Study on nodal metastasis in neck

SM Mesbah Uddin Ahmad¹, AHM Zahurul Huq², Md. Abul Hasnat Joarder³, Abrar Ahmed⁴, Sk. Hasanur Rahman²

Abstract

A cross sectional study was done in ENT Department, BSMMU from October 07 to March 08. An attempt has been made to evaluate metastatic neck node clinically. 60 cases were studied. Primary lesion identified in 53 cases (88.33%) and remain undetected in 7 cases (11.67%). Among primary sixty (60) diseases, 43 (81.13%) arises from upper aerodigestive tract which were squamous cell carcinoma & 10 (18.87%) came from thyroid & parotid gland. Commonest primary site was Larynx (36.67%). Majority (55%) of patients were age group 41-60. Unilateral lymph node was involved in 49 cases (81.67%), bilateral in 10 (16.67%), contra lateral in 1 case (1.66%), Single node in 22 (36.67%), Multiple in 38 (63.33%) cases. Size of the node >6cm was found in 24 cases (40%), 3-6cm in 20 cases (63.33%), <3cm in 16 cases (26.67%). Most of the involved nodes were in level- II.

Key wards: *Metastatic neck node, primary tumour*

Introduction

A malignant tumor always invades the surrounding structures. It eventually enters the channels like the lymphatics and blood vessels and group of cells are carried to the other parts where it sets up as anabolic spread, is called metastasis.¹

Malignant tumours in the head and neck region have a propensity to metastasize. As the cervical region is very much rich in lymphatic supply containing 200 lymph nodes out of 500 lymph nodes in the body which may get involved in the clinical course of head & neck malignancy.²

The tumour can metastasize in ipsilateral and/or contralateral or bilateral neck nodes. As the tumour grows within a node, it enlarges and becomes indurated and rounded.³ The tumour eventually extends though the capsule of the lymph node and invades the surrounding structures, extension through the neurovascular bundle is relatively common and results in fixation.⁴

One of the most important factors in head & neck cancer is the presence or absence, level and size of

- 1. Registrar, ENTD, Sher-e-Bangla Medical College Hospital, Barishal.
- 2. Assistant Professor, ENTD, BSMMU, Dhaka.
- 3. Professor, ENTD, BSMMU, Dhaka.
- 4. Associate Professor, ENTD, Sher-e-Bangla Medical College Hospital, Barishal.

Address correspondence to: Dr. SM Mesbah Uddin Ahmed, Registrar, ENTD, Sher-e-Bangla Medical College Hospital, Barishal, Bangladesh

metastatic neck disease. Many carcinomas within the head or neck will sooner or later metastasize to lymph nodes and various factors control the natural history of this event.⁵

Most carcinoma of the central head and neck metastasize to the lymph nodes in the deep cervical chain. A lymph node in the posterior triangle may represent a metastasis from the postnasal space. A secondary malignant node in the neck may also be due to a tumour below the clavicle; the lung, stomach and breast are common sites, although on occasion others can arise from a primary elsewhere in the body such as the ovary or testis. A cancer presenting with a node in the neck is four times more common in men than in women, with a mean age of 65 years in men and 55 years in women.

Clinical examination still remains the most important method of assessing regional lymph nodes. Some nodes in the neck are difficult to palpate. Thus retropharyngeal and parapharyngeal nodes are almost impossible to detect by palpation until they are very large.⁸

Metastatic involvement of various lymph node regions usually progress from superior to inferior in an orderly fashion, but it has been shown that in some situations lymph node groups can be bypassed even in the normal lymphogram.⁷

CT scanning of the neck can certainly detect metastastic lymph nodes. The three CT criteria used to define a node as metastatic or not, are size,

.

peripheral enhancement and central necrosis. MRI is at present being used in the assessment of cervical lymphadenopathy. Enlarged nodes and nodes with central necrosis are well demonstrated by MRI. Metastastic lymph nodes in the neck can be demonstrated by ultrasonogram, but distinguishing between inflammatory zand metastatic nodes is difficult. On occasion FNAC is helpful in demonstrating metastatic carcinoma in a lymph node. It may be helpful in the evaluation of a difficult clinical situation. For example in the assessment of a patient with an unknown primary tumour fine needle aspiration may be useful. In general, negative aspiration cytology is not sufficiently reliable and should be ignored.

In metastatic neck disease, majority of cases have known primary sites and another portion of cases having unknown primary tumours. It is important to remember that in 90% of cases the primary tumour of a neck metastasis will be in the head and neck, and vigilant search above the clavicles will provide the primary tumour site in approximately 50% of cases.²

In case of unknown primary, following the history and examination and more importantly radiology, the patient should be examined under a general anaesthetic which will allow the entire upper respiratory tract to be palpated; some small tumours, particularly in the tongue base and tonsil, can often better felt and seen and the nasopharynx can be carefully examined. If the tumour is discovered, a biopsy is taken and the examination completed. Often no tumour will be found and in this situation blind biopsies are taken of both sides of the nasopharynx and the tongue base and tonsillectomy performed on the side of the node.⁵

The prognosis for patients in whom the primary site is never discovered or in whom the primary site is not in head and neck, however is disastrous and treatment must be considered palliative.¹¹

Data on 168 patients with a lower neck node metastasis presenting to the department of medicine, head and neck Oncology group, University of Liverpool, UK shows survival with posterior triangle metastasis is significantly better than supraclavicular metastasis. Laterality of metastasis had no effect on survival. There is no significant difference in survival between squamous and non squamous metastasis.¹²

Aims & Objectives

The aims of this study were -

- (1) To find out the primary sites of metastatic cervical lymph nodes.
- (2) To find out the level of involvement of lymph nodes in neck.
- (3) To make the nodal staging.

Materials and Methods

Type of Study: It was a cross sectional study.

Place & period of study: In-patient department of Otolaryngology & Head-Neck surgery of BSMMU, Dhaka from October, 2007 to March, 2008.

Sample: Sixty (60).

Inclusion criteria: Metastatic neck node with a known primary, both Squamous & non-squamous origin in head & neck region & those with an unknown primary were included in this study.

Exclusion criteria: Metastatic neck node involving left supraclavicular or scalene nodes with a possible primary arising within the chest & abdomen were excluded from this study.

Data Collection:

After taking a comprehensive history every patient was subjected to a thorough physical examination with particular emphasis to ear, nose & throat region. Neck was examined very carefully for the presence of a primary disease as well as the site, size, number & level of lymph node involvement, their consistency & mobility. All cases were advised for FNA biopsy of enlarged neck node. Cases revealed metastatic deposits in enlarged neck gland in FNA biopsy entered into this series.

Besides FNA biopsy of neck node, blood for TC, DC, Hb%, ESR, urine for R/E & a chest x-ray P/A view were done in all cases. X-ray soft tissue nasopharynx lateral view, X-ray PNS – OM view, CT scan of nose & paranasal sinuses, CT scan of larynx, CT scan of nasopharynx & base of the skull, thyroid scan, ultrasonography of thyroid gland, thyroid function test, FNA biopsy of thyroid & parotid swelling were done in selected cases as directed by clinical findings.

Tissue was taken from primary sites for histopathological examination for the confirmation of

malignancy in every case. In case of growth in the nasopharynx, base of the tongue, oropharynx, larynx & pyriform fossa rigid endoscopic procedures were done under general anesthesia (G/A) & tissue diagnosis of non squamous cell carcinoma of thyroid gland & parotid gland was confirmed by excisional biopsy.

Those cases suspected having occult primary disease were subjected to pan-endoscopy under general anesthesia & tissue was taken from suspected area (guided biopsy) & sent for histopathological examination. Those with negative histopathological report and having no clinical symptoms & signs suggestive of primary pathology based on thorough ENT & Head – Neck examination as well as systemic examination of chest & abdomen were taken as occult primary. CT scan of chest & Ultrasonology of whole abdomen, Barium swallow x-ray of oesophagus, Barium meal x-ray of stomach, Barium follow through of small intestine, Barium enema x-ray of colon, Mammography were not possible to be done in all cases of occult primary.

Observation and Results

Table-I Incidence of known primary & unknown primary (n = 60)

Primary tumor	Number of	Percentage
	Patients	(%)
Known primary	53	88.33
Unknown primary	07	11.67

The incidence of metastatic neck node with known primary is eight times more common than that of the unknown primary.

Table-IIHistopathological diagnosis of primary tumour (n = 53)

Туре	Number of	Percentage
	Cases	(%)
Squamous	43	81.13
Non squamous	10	18.87
Total	53	100

Histopathological diagnosis of primary tumour: 43 (81.13%) cases of metastatic neck node primary tumour arise from the surface epithelium of upper aerodigestive tract and 10 (18.87%) cases from a nonsquamous origin.

Table-IIIDistribution of known primary sites

Primary site	No of Cases	Percentage (%)
Larynx	22	36.67
Pyriform fossa	09	15.00
Nasopharynx	04	6.67
Tongue	04	6.67
Oral cavity	02	3.33
Nose & PNS	01	1.67
Oropharynx (Tonsil)) 01	1.67
Thyroid gland	80	13.33
Parotid gland	02	3.33
Total	53	88.33

Among the sq. cell carcinoma of head-neck larynx is the most common site to present with metastatic neck node followed by pyriform fossa and nasopharynx. Among the tumours of nonsquamous origin thyroid gland shows higher cervical node metastatis followed by parotid gland.

Table-IVAge Incidence of metastatic neck node

Age group (Years)	No of cases	Percentage (%)
20-30	05	8.33
31-40	80	13.33
41-50	19	31.67
51-60	14	23.33
61-70	07	11.67
71-80	05	8.34
81-90	-	-
91-100	02	3.33

The highest incidence of metastatic neck node in 41-50 age group.

Α

Table-VSex distribution of metastatic neck node(n=60)

Sex	No. of case	Percentage (%)
Male	47	78.33
Female	13	21.67

The higher incidence of metastatic neck node in males in comparison to females.

Table-VIAccompanying symptoms on clinical presentation (n = 60)

	(11 = 00)	,	
No	Clinical	No. of	Percentage
		case	(%)
1	Hoarseness of voice	23	38.33
2	Respiratory distress	80	13.33
3	Stirdor	05	8.33
4	Dysphagia	26	43.33
5	Otalgia	05	8.33
6	Pain in throat	05	8.33
7	Cough	04	6.67
8	Hemoptysis	02	3.33
9	Nasal obstruction	05	8.33
10	Epistaxis	05	8.33
11	Cranial nerve palsy	07	11.67
12	Thyroid gland swelling	80	13.33
13	Parotid gland swelling	02	3.33
14	Trismas	01	1.67
15	Severe headache	03	5.00
16	Anorexia & weight loss	15	25.00

Besides enlarged lymph nodes, the wide range of clinical presentation reflects their primary site of origin.

Table-VIIDistribution & status of involved lymph nodes (n = 60)

Distribution of No. of Percentage nodes cases (%)

Unilateral 49 81.67

Bilateral 10 16.67

Contralateral 01 1.66

No of node	No. of	Percentage
involvement	cases	(%)
Single	22	36.67
Multiple	38	63.33

С

Consistency	No. of	Percentage
	cases	(%)
Hard	32	53.33
Firm to hard	24	40.00
Firm	03	5.00
Soft	01	1.67

D

Mobility	No. of	Percentage
	cases	(%)
Mobile	36	60.00
Fixed	24	40.00

Ε

Size of lymph	No. of	Percentage
nodes	cases	(%)
< 3cm	16	26.67
3-6 cm	20	33.33
> 6 cm	24	40.00

Table-VIIILevel of lymph node involvement for each separate type of known primary.

Primary site	Level of Lymph node	No. of cases	Percentage (%)
Larynx	Level-II	16	26.67
	Level-II+III	06	10.00
Pyriform fossa	Level-II+III	02	03.33
	Level-II	04	06.67
	Level-III	03	05.00
Nasopharynx	Level-II	03	05.00
	Level-II+III	01	01.67
Tongue	Level-II	03	05.00
	Level-I	01	01.67
Oral cavity	Level-I	01	01.67
	Level-III	01	01.67
Nose & PNS	Level-I	01	01.67
Oropharynx (Tonsil)	Level-II	01	01.67
Thyroid gland	Level-VI	06	10.00
	Level-IV	02	03.33
Parotid glad	Level-II	01	01.67
	Level-V	01	01.67
Total		53	88.33

Showing highest lymph node involvement in level-II

Table-IXA Staging of lymph nodes (n = 60)

Stage	No. of cases	Percentage (%)
Stage-N1	20	33.33
Stage-N2	24	40.00
Stage-N3	16	26.67

Showing highest presentation in N2 stage.

Table IXBDistribution of N2 nodes

Stage	No. of cases	Percentage (%)
Stage-N2A	08	33.33
Stage-N2B	11	45.83
Stage-N2C	05	20.83

Showing highest frequency in N2B stage.

Table-XPrimary sites in bilateral neck metastases

Primary site	No. of cases	Percentage (%)
Larynx	03	30
Thyroid gland	02	20
Nasopharynx	01	10
Base of the tongue	01	10
Unknown primary	03	30

Larynx was the commonest site in case of nodal metastasis.

Discussion

Metastatic neck disease is commonly encountered in our country. It is difficult to give an exact figure of metastasis neck disease as only few studies are available in our country and most of the studies were carried out with cervical lymphadenopathy where metastatic neck node was described as one of the commonest cause of cervical lymph node enlargement

in adults.¹³ More than one third of the total body lymph nodes are distributed in the neck and certain areas of head and neck are studded with dense capillary network of lymphatics. So the rate at which neoplasm metastasize from them is very high.

An FNA biopsy of enlarged lymph node in neck showing metastatic deposit of squamous or nonsquamous origin was taken as primary selection criteria. Leveling of lymph node group was done after the Memorial Sloan Kettering Hospital (1981). Staging of lymph node group was done after AJC & UICC (1987).

In the present series 60 cases of metastastic neck node had been studied. A Primary lesion could be identified in 53 (88.33%) cases. However primary sites remained undetected in 7 (11.67%) cases. A study in our country with the metastatic neck node shows 93.2% cases of known primary & 6.8% cases of unknown primary sites¹⁴. However, two studies carried out abroad showed similar rate of incidence. One in the Liverpool, England showed 89.3% cases of known & 10.3% cases of unknown primary and another in USA revealed about 90% cases of known & 10% cases of unknown primary ^{15,16}.

Among the primary sites 43 (81.13%) cases were seen to arise from squamous lining of upper aerodigestive tract & 10 (18.87%) cases were having a nonsquamous origin arising from thyroid (13.33%) & parotid gland (3.33%). The study shows that metastasis from thyroid gland carcinoma is not a very uncommon entity. The incidence of metastatic neck node of thyroid origin in other series varied from 6.25% to 9.5%. In the present series metastatic neck node of thyroid origin was found in 8 (13.33%) cases. The higher incidence in the present series might be due to the fact that there was no selectivity for either the site of primary tumour or the histologic type.

Among the known primary sites highest incidence of metastatic neck node was found with Ca-larynx (36.66%). All of them were located in supraglottis of larynx with either involvement of medial wall or extention into the pyriform fossa in 40% cases which may explain the high incidence of metastasis in case of supraglottic larynx. No glottic or subglottic growth was seen with neck node metastasis.

Incidence of carcinoma pyriform fossa was seen in 9 (15%) cases. 4 (6.67%) cases of metastatic neck node were seen to take origin from nasopharynx, 4

(6.67%) cases from the tongue, 2 (03.33%) cases from buccal mucosa, 1 (1.67%) case from nose & 1 (1.67%) case from tonsil. Among the non-squamous origin, 8 (13.33%) cases were found to arise from Cathyroid & 2 (3.33%) cases from Ca-parotid. The study carried out with metastatic neck node at home & abroad show a diverse picture. In a study with metastatic neck node in the department of Otolaryngology Mount Sina Hospital & Sunnybrook Medical center, Toronto, 40% cases were found to arise from Ca-tongue, 20% from Ca-larynx, 20% cases Ca-floor of mouth, 7% from Ca-tonsil, 3% from Capalate & 10% from miscellaneous sites. The absence of selectivity for primary sites was the reason behind it as noted by them¹⁷. Another study with metastatic neck node in Khartoum Teaching Hospital, Sudan, most common primary site was found nasopharynx¹⁸. It might be due to their social habits and genetic predisposition. Other studies showed highest incidence of metastasis from Ca-pyriform fossa¹⁴.

Fourty-seven (78.33%) patients were males & 13 (21.67%) were females with a ratio being 3.5:1 of ages ranging from 20 years to 96 years, which is in fair agreement with almost all the studies revealing metastatic nodes to be more frequent in males above the age of 40. Five (8.3%) cases presented in second decades. Three (5%) of which took origin from carcinoma of nasopharynx, two (3.3%) from papillary carcinoma of thyroid gland and one (1.6%) from the carcinoma of parotid gland not at all unlikely for these sites to present with neck node in early age. In a study carried out in our country 81.85% patients were found to be male & 18.75% patients to be female with the ratio being 4.3:1 and all were above 40 year of age. In another study, out of 21 cases, 18 (85.71%) patients were male & 3(14.29%) patients were female with highest incidence in 4th and 5th decade.13

Calarynx (33.33%) was found to be most common carcinoma spreading to neck node in males & Cathyroid (6.67%) in females. History of smoking was found to be present in 22 (23.32%) males & 8 (13.33%) female patients. History of taking smoke of crude tobacco leaves was found in a 96 years old patient presented with carcinoma in pyriform fossa.

Among the presenting features, enlargement of lymph node were present in 60 (100%) cases. Then, 26 (43.33%) patients presented with dysphagia, 23 (38.33%) cases with hoarseness of voice, 8 (13.33%) patients with respiratory distress, 5 (8.33%) patients

with stridor, 5 (8.33%) patients with otalgia, 5(8.33%) patients with pain in throat, 5 (8.33%) patients with nasal obstruction, 5 (8.33%) patients with epistaxis, 3 (5%) patients with severe headache, 1 (1.67%) with trismas, 7 (11.67%) patients with cranial nerve palsy & 7 (11.67%) patients with anorexia & weight loss. 8 (13.33%) patients presented with thyroid swelling & 2 (3.33%) patients with parotid gland swelling. These finding are with the findings of other published series. ¹³

The disease was unilateral in 49 (81.67%) cases, bilateral in 10 (16.67%) cases & contralateral in 1 (1.66)% case of carcinoma of larynx. This is supported by other works done with metastatic neck disease in our country with high incidence of unilateral metastatic neck node. ¹³ In a work on metastatic neck disease done by G. B snow and his team in Netherland Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, ipsilateral enlargement of lymph node demonstrated in 87% cases. That work also showed bilateral enlargement in 8.6% & contralateral enlargement in 3.6% cases.

Lymph node involved was single in 22 (36.6%) patients & multiple in 38 (63.33%) cases. G.B. Snow & his team of Netherland Cancer Hospital, Amsterdam got 61.3% cases of single node enlargement & 38.7% cases of multiple lymph node enlargement in their series. The other studies carried out in our country lymph node enlargement were solitary in 52.4%-62.5% cases & multiple in 37.5%-47.6% cases. ¹³ This picture is not consistent with the findings of present study, which might be due to more inclusion of metastatic neck node in advanced stage.

In this study, nodes were found less than 3 cm in 16 (26.67%) cases, 3 to 6 cm in 20 (33.33%) cases & more than 6 cm in 24 (40%) cases. But one study showed enlarged lymph node <3 cm in 85% cases & >3 cm in size in 15% cases only. This indicates more late presentation of patients of our country, which corresponds with the ignorance, illiteracy & poor socio-economic status of our people.

Lymph nodes were hard in 32 (53.33%) cases, firm to hard in 24 (40%) cases, firm in 03 (5%) cases & soft in 1 (1.67%) cases. In other studies hard & firm to hard lymph nodes were found in 87.5% to 90.5% cases & firm lymph node in 9.5% to 12.5% cases.

In 36 (60%) cases lymph nodes were found mobile & 24 (40%) cases were found fixed. Mobility of the node varied from 57% to 60% & fixity from 43% to 40% in a

study carried out in Bangladesh⁹. In other study, fixity of nodes varied from 16.4% to 29.6% representing their early presentation once again.

While leveling of the lymph node, most commonly involved lymph node region was found to be level II (40%) followed by level II + III (30%). This is in agreement with most studies that the upper jugular lymph node chain is most commonly involved with head & neck nodal metastasis¹⁸.

The higher incidence of N2 stage of lymph node in our series is well reported by other Bangladeshi series. ⁵

Conclusion

Data presented in this study may considerably vary with any large series but since then since the cases were collected very carefully over a period of six months from a hospital of national reference level, the study might be of some credential reflecting certain facts. Though inflammatory neck disease is very common in our country, one should not forget the possibility of metastatic carcinoma in an adult presenting with a rapidly growing, hard, non-tender, lateral neck mass. While enlarged cervical node in an elderly patient should always be considered as metastatic until proved otherwise. Because delay in the diagnosis will eliminate the best opportunity for effective treatment with curative inten.

References

- Islam A, Alam MM, Hadi HIA, Detection of Metastatic Cervical Lymph node. Bangladesh Journal of Otorhinolaryngology, 2005; 11(1/2): 25-32.
- Watkinson JC, Gaze MN, Wilson JA, Metastatic neck disease. In: Stell & Maran's Head & neck Surgery, Butterworth Heinemann, Oxford: 4th edition; 2000, Chap. 12: pp. 197-214.
- Bruce R. In: Donoff manual of oral and maxillofacial surgery, 3rd edition, Mosby 1997; 325
- 4. Saha JP. In: Head neck surgery. 2nd edition, London. Churchill Livingstone, 1996; 355.
- 5. Shah JP. Cervical lymph node metastasis, its diagnostic, therapeutic and prognostic implications. Oncology, 1990; 4: 61-9.
- Shah J. Cervical lymph nodes in Head and Neck surgery, 2nd edition, Mosby-Wolfe, London, 1996; 355-92.

- Fisch UP, Sigel ME. Cervical lymphatic systems as visualized by lymphography. Annals of Otology, Rhinology and Laryngology, 1964; 73:870-82.
- 8. Hibbert J, Metastatic neck disease. In: Scott-Brown's Otolaryngology, Butterworth Heinemann, Oxford: 6th edition, vol. 5: pp. 5/17/1-5/17/15.
- 9. Martin H, Romieu C. Cervical lymph node metastasis as the first symptom of cancer, Surg.Gynaecol obstet, 78:133.
- Schuller DE, Mcgirt WF and others. The prognostic significance of metastatic cervical lymph nodes. Laryngoscope, 1980; 90: 557-70.
- 11. Jones AS, Cook JA, Phillips DE, Roland NR. Squamous carcinoma presenting as an enlarged cervical lymph node. Cancer, 1993; Sep 1; 72(5): 1756-61.
- 12. Giridharan W. Hughes J, Fenton JE. Lymph node metastasis in the lower neck. Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci, 2003; 28(3): 221-6.

- Hadi HIA, Cervical lymphadenopathy- A Clinicopathological Study of 100 cases (Dissertation BCPS) 2000.
- 14. Sako K. Fallibility of palpation in diagnosis of metastasis to nodes; surg. Gyneacol obstet, 1964; 118: (989).
- Walter J.B. & Israel M.S. Spread of Malignant tumours. In General Pathology, 4th ed. London. Churcill Livingstone, 1974; p365-373.
- Spiro RH and others: cervical node metastatis from epidermoid carcinoma of the oral cavity & oropharynx, Am J surg, 1974; 128: 562.
- 17. Ali S, Tiwari RM, Snow GB. False positive & false negative neck nodes. Head Neck surgery, 1985; 8: 78-82.
- Lefebvre JL, Conche-Dequeant B, Van JT et al. Cervical Lymph nodes from an unjnown tumour, Am J Surg, 1990; 160: 443-456.