morbidity due to massive hemorrhage\(^1\). Wong et al. reported that sonographic findings performed with gray-scale and Doppler ultrasonography had 89% sensitivity and 98% specificity for the diagnosis of placenta accreta\(^1\)\(^2\). Delivery plan requires multidisciplinary team approach, which should involve an anesthesiologist, Obstetrician, pelvic surgeon such as gynecologic oncologist, intensivist, maternal -fetal medicine specialist, neonatologist, urologist, hematologist and interventional radiologist to optimize patient’s outcome\(^1\)\(^4\),\(^\)\(^5\). Patient counseling include discussion of potential need of hysterectomy, the risks of profuse hemorrhage and possible maternal death. Generally recommended management of placenta accreta is preterm cesarean hysterectomy with the placenta left in situ. An alternative approach to preserve the uterus, leaving the placenta in situ, has been reported. However, this approach should be considered only when patient has a strong desire for future fertility as well as hemodynamic stability, normal coagulation status, and is willing to accept the risks involved in the conservative approach\(^1\)\(^4\),\(^\)\(^5\).

**Methodology:**

This study was conducted in Addin Women Medical College Hospital during the period of 1\(^{\text{st}}\) January 2013 to 31\(^{\text{st}}\) December 2013. Total 10579 deliveries occurred during the study period; 24 cases of postabortion and postpartum hysterectomy were done. Among them 22 cases were diagnosed cases of placenta accreta following cesarean hysterectomy and included for the study, 17 cases were antenatally diagnosed as placenta praevia with previous H/O cesarean section and or D&C. These cases were reevaluated by Doppler Ultrasonography for invasiveness of placenta. After diagnosis of placenta accreta, patient’s guardian were counseled about need of cesarean hysterectomy, massive blood transfusion, ICU admission and even death. Urologist and anaesthesiologist were also consulted before hysterectomy. Other 5 cases were diagnosed peroperatively. One of these 5 cases was diagnosed during D&C for 12 weeks missed abortion.

The following relevant data were recorded: maternal age, parity, gestational age, previous cesarean deliveries and D&C, past H/O abortion and M/R, presence of placenta praevia, placenta accreta and degree of invasiveness. During management of these cases surgical intervention, estimated amount blood loss, amount of blood transfusion bags, need of ICU admission and length of hospital stay were noted.

**Results:**

Total 10579 deliveries occurred during the study period. 24 patients needed post partum hysterectomy for clinical placenta percreta and uncontrolled postpartum hemorrhage. Among them 22 cases were histologically diagnosed as placenta accreta, giving rise to proportion of 1 in 481 deliveries.

Maternal demographic characteristics, risk factors for placenta accreta, clinical assessment in terms of blood and amount of blood transfusion in relation to placenta accreta are shown in Table I,II and III. According to histopathological classification, 8 patient had placenta percreta, 7 had placenta increta and 7 had placenta accreta vera.

Only one patient had placenta percreta in first trimester (12 weeks), all other cases were in 27 to 41 weeks gestational age of pregnancy.

20 (90.90%) patient had placenta praevia type II anterior, type III anterior and central type. These 20 patient also had previous H/O cesarean section. Ten (45.45%) patients had past H/O of abortion, M/R and dilatation and currettage. Seven patients had H/O prior D&C operation they were grand multigravidae.

Placenta accreta cases were, more commonly associated with previous H/O two cesarean section or one cesarean section and H/O D&C. Two cases of placenta praevia patient came with intrabdominal hemorrhage due to spontaneous perforation of placenta in third trimester.

In 3 cases of central placenta praevia, placenta invaded broad ligament and bladder. These were very much difficult cases and huge bleeding occurred. During management of the antenatally diagnosed cases, right lower paramedian incision was given. Baby was delivered by high transverse incision in the upper segment and placenta was left unseparated. Subtotal hysterectomy was done in 5 cases of type II anterior placenta praevia. Total hysterectomy in 5 cases and supracervical hysterectomy was done in other cases.

One of the case of placenta percreta invading bladder and parametrium, needed intrabdominal condom
tamponade for 24 hours after cesarean hysterectomy, to prevent continuous oozing of blood from bladder and surrounding tissues.

In another two cases of placenta percreta Descending cervical and vaginal branch of uterine artery of both side were ligated per vaginally at the level of the cervicovaginal junction, to prevent bleeding from cervicovaginal junction and bladder base even after hysterectomy.

Even another one case required codom tamponade from below, between posteroinferior surface of the bladder and posterior part of lower segment of uterus. Because anterior part of lower segment was totally replaced by placental tissue.

Bladder was opened up in 3 cases pf placenta percreta.

Two patients were shifted to ICU. One of them died after 24 Hours.

Table-I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age in years</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>20-38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravidity</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>2-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age in weeks</td>
<td>36.2*</td>
<td>27-41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* One patient had 12 weeks pregnancy

Table-II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prior cesarean section</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>90.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior dilatation and curettage operation</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past H/O abortion, M/R</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimated blood loss in liters</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>2-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood transfusion units</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>2-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative stay in hospital in days</td>
<td>8.3*</td>
<td>8-22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A patient who died in ICU excluded

Discussion:
The incidence of placenta accrete has risen in parallel with that of cesarean section and will remain a major cause of maternal morbidity and mortality as the principle indication of postpartum hysterectomy with excessive blood loss. In our Hospital, during the study period the principle cause of postpartum hysterectomy was placenta accreta(95.65%).

Placenta accreta occurs approximately 1 in 1000 deliveries with a reported range from .04% to .9%. Differences in definition and study population may account for this wide range.

The proportion of placenta accreta was 1/481 deliveries in our centre. This incidence is nearer to the incidence(1/426) found in a retrospective study done by Evsen et al. at (centre A) of Dicle University Hospital, Turkey. But this is little higher than the incidence 1 in 695 deliveries reported by Nargis Iqbal in a study done in Jinnah Hospital, Lahore, Pakistan.

Ayesha A rif reported an incidence of 1 in 131 deliveries in Pakistan Armed Forces Medical Hospital.

In this study it was revealed that multi gravidity due to abortion, M/R was more related to placenta accreta than multi parity. 12(%) patient were primipara but 6 of them had gravity more than two due to previous abortion or M/R. 77.27% patient were multigravidae and 22.73% were grand multigravidae which is similar to other studies.

Women at greatest risk of placenta accreta are those who have myometrial damage caused by a previous cesarean delivery with either anterior or posterior placenta praevia overlying the uterine scar. In this study previous H/O cesarean section was present in 90.91% cases and placenta previa was also present in 90.91% cases. Sadia Jalil reported 93% of placenta previa with placenta accreta in their study.

H/O dilatation and curettage was present in 18.18% cases. This is also similar to other studies.

Maternal death has been reported up to 7% cases. Mortality and morbidity is associated with undiagnosed and unplanned managed cases. In the present study a patient delivered a dead fetus, and after severe post partum hemorrhage, hysterectomy was done and diagnosed as a case of placenta percreta. After hysterectomy patient was shifted to ICU and she died after 24 hours. So to prevent this catastrophic situation antenatal diagnosis and multidisciplinary approach are necessary.
**Conclusion:**
Woman who had previous two or more cesarean deliveries, or multigravidae with past H/O repeated D&C or M/R or combined H/O of these operations diagnosed as having anterior or central placenta previa managed after detailed sonographic screening and evaluation for placenta accreta results good outcome.
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