Treatment of a Class II Division 2 malocclusion with Cover Bite and Severe Crowding in an Adult patient
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ABSTRACT

This case report describes the orthodontic treatment of an adult patient, who presented an Angle Class II division 2 malocclusion, with deep traumatic overbite, retroclined incisors with proclined left maxillary lateral incisor and mild gingival recessions. Treatment of an adult Class II patient requires careful diagnosis and a treatment plan involving esthetic, occlusal, and functional considerations. The patient was treated with extraction of four first premolars to relieve crowding, with simultaneous correction of the deep bite by intrusion of the upper and/or lower incisors using fixed appliance mechanotherapy.
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INTRODUCTION

Class II malocclusions are frequently observed in orthodontic practice. Angle's designation of the Class II division 2 malocclusion presents a unique combination of overbite, incisor retroclination, and sagittal discrepancy. A severe form of this malocclusion with extremely deep overbite covering at least one mandibular incisor in occlusion has been called coverbite or Deckbiss in its early German descriptions.1 Class II division 2 malocclusion is a clinical entity which shows considerable difficulty to achieve a stable treatment result.2

HISTORY AND DIAGNOSIS

This case report describes a 24 year old female patient came to the Orthodontic Department at BSMMU with the complaint of pain feel due to impingement of the palatal gingiva by lower incisors and labial gingival tissue with upper incisors.

Extraorally, she had broad face with straight profile, competent lips and deep mentolabial sulcus. The patient presented with Angles Class II division 2 malocclusion with retroclined incisors and proclined left maxillary lateral incisor, deep traumatic overbite. Both arches exhibited moderate to severe crowding. In addition the lower arch had a pronounced curve of spec ( Figs 1 and 2).

Cephalometric tracing showed: It is a Class II skeletal pattern, ANB angle = 5°, (SNA = 84° and SNB = 79°) and normal mandibular growth ( FMA = 24° and Y-axis =65°). Figure 4 and Table 1.

TREATMENT OBJECTIVE

The treatment objectives include the chief complaint of the patient, and the treatment mechanics should be planned based on the specific treatment goals.3 The main objectives of treatment for this patient were to procline the maxillary incisors and to intrude the incisors to avoid impingement of gingival tissues. Alignment and levelling of the teeth on both jaws aimed to alleviate crowding. In addition, the esthetic appearance would be improved.

TREATMENT PROTOCOL

Considering that as she was an adult patient with a harmonious facial profile, we attempted to maintain the vertical, transverse and anteroposterior position of the bone bases. To achieve the proposed goals the patient was informed that the treatment plan involved the extraction of the first premolars in both jaws.

The treatment began with extraction of upper 1st premolars. As the lateral incisor (left side) overlapped the central
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incisor, so the canines were first distally retracted. The anterior segment was flared simultaneously using multiloop 0.014 stainless steel arch wire containing helical and with an omega loop in front of molars. Canine retraction continued and subsequently 0.014 round stainless steel archwire with “L loop” was introduced to further align the lateral incisor.

After all the teeth were aligned properly in the arch with sufficient overjet and canine retraction then proceeded using power chain on 0.016 round stainless steel archwire.

Once sufficient overjet was achieved, brackets were bonded on the lower arch and the treatment in the lower jaw also began with extraction of 1st premolars followed by canine retraction. Leveling and alignment of anterior segment using round 0.014 stainless steel archwire to alleviate crowding. Upon achieving Class I canine relation, reverse curve of spee was used to correct deepbite.

For retraction of upper incisors, 0.017 x 0.025 rectangular stainless steel with loops between lateral incisors and canines were given and Class II intermaxillary elastics were prescribed to improve anchorage.4,6

Upon completion of space closure, final leveling was done using 0.017 x 0.025-in stainless steel archwire on the upper arch and 0.018 round stainless steel wire on the lower arch and the use of Class II elastics continued till the end of treatment. Impacted third molar extraction was prescribed at the beginning of the treatment.

The patient’s final records showed that the basal bones were unchanged with small anteroposterior change reflected in slight movement of point A in maxilla probably due to correction of incisor inclination. This resulted in changing ANB from 5° to 4°, as seen in Table 1.

In the mandible there was no change in the position in the bone base. However, there was an increase in incisor inclination (IMPA angle from 85° to 99°). The inter incisal angle revealed a significant change from 155° to 129° (Table 1).

After ensuring that all the intended goals had been achieved the orthodontic appliance was removed and the retention phase began.

![FIGURE 3: Pretreatment and post treatment cephalogram](image)

### TABLE 1: Summary of Cephalometric measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Pre treatment</th>
<th>Post treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNA (Steiner)</td>
<td>82°</td>
<td>84°</td>
<td>82°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNB (Steiner)</td>
<td>80°</td>
<td>79°</td>
<td>78°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANB (Steiner)</td>
<td>4°</td>
<td>5°</td>
<td>4°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interincisal angle (Downs)</td>
<td>130°</td>
<td>155°</td>
<td>129°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-axis (Downs)</td>
<td>59°</td>
<td>68°</td>
<td>68°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMA (Tweed)</td>
<td>25°</td>
<td>24°</td>
<td>26°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPA (Tweed)</td>
<td>91°</td>
<td>85°</td>
<td>99°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

Deep traumatic overbite is mostly associated with a Class II incisor relationship, with an associated skeletal Class II and sometimes in skeletal Class III occlusion with reverse overjet. Anterior traumatic bite is very injurious to the dental and periodontal health and it results in attrition, crowding, mobility and inability to maintain oral hygiene, gingival
treatment choice. Treatment was focused to relieve crowding and to avoid traumatic occlusion. The dental occlusion showed an improved intercuspation on both sides and treatment was finished with a class II molar relation and class I canine relation as well as an adequate overjet and overbite. During upper incisor retraction as well as during the finishing phase it became necessary to use Class II* elastic mechanics to facilitate anchorage control in view of some initial difficulties. The gingival trauma which had been noted initially was quite improved after treatment.

CONCLUSION

Treatment of class II division 2 malocclusion is always challenging. Each technique of deep bite correction has its advantages and disadvantages and must be carefully selected considering the specific etiology of the individual’s malocclusion and the desired treatment outcome.

REFERENCES

8. Wong RW. Combined orthodontic dentofacial orthopaedic treatment of a class II division 2 patient with severe deep bite, J Orthod, 2002;29; 181-188.

Correspondence

Dr. Nabila Anwar BDS
FCPS (Part II Trainee)
Dept. Of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopaedics
Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU)
Shahbag, Dhaka
Cell- 01819153000, Email- nabila_h2000@yahoo.com