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Abstract

Crossbite is one of the most prevalent malocclusion, posterior crossbite occurs in 8% to 22% of orthodontic cases and anterior crossbite has been seen in Class III cases, which accounts for 3.4% of orthodontic cases. The etiology of posterior crossbite can include any combination of dental, skeletal, and neuro muscular functional components, but the most frequent cause is reduction in width of the maxillary dental arch.

Patients/cases seeking comprehensive orthodontic treatment in between 5 to 35 years were diagnosed for crossbite with diagnostic model and care record file.

Out of 300 cases 163(54.3%) had crossbite, 90(30%) had anterior crossbite and 109(36.3%) had posterior cross bite. Among posterior crossbite 60(20%) had unilateral and 49(16.3%) had bilateral crossbite. Posterior crossbite was more prevalent than anterior crossbite. Cases with Class I molar relation showed more crossbite. Crossbite was more prevalent in cases with congenitally missing teeth.
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Introduction

Posterior cross bite is one of the most prevalent malocclusions in the primary and early mixed dentition and is reported to occur in 8% to 22% of the cases.¹⁻³ It is defined as any abnormal buccal-lingual relation between opposing molars, premolars, or both in centric occlusion. The most common form is a unilateral presentation with a functional shift of the mandible toward the crossbite side, which occurs in 80% to 97% of cases.³

The etiology of posterior cross bite can include any combination of dental, skeletal, and neuro muscular functional components, but the most frequent cause is reduction in width of the maxillary dental arch. Such reduction can be induced by finger sucking,⁴⁻⁶ certain swallowing habits,⁵ or obstruction of the upper airways caused by adenoid tissues or nasal allergies.⁶,⁷ Other etiologies of cross bites include prolonged retention or premature loss of deciduous teeth, crowding, palatal cleft, genetic control, arch deficiencies, abnormalities in tooth anatomy or eruption sequence, oral digit habits, oral respiration during critical growth periods, and malfunctioning temporomandibular joints.⁸

In a study by Jalber Almeida dos Santos et al in Brazil it was observed that 28.1% of school children has cross bite. Highest frequency was seen among 13 year old(39.3%), followed by 14 year old(32.0%). Regarding the type of cross bite 45.9% had unilateral cross bite, while 34.4% had anterior crossbite.⁹ In another study by Cuc Albinita and Cuc O it was observed that the frequency of cross bite is the highest between 10-13 years old – 5.80%, in comparison to the age group of 6-9 years old – 4.54% in France.¹⁰

Yet no significant studies have been done on the prevalence of cross bite in Bangladeshi population. This study is an attempt to find out the prevalence of cross bite in Bangladeshi population and its variation in age and gender. This study would be helpful in the diagnosis and correction of cross bite in Bangladeshi population.
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Material and methods
Patients between the age of 5 years and 35 years seeking orthodontic treatment were included in the study. Patients with incomplete clinical record and unwilling to participate in the study were excluded. Pre developed data sheet was used to record the findings. All the data were collected by same person.

Descriptive statistics was performed for the study variables. The prevalence of cross bite among male and female was determined. Prevalence of Cross bite among people with Cleft lip and palate, Adenoid, Mouth breathing, Thumb sucking, different Molar Relation, Congenitally missing teeth, Anomaly in Shape size, Narrow upper arch, Occlusal prematurity, Delayed eruption of teeth, Traumatic tooth loss and Premature loss of teeth was calculated. SPSS version 17 for Windows was used for data analysis.

Result
The sample consisted of 300 cases attending Outpatient department of Orthodontics in BSMMU among which 99 were male and 201 were female. The age range was from 7 years to 35 years with mean age of 18.33 years. Out of 300 cases 163(54.3%) had cross bite, 90(30%) had anterior cross bite and 109(36.3%) had posterior cross bite. Among posterior cross bite 60(20%) had unilateral and 49(16.3%) had bilateral cross bite.

Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male N=60</th>
<th>% among male with cross bite</th>
<th>Female N=103</th>
<th>% among female with cross bite</th>
<th>Total N=163</th>
<th>% of total cross bite</th>
<th>Chi square test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior Cross bite</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>33.12</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both anterior and posterior</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20.38</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>22.08</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior Cross bite</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>47.57</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>44.78</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unilateral Posterior Cross bite</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>36.67</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27.18</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30.67</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral Posterior Cross bite</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>28.33</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31.06</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Cross bite</th>
<th>Cross bite percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>60.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class III</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II sub div</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II and Class III</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>missing molars</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class I and Class III</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

This study consisted of 300 cases attending the outpatient department of Orthodontic in BSMMU, out of which 201 were female and 99 were male. This clearly indicates the orthodontic awareness and concern in females than males. This is similar to the findings from other studies.\(^\text{10,11}\)

Out of 300 cases 163(54.3\%) had cross bite, most of the studies have shown it to be between 8 and 22 percent.\(^\text{1-3}\) The higher percentage of cross bite in this study might be because it was conducted among patients seeking orthodontic treatment and not the normal population and single tooth cross bite was also included in this study.

Distribution of cross bite among male and female

Out of 54 anterior cross bite 21 was seen in male and 33 in female. 35\% of cross bite in male was anterior cross bite and 32 percent of cross bite in female was anterior cross bite. 33.12\% of total cross bite was anterior cross bite. 47 percent of female had posterior cross bite and 40 percent of male had posterior cross bite. 22 percent of cases had both anterior and posterior cross bite. This concludes that females had more cross bite than males. This is in accordance with the study by Sakib Naeem et al. in Pakistani population.\(^\text{12}\)

Crossbite, anterior crossbite, posterior crossbite, unilateral posterior crossbite and bilateral crossbite all were non significant among male and female. This concludes that the distribution of crossbite among male and female is similar. This is similar to the result of study among school children in Brazil, among 13 to 17 age group.\(^\text{10}\)

Distribution of cross bite in different molar relations

Out of total cases there were 2 cases with missing molar and 1 among them had cross bite, 1 case of one side class II and other side Class III molar relation and 1 case of one side class I and other side Class III both had cross bite present. Out of 11 class II sub division cases 7 had cross bite. Class I cases showed most number of cross bite. As Class I cases were more in the study the most frequency of cross bite was noted in Class I cases. Asymmetric molar relation could be a contributing factor for cross bite.

Prevalence of cross bite in different conditions

There were 8 cases of cleft lip and palate and all of them had cross bite, It can be concluded that cleft lip and palate was a major contributor to cross bite. Other factors like thumb sucking, family history, mouth breathing, retained deciduous tooth, congenitally missing teeth, size and shape anomaly in teeth, narrow arch, occlusal prematurity and delayed eruption can have significant influence in the development of cross bite according to the findings of this study. This is in accordance to the findings of previous studies.\(^\text{4-8}\)

Limitations of the Present Study

This is a descriptive study and the specific contribution of each factor in the development of cross bite is not specified. It was a study conducted
in the hospital only and everyone coming with orthodontic problem was included in the study. This might not exactly represent the prevalence of cross bite in the population.

References


10. Cuc Albinita, Cuc O. Reverse Crossbite-Statistical Study In the Period of Mixed Dentition.
