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**Summary:**
Spontaneous rupture of uterine vessels during pregnancy is a rare cause of shock that has a high rate of maternal and foetal loss. Though the usual presentation is of maternal shock, it is necessary to have in mind that possibility of blood vessels rupture may be in cases of abdominal pain & hypotension of unknown origin during pregnancy.

In such an event an exploratory laparotomy followed by caesarean with ligation of the uterine vessel or its branch can help in preventing a maternal mortality. The advent of modern resuscitative facilities, anaesthesia techniques have lowered mortality associated with this condition from 49% to 3.6%.

**Introduction:**
Hemoperitoneum during pregnancy resulting from spontaneous rupture of uterine varicose veins is potentially lethal complication, associated with high fetal and maternal mortality¹-¹¹. This is likely to be misdiagnosed because of its rarity. Presenting symptoms include severe abdominal pain, followed rapidly by maternal shock and fetal distress. Approximately 120 cases have been reported in literature, first case was reported in 1778. Majority of ruptures (61%) were diagnosed before the onset of labour, and 21% occurred in post partum period. We report a case of 26 yrs old woman at 30 wks of gestation, who had acute abdomen and shock because of spontaneous rupture of uterine varicose veins.

**Case Report:**
A 26 yr old woman, primigravida first presented at 30 wks gestation with history of chicken pox and threatened preterm labour. Tocolysis was done; she received 2 doses of injection dexamethasone, but left against medical advice 12-hours after admission. After one week presented with same complaints, but left just after 10 mins without treatment.

On her last admission she presented with vomiting, pain lower abdomen and dizziness. Her B.P was 110/70 mm/Hg; pulse was 90/min and was afebrile. Fetal heart rate was 160 / min. Marked pallor was noted this time. Ultrasound done but no evidence of abruptio placentae found, fetal movements were seen. Blood samples were taken for cross matching and baseline workup. Suddenly patient had a syncopal attack and B.P dropped to 60/40 mm/Hg. She was resuscitated with I/V fluids and received blood transfusion. Ultrasonography repeated by consultant radiologist later on, this time fetal cardiac activity was absent and again no sign of placental abruption was evident. Patient’s condition deteriorated over time, with markedly increasing pallor, tachycardia and hypotension. She was shifted to ICU, with a provisional diagnosis of septicemia (keeping in view her history of chicken pox), and placental abruption was still not ruled out categorically and received packed cell transfusion, broad-spectrum antibiotics. In ICU patient complained of severe epigastric pain. USG hepatobiliary system was requested and this time radiologist noticed significant amount of free fluid in peritoneal cavity, Ultrasound guided aspiration was done, and frank blood was aspirated. Surgical team was consulted and exploratory Laparatomy planned with provisional diagnosis of ruptured splenic artery aneurysm. Abdomen was entered through midline incision, and about 2000ml blood removed from peritoneal cavity. Cesarean section done and dead female fetus delivered. No obvious source of bleeding encountered, all abdominal and pelvic viscera were intact. The only abnormal finding was dilated tortuous varicose veins on uterine fundus with active ooze from few veins, these vessels were ligated. Patient was stabilized with 4-units packed cell and 4-FFPs transfusion.

Patient had uneventful recovery and was discharged in stable condition on 10th post operative day.

In the following year she had uneventful pregnancy delivered at term by caesarean section, healthy alive baby.
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Discussion:
This condition has a relatively infrequent incidence and has been associated in the past with very high maternal and fetal mortality. The obstetrician is faced with both a puzzling diagnostic dilemma and a perplexing management scheme.

This potentially lethal complication of pregnancy is so rare that it is not described in standard textbooks. Few obstetricians see even a single case.

Placental abruption is the frequent misdiagnosis. Ignorance of this condition may lead to delay in diagnosis with possible tragic consequences.

The etiology is unknown, but Hodgkins and Christiansen suggested that rupture results from a sudden rise in venous pressure in varicose hypertrophied, utero-ovarian vessels. These veins are predisposed to rupture because of their tortuous path, lack of valves, and distension during pregnancy, because of increase in blood volume and cardiac output.

Majority of ruptures occur in labour, as was seen in this case, as she presented with preterm labour pains. Sudden increase in the venous pressure as in labour, coitus, defecation and weight lifting may play a role in spontaneous rupture. Previous surgeries on uterus, leiomyoma and multiple pregnancies have been associated with this condition.

Hemoperitoneum or broad ligament and retroperitoneal hematoma follow the rupture. In either event acute pain abdomen is the first symptom, quickly followed by shock. Ultrasound scan is useful to exclude placental abruption, detect free fluid and guide paracentesis needle.

Spontaneous Hemoperitoneum in pregnancy has also been reported from rupture of various abdominal and pelvic organs and aneurysmal vessels.

Treatment consists of aggressive fluid and blood replacement, together with prompt surgical intervention, provide the only chance for a favorable outcome for both fetus and mother. Cesarean section is required in late pregnancy for adequate exploration.

Conclusion:
When a pregnant woman experiences acute onset, severe abdominal pain even without any history of abdominal trauma ruptured uterine varicose veins and hemoperitoneum should be included in the differential diagnosis. Recurrence in a subsequent pregnancy is unknown.
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