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**Abstract**

**Objectives:** Data regarding vitamin D level and status among categories of prediabetes are scarce in the literature. This study is aimed to determine the level and status of vitamin D among adults with prediabetes and its different categories. **Materials and methods:** This cross-sectional study was carried out in 111 newly detected adults with prediabetes according to American Diabetes Association 2018 criteria and 74 matched healthy control (normal glucose tolerance). People with prediabetes were categorized into impaired glucose tolerance, impaired fasting glucose and their combination group. Participants were recruited consecutively from the Department of Endocrinology, BSMMU to measure serum 25 hydroxyvitamin D by high performance liquid chromatography and serum intact parathormone, calcium, albumin and phosphate by chemiluminescent enzyme-labeled immunometric assay. **Results:** Vitamin D level and status were not significantly different between people with prediabetes & control and also in different categories of prediabetes. Severe vitamin D deficiency was significantly higher in people with prediabetes (27.5% vs 10%, p = 0.05). There were no associations between different blood glucose levels with vitamin D among adults with prediabetes. **Conclusions:** Vitamin D was not associated with prediabetes or its categories.
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**Introduction**

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is one of the rapidly growing health care challenges due to its burden in the form of morbidity, mortality and health care cost. Prevention of diabetes is now an urgent requirement to reduce these troubles. Prediabetes is the early stage of diabetes and major modifiable risk factors to prevent the progression of prediabetes to DM. Several factors in the pathogenesis of DM are coming forward. A new theory of dirty dozen has been described that includes vitamin D due to its probable role in several pathways in the pathogenesis of DM.
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Hypovitaminosis D increases insulin resistance by secondary hyperparathyroidism and by reducing the expression of insulin receptors and peroxisome proliferator activated receptors. Low vitamin D is also associated with meta-inflammation, activation of renin-angiotensin system, reduced expression of insulin gene & calbindin and direct β-cell dysfunction. All are responsible for diminished insulin production. Recent studies suggest that vitamin D deficiency is a pandemic condition in healthy people even in areas of abundant sunshine. A recent study conducted in the city of Dhaka found a prevalence of 43.8% of vitamin D deficiency among unselected people. Two studies published from Bangladesh also found around one third of patients with DM with vitamin D deficiency. Another group of authors also found significantly lower level of vitamin D level in prediabetis with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.

But vitamin D level and status among Bangladeshi adults with only prediabetes were not published as per our best knowledge. Although many studies described the vitamin D level and status in adults with prediabetes, but their distribution according to different categories of prediabetes were rarely mentioned simultaneously in the literature.

Materials and methods

Subjects

This was a cross-sectional study. It was done in the department of Endocrinology of BSMMU among 111 newly detected adults with prediabetes and 74 age group and sex-matched control. Participants who were taking or had received vitamin D or calcium within last 120 days of sample collection; taking any medications that alter vitamin D level; having any disorders affecting vitamin D metabolism and pregnancy or lactation were excluded from the study. Participants were recruited consecutively by purposive sampling technique during the period of July 2018 to September 2019.

Operational definitions

Prediabetes was diagnosed by American Diabetes Association 2018 criteria (impaired fasting glucose, IFG (5.6 – 6.9 mmol/L); impaired glucose tolerance, IGT (7.8 – 11 mmol/L) and HbA1c 39 – 47 mmol/mol (5.7 – 6.4%)). Categories of prediabetes were described by oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) as IGT, IFG and their combination group (IGT+IFG) and healthy control adults were considered as normal glucose tolerance (NGT) group. Vitamin D status was defined by Endocrine society’s clinical practice guideline, 2011 into sufficiency (≥30 ng/ml), insufficiency (20 – 29.99 ng/ml) and deficiency (<20 ng/ml). Vitamin D deficiency status was further classified by Lips’s criteria in to mild (10 – 19.99 ng/ml), moderate (5 – 9.99 ng/ml) and severe (<5 ng/ml) vitamin D deficiency status.

Clinical data collection

Data were collected in a semi-structured pretested questionnaire. Patients were asked regarding socio-demographic factors and factors affecting vitamin D level. Height, weight, waist circumference and blood pressure were measured as per standard methods.

Biochemical analysis

About 10 ml of venous blood was taken from each participant in the fasting state. Serum was separated by centrifugation and stored in - 20°C until assay. Assay of the collected samples were done for 25 hydroxyvitamin D {25(OH)D} by high performance liquid chromatography method (HPLC) in SIL 20 series prominence HPLC analyzer with a coefficient of variability 2.6 – 4.9%. Serum intact parathormone (iPTH), fasting serum calcium, albumin and phosphate were measured with chemiluminescent enzyme-labeled immunometric assay by Immulite 2000 systems Siemens, USA analyzer. Corrected calcium was calculated from fasting calcium and albumin by correction formula \( \text{corrected calcium (mg/dl)} = \text{measured calcium (mg/dl)} + 0.8 \times (4 – \text{measured albumin in gm/dl}) \).
of development of prediabetes or any prediabetes category. Statistically significant was set at p value <0.05.

**Ethical considerations**

Informed consent was taken from each participant. The study protocol was approved by institutional review board of BSMMU (No. BSMMU/2018/4826).

**Results**

This study included 111 adults with prediabetes and 74 matched control population (NGT) to determine the vitamin D level and status in adults with prediabetes and its different categories. The prediabetes categories of IFG, IGT and IFG+IGT included 31 (27.93%), 30 (27.03%) and 50 (45.05%) individuals respectively.

The mean age of the study population was not significantly different among different categories of glucose tolerance (NGT= 37.34±10.82 vs IGT= 34.10±9.52 vs IFG= 34.26±7.34 vs IGT+IFG= 39.68±11.15; p=0.07). The predominant categories of gender and area of residence in the study participants were female and urban respectively without any significant association among different study groups. There was a significant association between occupational classifications between prediabetes and control group due to higher percentages of manual unskilled class in the group with prediabetes than the control group. There was no significant association found between either educational status or socioeconomic status with different study groups (Table 1).

**Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of the study population (N= 185)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Prediabetes (n= 111)</th>
<th>Control (NGT) (n= 74)</th>
<th>Prediabetes categories (n=)</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Predominant category</td>
<td>frequency (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td>88 (79.3)</td>
<td>59 (79.7)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of residence †</td>
<td></td>
<td>85 (76.6)</td>
<td>48 (64.9)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational classification ‡</td>
<td></td>
<td>72 (64.9)</td>
<td>30 (40.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manual unskilled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational status</td>
<td></td>
<td>43 (38.7)</td>
<td>27 (36.5)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Completed higher secondary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic status ¥</td>
<td></td>
<td>50 (45.0)</td>
<td>32 (43.2)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Within parenthesis are percentages over total column of respective variable

Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test was done as appropriate

† According to Bangladesh national conservation strategy

‡ According to registrar general occupational classification

¥ According to monthly household income

The participants with prediabetes were significantly more obese and centrally obese than the control population. There were no significant associations among other variables including sunlight exposure time with the different study groups (Table 2).
Table 2. Variables related to vitamin D level in the study population (N= 185)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Prediabetes (n=111)</th>
<th>Control (NGT) (n=74)</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Prediabetes categories</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>frequency (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers (present/ past) ¶</td>
<td>19 (17.1)</td>
<td>11 (14.9)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>6 (19.4)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity level (Low) ¥</td>
<td>54 (48.6)</td>
<td>32 (43.2)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>12 (38.7)</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate sunlight exposure time †</td>
<td>66 (59.5)</td>
<td>43 (58.1)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>21 (67.7)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunlight exposure ≥20% of body surface area</td>
<td>23 (20.7)</td>
<td>14 (18.9)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>5 (16.1)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of sunscreen (sun protecting factor &gt;8)</td>
<td>5 (4.5)</td>
<td>2 (2.7)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>2 (6.5)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity †</td>
<td>88 (79.3)</td>
<td>39 (52.7)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>23 (74.2)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central obesity †</td>
<td>101 (91)</td>
<td>54 (73.0)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>28 (90.3)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>28 (25.2)</td>
<td>13 (17.6)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>8 (25.8)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Within parentheses are percentages over column total of respective variables
Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test was done as appropriate
¶ According to Mayo clinic smoking status category
¥ According to international physical activity questionnaire- short form
† At least 10 minutes a day in between 11 am to 2 pm, at least 3 days a week
† According to WHO, western pacific region, 2000

The mean value of serum iPTH was significantly higher in the people of control group in comparison to the prediabetes (p= 0.003) group. The mean value of vitamin D in people with prediabetes was higher but not significantly different from the control population. Among the different categories of prediabetes, there were also no statistically significant associations of the mean value of any biochemical variables including vitamin D (Table 3).

Table 3. Biochemical variables in the study population (N= 185)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Prediabetes (n=111)</th>
<th>Control NGT (n=74)</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Prediabetes categories</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mean±SD (min, max)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. corrected calcium (mg/dl)</td>
<td>9.24±0.51 (7.86, 10.36)</td>
<td>9.12±0.54 (7.7, 10.28)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>9.32±0.59 (7.86, 10.18)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. inorganic phosphate (mg/dl)</td>
<td>3.58±0.48 (2.60, 4.90)</td>
<td>3.70±0.51 (2.6, 5.1)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>3.49±0.54 (2.6, 4.4)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. iPTH (pg/ml)</td>
<td>57.48±22.63 (16.8, 122.3)</td>
<td>57.48±22.63 (16.8, 122.3)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>50.22±31.48 (12.9, 119.1)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 25(OH)D (ng/ml)</td>
<td>20.78±10.60 (2.0, 45.47)</td>
<td>19.20±8.49 (2.0, 37.56)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>22.53±11.32 (2.0, 45.47)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Independent-samples T test or one way ANOVA was done as appropriate
According to Endocrine society’s criteria the percentages of vitamin D deficiency were higher in the control population than the prediabetes group but was not significantly different (54.1% vs 45.9%; \( p = 0.29 \)). Among the different categories of prediabetes, the IFG+IGT group was followed by IGT group and at last IFG group depending on the higher percentages of vitamin D deficiency without any statistically significant association (Figure 1a).

Severe vitamin D deficiency status was significantly higher in the people with prediabetes than the control group (27.5% vs 10.0%; \( p = 0.05 \)) according to Lips’s criteria. On the other hand, the IFG+IGT group has the highest frequency of severe vitamin D deficiency, however again without any statistically significant association with other categories of prediabetes (Figure 1b).

Among adults with prediabetes, the people with vitamin D deficiency (<20 ng/ml) had significantly more family history of DM, lower corrected serum calcium but higher blood glucose value 2 hours after 75 gm glucose (2H-OGTT) and iPTH level than the people with vitamin D sufficiency (≥30 ng/ml). But, there were no significant difference in fasting blood glucose (FBG), HbA1c% and serum inorganic phosphate between the people of two groups (Table 4).

### Table 4. Clinical and biochemical variables in people with prediabetes with vitamin D deficiency (<20 ng/ml) vs vitamin D sufficiency (≥30 ng/ml) group (N= 74)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Vitamin D sufficiency (n=23)</th>
<th>Vitamin D deficiency (n=51)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>frequency (%) or mean±SD</td>
<td>frequency (%) or mean±SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family history of DM</strong></td>
<td>11 (47.8)</td>
<td>38 (74.5)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2H-OGTT glucose (mmol/L)</strong></td>
<td>7.42±1.84</td>
<td>8.47±1.42</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HbA1c (%)</strong></td>
<td>5.74±0.41</td>
<td>5.82±0.37</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Serum corrected calcium (mg/dl)</strong></td>
<td>9.51±0.52</td>
<td>9.13±0.45</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Serum inorganic phosphate (mg/dl)</strong></td>
<td>3.47±0.42</td>
<td>3.69±0.54</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Serum iPTH (pg/ml)</strong></td>
<td>42.00±24.74</td>
<td>54.69±25.20</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-square test or independent-samples T test was done as appropriate.
No significant correlations were found between vitamin D level with blood glucose in fasting (r=0.007; p= 0.94), 2H-OGTT (r= -0.16; p= 0.09) and HbA1c% (r= -0.12; p= 0.20) in adults with prediabetes.

Logistic regression analysis revealed that severe vitamin D deficiency (vitamin D <5 ng/ ml) did not increase the risk of developing of prediabetes (Odds ratio, OR= 0.48, p= 0.17) or any category of prediabetes (IFG: OR= 0.76, p= 0.67; IGT: OR= 0.99, p= 0.98; IFG+IGT: OR= 0.8, p= 0.69).

**Discussions**

This study is aimed to determine the vitamin D level & status among adults with prediabetes (in comparison to control population) and its different categories. There were no significant differences in vitamin D level and status between people with and without prediabetes except for frequency of severe vitamin D deficiency, which was higher in those with prediabetes. There were also no significant differences in vitamin D level and status among the different categories of prediabetes. In addition, vitamin D level did not correlate with different blood glucose levels in people with prediabetes. Severe vitamin D deficiency was not associated with increased risk of prediabetes. Among people with prediabetes, family history of DM was significantly more common, corrected serum calcium was significantly lower, serum iPTH and 2H-OGTT glucose value were significantly higher in people with vitamin D deficiency.

The mean vitamin D level in the people with prediabetes was in the insufficiency group according to Endocrine society’s criteria and was not significantly different from the control group. Similar findings were also observed by other studies 19,20. This lower level of vitamin D might be the cause of significantly higher iPTH level in the control group. The mean vitamin D level in the people with different categories of prediabetes was also not statistically different in our study. Scragg et al. observed mean vitamin D level 28±12.5ng/ml (vitamin D insufficiency) in IGT group 21. Another study only showed IFG criteria to define prediabetes and found mean vitamin D level 23.6±0.7 ng/ml that was similar to our finding (22.53±11.32 ng/ml). However, this IFG group might have IGT simultaneously 19. Based on HbA1c, one study did not find any significant difference of mean vitamin D level in a group of young women with prediabetes 22. One study found significantly higher mean vitamin D level and lower serum calcium level in the people of NGT group that were not consistent with our findings 23.

According to Endocrine society’s criteria, 45.9% of the adults with prediabetes were vitamin D deficient and were not significantly different from the control group. Similar findings were also observed by other authors 24,25. On the other hand, high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency was found by some studies in the people with prediabetes 26-28. This might be due to a relatively higher age, greater percentages of smokers and higher BMI in these study population. Besides these, history of sunlight exposure and use of sunscreen were not mentioned in these studies.

This study found 27.5% of the adults with prediabetes with severe vitamin D deficiency with a cut off 5 ng/ml, which was significantly higher than the control population. Using a cut off 10 ng/ml, Dutta et al. found 14.65% of the prediabetic populations were severely vitamin D deficient. Ayhan et al. and Ahmed et al. found higher percentages (50.43% and 47.5% respectively) of severe vitamin D deficiency among the prediabetic group 20,26,28.

In the natural history of development of prediabetes, at first IGT is developed followed by IFG 28. However, they may appear separately and have separate course of progression 19. So, with the advancement of glycemic status higher percentages of vitamin D deficiency are expected. We also found, highest percentages of severe vitamin D deficiency in the IFG+IGT group without any significant associations with other categories of prediabetes. One study described prediabetes as two mutually exclusive groups of raised HbA1c (5.7% - 6.4%) & IFG/IGT and found no significant association with serum calcium, phosphate, parathormone and 25(OH)D 31.

We observed that, vitamin D deficiency (<20 ng/ml) was associated with significantly lower corrected serum calcium and higher iPTH in comparison to vitamin D sufficiency (≥30 ng/ml) in the people with prediabetes. We also found that people with vitamin D deficiency had significantly more family history of DM and higher level of 2H-OGTT glucose values. One study found significantly more family history of DM and higher FBG in vitamin D deficiency group than sufficiency group among Indian women with prediabetes 32. Abbasi et al. did not find significant difference in FBG between vitamin D deficiencies than sufficiency group 19. Dutta et al. also did not
find any association of FBG, 2H-OGTT glucose and HbA1c with different categories of vitamin D status in people with prediabetes\textsuperscript{20}.

We did not find any significant correlations of fasting, 2H-OGTT glucose and HbA1c value with vitamin D level. Gioreli et al. didn’t find any association of vitamin D with fasting and 2H-OGTT glucose values\textsuperscript{33}. No correlation between HbA1c and vitamin D was also found by other studies\textsuperscript{20,22}. Data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination survey found a negative correlation between vitamin D level and HbA1c among US adults of a specific age group who reported them as free from DM\textsuperscript{34}. Another study also found negative correlation of FBG and 2H-OGTT glucose values with vitamin D level\textsuperscript{33}. Although low vitamin D level was associated with increased risk of prediabetes, vitamin D supplementation failed to show improvement of glycemic status indicating a minor role of vitamin D in preventing DM\textsuperscript{38}.

Even severe vitamin D deficiency state (<5 ng/ml) did not significantly increase the risk of prediabetes or any category of prediabetes in our study. One study found vitamin D deficiency (<20 ng/ml) did not increase the risk of development of prediabetes and another study found increased risk of development of IGT but not IFG or combined IFG+IGT group\textsuperscript{23,36}. Compared with ≥30 ng/ml, serum 25(OH)D level <10 ng/ml was found to increase risk of development of IFG in a large population with age 40 – 70 years. The association might be variable depending on age, sex and race\textsuperscript{37}. So, the progression of prediabetes to DM depends on interaction of many factors. As this was a cross-sectional study, a longitudinal prospective study conducted in community level could reveal the true association of vitamin D and prediabetes among people of Bangladesh.

**Conclusion**

Among different vitamin D levels, only frequency of severe vitamin D deficiency was higher in people with prediabetes compared to control. There was no association between vitamin D with prediabetes and its categories or blood glucose levels in those with prediabetes. Vitamin D deficiency (<20 ng/ml) was associated with family history of DM, lower level of corrected serum calcium, higher level of blood glucose after glucose meal and iPTH among adults with prediabetes.
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