Prevalence and Antibiotic Resistance Pattern of *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolated from Clinical Samples: A Cross-sectional Study
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**Abstract**

**Background:** *Staphylococcus aureus*, mainly a hospital acquired infection is responsible for many supplicative lesions and has demonstrated the ability of developing resistance to many antimicrobial agents leading to life threatening infections and long hospital stay. **Objective:** This study was aimed to determine the prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility profiles of *Staphylococcus aureus* in different clinical specimens isolated from hospital admitted patients. **Methodology:** This cross-sectional study was conducted from 1 January 2019 to 31 December 2019 in the Microbiology Department of Dhaka Medical College, Dhaka, Bangladesh. Pus, wound swab and blood samples were included in this study. Isolation and identification of Staphylococcus aureus was based on culture, microscopy and different biochemical tests. The antibiotic susceptibility test was done by disc diffusion method using Kirby-Bauer technique. **Results:** Among 275 clinical specimens 21.63% *Staphylococcus aureus* were isolated among which 21.93% were isolated from pus and wound swabs and 19.05% from blood samples. Most of the *Staphylococcus aureus* showed resistance to azithromycin and erythromycin (68.89%) followed by clindamycin (66.67%), ampicillin (40%), linezolid (31.11%), amikacin (26.67%) and teicoplanin (24.44%). **Conclusion:** In conclusion there is a high prevalence of *Staphylococcus aureus* in clinical samples of hospitalized patients and it has shown alarming resistance to many of common antimicrobials.
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**Introduction**

*Staphylococcus aureus* is an aerobic gram positive coccus and ubiquitous commensal that periodically lives on the skin and anterior nares of about one third of the healthy human population without causing illness¹². Most *Staphylococcus aureus* infections occur in persons who are colonized with the organism.

*Staphylococcus aureus* carriage has long been known to be one of the most strongly associated risk factors for subsequent infection¹⁴. The organism also elaborates toxins that can cause specific diseases and likely participate in the pathogenesis of *staphylococcal* infection⁹. *Staphylococcus aureus* causes a wide variety of diseases that ranges from skin and soft tissue infections, pneumonia, bloodstream infections, osteomyelitis and endocarditis, as well as toxin-mediated syndromes like toxic shock and food poisoning⁶⁷.

Varying degree of antibiotics resistance towards *Staphylococcus aureus* may be due to production of β-lactamase enzyme responsible for inactivation of
β-lactam drugs, gene mutations and horizontal gene transfer and exposure to high selection pressure of antibiotics. The rise of drug-resistant is a serious problem in the treatment and control of Staphylococcal infections. The knowledge of antimicrobial susceptibility profile of Staphylococcus aureus in a particular area is important as this can contribute to rational choice and use of antimicrobial agents. The aim of the present study was to determine the prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility profiles of Staphylococcus aureus in different clinical samples isolated from hospital admitted patients.

Methodology

**Study Settings and Population:** This cross-sectional study was conducted from 1 January 2019 to 31 December 2019 in the Microbiology Department of Dhaka Medical College, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

**Sample Collection Procedure:** Samples were collected from pus, wound swab and blood of clinically suspected infected patients of inpatient departments of Dhaka Medical College Hospital or were received in the microbiology department for culture and sensitivity after taking informed written consent. Primary blood culture was done in Trypticase soya broth (TSB) for 24 hours and then subculture was done in blood agar and MacConkey agar media and incubated at 37°C aerobically for 24 hours.

**Microscopic Examination:** Smears were prepared from culture plates and stained by Gram’s stain as per standard procedure. Then were examined under microscope for presence of gram positive or gram negative organisms.

**Biochemical test:** Among isolated gram positive cocci, Staphylococcus aureus was identified by catalase test, coagulase test (slide and tube method), colony morphology, hemolytic property, pigment production and mannitol fermentation test in mannitol salt agar media as per standard methods.

**Antimicrobial susceptibility tests:** All the Staphylococcus aureus isolates were tested for antimicrobial susceptibility testing by disc diffusion method on Mueller Hinton agar using Kirby-Bauer technique. Antibiotic discs used for sensitivity tests were Azithromycin, Clindamycin, Erythromycin, Linezolid, Ampicillin, Novobiocin, Teicoplanin, Amikacin.

**Statistical Analysis:** Statistical analyses was performed with SPSS software, versions 22.0 (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). Continuous data that were normally distributed were summarized in terms of the mean, standard deviation, median, minimum, maximum and number of observations. Categorical or discrete data were summarized in terms of frequency counts and percentages. When values are missing, the denominator was stated. Chi-square test was used for comparison of categorical variables. Every effort was made to obtain missing data. A two-sided P value of less than 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

**Ethical Clearance:** All procedures of the present study were carried out in accordance with the principles for human investigations (i.e., Helsinki Declaration) and also with the ethical guidelines of the Institutional research ethics. Formal ethics approval was granted by the Research Review Committee (RRC) of Department of Microbiology and Ethical Review Committee (ERC) of Dhaka Medical College, Dhaka, Bangladesh (Reference number: MEU-DMC/ ECC/2019/171). Participants in the study were informed about the procedure and purpose of the study and confidentiality of information provided. All participants consented willingly to be a part of the study during the data collection periods. All data were collected anonymously and analyzed using the coding system.

**Results**

A total 275 samples were included in the present study. Among them 230 pus and wound swabs and 45 blood samples. From the 275 samples 208 (75.6%) were culture positive. Culture yielded growth in 187 (81.30%) pus and wound swabs and 21 (46.67%) blood samples (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Culture Positive</th>
<th>Culture Negative</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pus and wound swabs</td>
<td>187 (81.3%)</td>
<td>43 (18.7%)</td>
<td>230 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>21 (46.7%)</td>
<td>24 (53.3%)</td>
<td>45 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>208 (75.6%)</td>
<td>67 (24.4%)</td>
<td>275 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Culture Positivity Among Various Clinical Samples (n=275)
Out of 187 pus and wound swabs samples 41 (21.93%) Staphylococcus aureus was isolated followed by 4 (19.05%) from 21 blood samples (Table 2).

Table 2: Distribution of Staphylococcus aureus Isolated from Culture Positive Samples (n=208)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Culture Positive</th>
<th>Culture Negative</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pus and wound swabs</td>
<td>41 (21.93%)</td>
<td>146 (78.07%)</td>
<td>187 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>4 (19.05%)</td>
<td>17 (80.95%)</td>
<td>21 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>45 (21.63%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>163 (78.37%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>208 (100.0%)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staphylococcus aureus showed higher resistance to azithromycin and erythromycin (68.89%) followed by clindamycin (66.67%), ampicillin (40%), linezolid (31.11%), amikacin (26.67%) and teicoplanin (24.44%). All (100%) isolated Staphylococcus aureus were sensitive to novobiocin (Table 3).

Table 3: Antimicrobial Resistance Pattern of Isolated Staphylococcus aureus from Clinical Samples (n=45)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antimicrobial drugs</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Azithromycin</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>68.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>68.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>66.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linezolid</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>31.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teicoplanin</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>24.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novobiocin</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

Staphylococcus aureus is the most frequently occurring bacterial pathogen among clinical samples from hospital inpatients in the United States and is the second most prevalent bacterial pathogen among outpatients. Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia was associated with a long duration of hospital stay, high treatment cost and increased risk of mortality, compared with bacteremia by any other pathogen and a significant economic burden on health care systems.

In the present study, 45 (21.63 %) Staphylococcus aureus were isolated from clinical samples. This finding was almost similar to the related study in India who reported that 18.70% Staphylococcus aureus were isolated in their studies. Another study showed that 33.3% Staphylococcus aureus were isolated in their studies. This divergence might be due to the difference in types of samples, difference in sample size and number of hospital surveyed, season of collecting samples and medication taken before sampling. In our study, maximum (21.93%) Staphylococcus aureus were isolated from pus and wound swabs which concurs with a previous study (29.4%). Antimicrobial agents have been used extensively to combat Staphylococcus aureus infections but the increasing level of resistance of Staphylococcus aureus to many antibiotics is complicating the treatment of serious infections caused by this pathogen.

In the present study, 68.89% Staphylococcus aureus showed resistance to erythromycin. This result was similar to the related previous study. Another study showed that 46.3% erythromycin resistance for Staphylococcus aureus. The rate of erythromycin resistance varies greatly in different countries which may reflect on the difference in selective pressure of antibiotics and infection control policies.

In this current study, 68.89 % Staphylococcus aureus showed resistance to azithromycin. A previous study found 49.2% resistance. In our study, 66.67% Staphylococcus aureus showed resistance to clindamycin. Two previous studies found 77.3% and 40.7% clindamycin resistance respectively.

In the present study, 40% Staphylococcus aureus showed resistance to ampicillin. This result was similar to the study of Turutoglu et al. Another study observed that 100% Staphylococcus aureus showed resistance to ampicillin. The higher resistance of Staphylococcus aureus to ampicillin may be attributed to the fact that it is the frequently used antibiotic for the treatment of skin and nasal infections.

In this current study, 31.11% Staphylococcus aureus showed resistance to linezolid. This result was in agreement with the study of Amr et al. Garcia et al. showed that linezolid resistance probably develops on exposure.

Many factors are responsible for the differences in the antibiotic resistance profile such as various geographic regions, local infection preventive measures implemented, antibiotic prescribing guidelines and epidemiology of the studied strains themselves. Antibiotic resistance usually occurs in Bangladesh and other developing countries as a result of some incidences including the use of antibiotics without performing AST and the inappropriate prescribing of antibiotics, unethical practices of health professionals, unqualified drug sellers offering alternative drugs when the prescribed drugs are unavailable and the uncontrolled use of antibiotic in agriculture and livestock.
There are some limitations of the study. The incidence of *Staphylococcus aureus* infection and its complications has increased sharply in recent years because of the increased frequency of invasive procedures, increased numbers of immunocompromised patients and increased resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains to available antibiotics. This changing epidemiology of *Staphylococcus aureus* infection, in combination with the inherent virulence of the pathogen, is driving an urgent need for improved strategies and better antibiotics to prevent and treat *Staphylococcus aureus* infection and its complications.

**Conclusion**

*Staphylococcus aureus* is a particularly complex, virulent and successful pathogen. There is a higher risk of acquiring multidrug resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infection in hospital admitted patients. The chronic misuse and overuse of antibiotics in community level have deteriorated the drug-resistant problem. We should cautious of prescribing antibiotics with accurate dose and duration after culture and sensitivity reports are available. Policies need to be adopted in order to stop an increasing resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* to different antibiotics due to high cost and time in developing new antibiotics. The clinical isolates of hospitalized patients exhibit a relatively high prevalence of *Staphylococcus aureus* which is an alarming issue and significant economic burden on health care systems.

**Acknowledgements**

We are thankful to all the teachers and staff of Microbiology department, Dhaka Medical College, Dhaka.

**Conflict of Interest**

There is no conflict of interest.

**Financial Disclosure**

This study was partially funded by Bangladesh Medical Research Council (BMRC).

**Authors’ contributions**

Noor-E-Jannat Tania conceived and designed the study, analyzed the data, interpreted the results, and wrote up the draft manuscript. SM Shamsuzzaman contributed to the analysis of the data, interpretation of the results and critically reviewing the manuscript. Aminal Islam contributed to the data analysis and manuscript writing. Maminur Rahman involved in the manuscript review and editing. Khadijatul Kubra involved in the manuscript review and editing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Data Availability**

Any inquiries regarding supporting data availability of this study should be directed to the corresponding author and are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

**Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate**

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board. As this was a retrospective study the written informed consent was not obtained from all study participants. All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

**Copyright**

© Tania et al. 2023. Published by Bangladesh Journal of Medical Microbiology. This is an open access article and is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0). This license permits others to distribute, remix, adapt and reproduce or changes in any medium or format as long as it will give appropriate credit to the original author(s) with the proper citation of the original work as well as the source and this is used for noncommercial purposes only. To view a copy of this license, please see: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/


**Article Info**

Received: 7 August 2022
Accepted: 24 December 2022
Published: 1 January 2023

**References**

10. Shittu AO, Udo EE, Lin J. Phenotypic and molecular characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates expressing low-and high-level mupirocin resistance in Nigeria and South...
Prevalence and AMR Pattern of Staphylococcus aureus

Tania et al