ORIGINAL ARTICLES

PRE AND POSTMENOPAUSAL CHANGES OF BONE MINERAL DENSITY: A COMPARATIVE STUDY DONE BY DUAL ENERGY X-RAY ABSORPTIOMETRY

M BEGUM¹, MI PATWARY², MA AHBAB³, MH KHAN⁴, AI CHOWDHURY⁵, F BARİ⁶

Abstract:
Background: Accelerated decline of bone mass occurs in women after the menopause, and might lead to excessive bone resorption and eventually to osteoporosis. To find out the changes of bone mineral density (BMD) before and after menopause, the shown was undertaken.

Materials and Methods: This comparative study was conducted in the Department of Medicine, Sylhet M A G Osmani Medical College Hospital, Sylhet during July 2008 to June 2009. Forty postmenopausal women; monthly income and BMI matched 40 premenopausal women were selected according to inclusion and exclusion criteria. BMD of lumber vertebrae and femoral neck was determined using Dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) method (Norland XR 46, Pencil beam).

Results: The parity of the postmenopausal women was significantly higher than that of premenopausal women (6.9 ± 2.6 vs 3.1± 1.5; p<0.01). The body weight was significantly lower in postmenopausal women than that of premenopausal women (54.1 ± 8.3 kg vs 61.0 ± 9.7 Kg; p<0.01). The height was significantly lower in postmenopausal women than premenopausal women (148.2 ± 5.7cm vs 153.4 ± 6.4cm; p=0.01). The BMD was lower in postmenopausal women than premenopausal women in lumber vertebrae (0.68 ± 0.13 gm/cm² vs 0.94 ± 0.03 gm/cm²; p <0.01) and also in femoral neck (0.63 ± 0.12 gm/cm² vs 0.84 ± 0.14 gm/cm²; p < 0.01). A significant positive correlation was present between BMD and height (r=0.512; p<0.05); and weight (r=0.489; p<0.05); and a negative correlation between BMD and age (r=-0.408; p<0.05); parity (r=-0.456; p<0.05) and years since menopause (r=-0.350; p<0.05).

Conclusion: The BMD was significantly lower in postmenopausal women than that of premenopausal women and negative correlation was present between BMD and age, parity and years since menopause.
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Introduction:
Over the past 10 years, osteoporosis has emerged as a major clinical challenge for physician and patients, with regard both to its prevalence and to the morbidity and mortality of associated fracture.²

Osteoporosis affects an estimated 75 million people in Europe, United States of America (USA), and Japan.³ Osteoporotic fractures occurs 1 in 3 women as well as 1 in 5 men over 50 years of age.⁴⁶

The most important risk factor for bone loss in women is the menopause; Women loss about 50% of their trabecular bone and 30% of their cortical bone during the course of their lifetime, about half of which is lost during the first 10years after the menopause.⁷⁸

A 10% loss of bone mass can double the risk of vertebral fractures, and 2.5 times greater risk of hip fracture.⁹ Their impact on quality of life can be profound as a result of loss of self-esteem, distorted body image, depression and activities of daily living.¹⁰⁻¹⁴

In an Indian study among women aged 30-60 years and low income groups showed the bone mineral
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density (BMD) at all the skeletal sites were much lower than that of developed countries.\textsuperscript{15}

Bone mineral density in postmenopausal women was significantly lower than pre-menopausal women in a Bangladeshi study using a bone densitometer Single photon X-ray absorptiometry (SXA).\textsuperscript{16}

Evidence suggests that many women who sustain a fragility fracture are not appropriately diagnosed and treated for probable osteoporosis.\textsuperscript{17,18}

This study had been conducted to find out the changes of BMD before and after menopause with a view to detection of low BMD at an early stage gives an opportunity to intervene timely and will decrease the health hazard associated with it.

**Material and Methods:**

This cross-sectional comparative study was carried out among the patients attending in the Department of Medicine, Sylhet M A G Osmani Medical College Hospital and Centre for Nuclear Medicine and Ultrasound, Sylhet during the period from 1\textsuperscript{st} July 2008 to 30\textsuperscript{th} June 2009 with a view to find out the changes of BMD before and after menopause. For this purpose 40 participants aged between 51 to 70 years with body mass index between >18.5kg/m\textsuperscript{2} to ≤30 kg/m\textsuperscript{2} and non-smoker were included as case (Postmenopausal) and 40 BMI and socioeconomic status matched premenopausal women aged between 31-50 years were taken as control (premenopausal). Consecutive and convenient samples were collected. Secondary causes of decreased bone mineral density were excluded. Primary outcome variable was level of bone mineral density and secondary variables age, parity, height, weight and years since menopause.

Detailed history of women including age, parity, socioeconomic status, education, smoking was taken. Detailed menstrual history of the women were taken considering age of menarche, age of menopause, duration since menopause, type of menopause (natural or surgical) Women were enquired about any history of low back pain, height loss, recurrent fall, immobilization, any disease that known to affect bone metabolism at present or in past. Detailed history of medications was noted. Measurement of height (in meter), weight (in kg) and BMI (kg/m\textsuperscript{2}) were done in each patient. Systemic examination was done routinely. BMD was measured using DXA(Norland XR 46, Pencil beam).

**Statistical analysis**

Data was processed and analyzed with the help of Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) software version 12. Mean and standard deviation were calculated for continuous data and percentage for categorical data. Unpaired t-test was done for comparison of continuous variable and Chi-square ($\chi^2$) test for comparison of categorical variable to see the significance of difference. Relationship of variables was seen by multiple logistic regression analysis. P value <0.05 will be taken as significant.

**Ethical issues**

The institutional ethical committee of Sylhet M A G Osmani Medical College, Sylhet approved the study protocol before commencement of the study. Informed written consent of each participant was taken before enrollment.

**Results**

The mean parity was 6.88 ± 2.58 in the postmenopausal group and 3.10 ± 1.48 in the premenopausal (control) group (p < 0.01) (table-I).

In the postmenopausal group, 22 (55.0%) were illiterate, 12 (30.0%) had primary level, 4 (10.0%) had secondary level, 1 (2.5%) had higher secondary level and 1 (2.5%) had graduation or above in their education level; where as in the premenopausal group, 20 (50.0%) were illiterate, 10 (25.0%) had primary level, 4 (10.0%) had secondary level, 4 (10.0%) had higher secondary level and 2 (5.0%) had graduation or above in their education level (p=0.325) (table-I).

Eighteen (45.0%) had monthly income of less than 5000.00 taka, 12 (30.0%) had monthly income of 5000.00 to 7000.00 taka and 10 (25.0%) had monthly income of more than 7000.00 taka in the postmenopausal group; where as in the premenopausal group, 15 (37.5%) patients had monthly income of less than 5000.00 taka, 13 (32.5%) had monthly income of 5000.00 to 7000.00 taka and 12 (30.0%) had monthly income of more than 7000.00 taka (p=0.875); indicating the study was monthly income matched (table-I).

In the postmenopausal group, the mean height of the patients was 148.15 ± 5.69 cm; whereas the mean height of the premenopausal group was 153.35 ± 6.43 cm (p<0.01) (table-I).

The mean body weight of the postmenopausal group was 54.05 ± 8.31 Kg; where as the mean body weight of the premenopausal group was 61.03 ± 9.74 Kg (p=0.01) (table-I).

The mean BMI was 23.88 ± 3.35 Kg/m\textsuperscript{2} in the postmenopausal group and 25.28 ± 3.27 Kg/m\textsuperscript{2} in the premenopausal group (p>0.05); suggesting BMI matched study (table-I).
### Table-I

**Distribution of the patients by baseline characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline characteristics</th>
<th>Postmenopausal group (n=40)</th>
<th>Premenopausal group (n=40)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>6.875 ± 2.580 (mean ± SD) (range 2 to 12)</td>
<td>3.100 ± 2.580 (range 0 to 7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate</td>
<td>22 (55.0)</td>
<td>20 (50.0)</td>
<td>0.325†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>12 (30.0)</td>
<td>10 (25.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>4 (10.0)</td>
<td>4 (10.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher secondary</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td>4 (10.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e Graduate</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td>2 (5.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly income (in taka)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5000</td>
<td>18 (45.0%)</td>
<td>15 (37.5%)</td>
<td>0.875†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000-7000</td>
<td>12 (30%)</td>
<td>13 (32.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;7000</td>
<td>10 (25.0%)</td>
<td>12 (30.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>148.15 ± 5.69 (range 140 to 160)</td>
<td>153.35 ± 6.43 (range 139 to 165)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>54.05 ± 8.31 (range 40 to 72)</td>
<td>61.03 ± 9.74 (range 40 to 80)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>23.88 ± 3.35 (mean ± SD) (range 19 to 29)</td>
<td>25.28 ± 3.27 (range 20 to 29)</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Unpaired t-test and †c² Chi-Square test were applied to analyse the data.

n = total number.

SD = Standard deviation.

BMD in lumber vertebrae was nearly stable in premenopausal women (31-50 years), but decreased sharply in postmenopausal women. Distribution of BMD in lumber vertebrae in different age group was shown in figure-1.

![Fig.-1: Distribution of BMD in lumber vertebrae in different age group](image-url)
In the postmenopausal group, the mean BMD in lumber vertebrae was 0.68 ± 0.13 gm/cm²; whereas the mean BMD in lumber vertebra was 0.94 ± 0.03 gm/cm² in the premenopausal group (p < 0.01) (table-II).

The mean BMD in femoral neck was 0.63±0.12 gm/cm² in the postmenopausal group; whereas the mean BMD in femoral neck was 0.84±0.14 gm/cm² in the premenopausal group (p < 0.01) (table-II).

![Comparison of BMD in different age groups](image)

**Fig.-2: Distribution of BMD in femoral neck in different age group**

In the postmenopausal group, BMD was osteoporotic level in 21(52.5%), osteopenic level in 8 (20.0%) patients, combined in 9 (22.5%) and normal level in 2 (5.0%) patients; where as in the premenopausal group, osteoporotic level was in 5 (12.5%), osteopenic level in 17 (42.5%), combined in 2(5.0%) and normal level in 16 (40.0%) patients (p<0.001) (table-III).

**Table-II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMD (gm/cm²)</th>
<th>Postmenopausal group (n=40)</th>
<th>Premenopausal group (n=40)</th>
<th>*p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lumber vertebra</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.13 (range 0.42 to 1.02)</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.03 (range 0.51 to 1.27)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral neck</td>
<td>0.63±0.12 (range 0.45 to 0.91)</td>
<td>0.84±0.14 (range 0.47 to 1.02)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table-III**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMD</th>
<th>Study group</th>
<th>*p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Postmenopausal women (n=40)</td>
<td>Premenopausal women (n=40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteopenia</td>
<td>8 (20.0)</td>
<td>17 (42.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoporosis</td>
<td>21 (52.5)</td>
<td>5 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>9 (22.5)</td>
<td>2 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO criteria (by T-score)</td>
<td>Combined (either osteoporosis of LV and osteopenia of FN or osteoporosis of FN and osteopenia of LV).</td>
<td>χ² Chi-square test was applied to analyse the data.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regarding correlation between BMD and selected variables showed that a significantly negative correlation was present between BMD and age (r=-0.408; p<0.05), parity (r=-0.456; p<0.05), years since menopause (r=-0.350; p<0.05). But a significantly positive correlation was present between BMD and height (r=0.512; p<0.01), weight (r=0.489; p<0.05) (table-IV).

### Discussion

Osteoporosis and low bone marrow density are significant risk factors for morbidity and mortality in older adults. Not only it gives rise to morbidity but also markedly diminishes the quality of life of women after menopause.19

The parity of the postmenopausal women was significantly greater than the premenopausal women (6.88 ± 2.58 vs 3.10 ± 1.48; p< 0.01). Similar finding was observed in the study of Chowdhury.6

Premenopausal women have got chance of having children in future which may cause this disparity. In the present study a significantly negative correlation was present between BMD and parity (r=-0.456; p<0.05). This result was correlated with the study conducted by Chowdhury.16

In postmenopausal group 55.0% patients were illiterate, 30.0% had primary level, 10.0% had secondary level; and higher secondary level and graduation or above constituted 2.5% each. In this regards Gur et al,20 found that prevalence of osteoporosis had an inverse relationship with the level of education, 18.6% for the most educated to 34.4% for the no educated women.

The height of the patients was significantly lower in postmenopausal group than that of premenopausal group (148.15 ± 5.69 vs 153.35 ± 6.43; p<0.01) in this study, that also reported by Lau et al,21 (149.3 ± 6.4 cm vs 153.5 ±5.7cm; p<0.001) in their study. a significant positive correlation was found between height and the Bone mineral density (r=0.512; p<0.05) that was similar to the study of Douchi.22

The weight was significantly lower in postmenopausal group than that of premenopausal group (54.05 ± 8.31kg vs 61.03 ± 9.74 Kg; p=0.001). This result was supported by Lau et al,21 53.8 ± 10.9 kg vs 56.0 ± 9.0 kg; p<0.01). Chowdhury and his group,16 found the mean body weight was 48.2 ± 10.8 Kg in their postmenopausal women and 49.9 ± 9.4 years in premenopausal women; but the difference between the groups was not significant (p=0.23).

Bone mineral density had a positive correlation with body-weight (r=0.489; p<0.05) in the current study. This result was similar to the study of Chowdhury,16 that bone mineral density had a positive correlation with body-weight (p<0.05).

The mean BMI was 23.88 ± 3.35 Kg/m² in the postmenopausal women and 25.28 ± 3.27 Kg/m² in premenopausal women. The BMI of the patients in both groups were almost similar statistically (p>0.05).

No significant difference was found between postmenopausal and premenopausal women regarding BMI reported by Chowdhury (p=0.79).16

The bone mineral density in lumber vertebrae was 0.68 ± 0.13 in postmenopausal women and 0.94 ± 0.03 in the premenopausal women (p < 0.01). This finding was correlated with Lau et al,21 that the bone mineral density in lumber vertebrae was 0.70 ± 0.16 in postmenopausal women and 0.96 ± 0.12 in the premenopausal women.

The bone mineral density was 0.63± 0.12 in postmenopausal women, and 0.84 ± 0.14 in the premenopausal women in the femoral neck (p< 0.01). This result was also supported by Lau et al that the bone mineral density was 0.55 ± 0.12 in postmenopausal women, and 0.80± 0.10 in the premenopausal women in the femoral neck.

A significant negative correlation was found between BMD and age (r=-0.408; p<0.05 and this finding was correlated with the study of Chowdhury 16 that a significant negative correlation was present between BMD and age (p<0.001).

### Table-IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>BMD</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Parity</th>
<th>Menopause</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMD</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>-0.408*</td>
<td>-0.456*</td>
<td>0.350*</td>
<td>0.512**</td>
<td>0.489*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.408*</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>-0.998**</td>
<td>0.988**</td>
<td>0.992**</td>
<td>0.990**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>0.456*</td>
<td>-0.998**</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.994**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menopause</td>
<td>0.350*</td>
<td>0.988**</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>-0.972**</td>
<td>-0.969**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>0.512*</td>
<td>0.992**</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>-0.972**</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>-0.989**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>0.489*</td>
<td>0.990**</td>
<td>0.994**</td>
<td>-0.969**</td>
<td>-0.989**</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A significant negative correlation was found between BMD and years since menopause (r=-0.350; p<0.05). This result was supported by the study of Douchi, and Enchev. A strong negative correlation was found between bone mineral density and years since menopause (p<0.001) in the study of Douchi, and a weak negative correlation found between bone mineral density and years since menopause in the study of Enchev et al.

In the present study bone mineral density was osteoporotic level in 21 (52.5%) patients, osteopenic level was in 8 (20.0%) patients, combined in 9 (22.5%) and normal level in 2 (5.0%) patients in the postmenopausal women; whereas in premenopausal group, osteoporotic level was in 5 (12.5%) patients, osteopenic level was in 17 (42.5%) patients, combined in 2 (5.0%) and normal level was in 16 (40.0%) patients. The level of bone mineral density between the groups was statistically significant (p<0.001). In this regards Keramat et al. reported that the prevalence of lumbar spine osteoporosis and osteopenia in the postmenopausal women was 26.5% and 50% respectively.

In conclusion, the bone mineral density was significantly lower in postmenopausal women than premenopausal women both in lumber and femoral neck; and negative correlation was present between bone mineral density and age, parity and years since menopause.

**Recommendation:**
Considering the findings of this study the following recommendations are made:

- A study should be conducted using large sample size in the community level to find out the magnitude of the condition in general population.
- A study involving multicentre with random sampling should be conducted to determine any disparity of bone mineral density through out the country.
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