Surgical Placement of Permanent Pacemaker Following Temporary Transvenous Pacemaker in a Child with Congenital Heart Block and Moderate Size PDA: A Case Report
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Introduction

Congenital complete atrioventricular block (CCAVB), with incidence of 1/15000-20000 live born, is characterized by no transmission of any atrial electrical impulses to ventricles. It is almost always due (90% of cases) to the transfer of auto-antibodies (SSA/Ro and SSB/La) from SLE - or Sjogren’s syndrome- affected mothers, even during their pre-clinical phase of the disease.1-3 These auto-antibodies are responsible of ventricular endocardium damage and subsequent endomyocardialfibroelastosis. This can cause intrauterine miscarriage, with under-estimation of the real incidence of the disease or, fetal third-degree block, prolonged QT and Wolff Parkinson-White syndrome.2 Third-degree block is frequently diagnosed during pregnancy, around 16-18 weeks of gestation. Timing of delivery as well as type and time of pacemaker implantation after birth are still controversial issues. Pacemaker implantation is indeed the only treatment for third-degree block and it is immediately required in presence of prenatal hydrops, low ventricular rate (<45/bpm) with no response to inotropes, and/or ventricular dysfunction.3 In very low birth weight infants, because of the size of generators, a staged pacing strategy has been used, with temporary epicardial pacing wires, followed by definitive implantation when neonatal body weight reaches 2000g. Some cases have also been treated with permanent pacemaker implantation.3-5

Case Report

A 9-months-old female child, born with the history of parents consanguineous marriageby caesarian section in a hospital with a birth wei­ght of 3.3kg, is characterized by no transmission of any atrial electrical impulses to ventricles. It is almost always due (90% of cases) to the transfer of auto-antibodies (SSA/Ro and SSB/La) from SLE - or Sjogren’s syndrome- affected mothers, even during their pre-clinical phase of the disease.1-3 These auto-antibodies are responsible of ventricular endocardium damage and subsequent endomyocardialfibroelastosis. This can cause intrauterine miscarriage, with under-estimation of the real incidence of the disease or, fetal third-degree block, prolonged QT and Wolff Parkinson-White syndrome.2 Third-degree block is frequently diagnosed during pregnancy, around 16-18 weeks of gestation. Timing of delivery as well as type and time of pacemaker implantation after birth are still controversial issues. Pacemaker implantation is indeed the only treatment for third-degree block and it is immediately required in presence of prenatal hydrops, low ventricular rate (<45/bpm) with no response to inotropes, and/or ventricular dysfunction.3 In very low birth weight infants, because of the size of generators, a staged pacing strategy has been used, with temporary epicardial pacing wires, followed by definitive implantation when neonatal body weight reaches 2000g. Some cases have also been treated with permanent pacemaker implantation.3-5
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Echocardiography was performed and it revealed a PFO with moderate size PDA shunting left to right. Rate showed extreme bradycardiac, no other abnormality detected. Considering the emergency situation intervention cardiology unit decided to put a temporary transvenous pacemaker. They ultimately implanted it on the 4th day of admission through the right femoral vein route and placed it into the right ventricular apex under general anesthesia, and the other end of the lead was connected to an external pacemaker generator and set the rate at 90 b/min with output 5 mA and capture was lost in 1 mA. The patient had developed repeated ventricular tachycardia (VT) during the procedure but no neurological abnormality detected after the procedure. The cardiac surgery team decided to do a left lateral thoracotomy. Through that incision we ligated the PDA and implanted a permanent VVI pace maker at the apex of the heart. The decision of lateral thoracotomy was made on the basis of convenience for the PDA ligation otherwise it could be done through median sternotomy. At 7th admission day upon the availability of permanent pacemaker, baby was taken to operation theater, a left lateral thoracotomy was made at 4th intercostals space. A moderate size PDA was found after dissection of the fascia over the aorta. Multiple ligatures were made to close the PDA (Fig.-5 & 6). Pericardium was incised with a caution for pericardiacophrenic nerve and vessels. A permanent pacemaker (St. Jude VVIR PM with auto-capture at rate 90 beats/min) implantation was done and set the rate at 90 b/minutes and the generator was placed in the abdomen (Fig.-7 & 8) after making a subcutaneous pocket. Chest X ray (Fig.-4) shows the placement of lead and generator. The temporary pacemaker was disconnected as soon as commencement of the permanent pacemaker. A 12 lead ECG was done (Fig.-9) in the postoperative recovery unit. The ECG shows heart rate 90 b/min with frequent pacemaker capture beats. Upon further follow up, the patient was stable and his general health was good. He was thriving well with normal development in subsequent follow-up. The echocardiographic examination showed good left ventricular contractility with normal internal dimensions. There was no residual flow through the closed PDA.

**Fig.-1**: Preoperative X Ray Shows increased Cardiac Shadow and Plethoric Lung field

**Fig.-2**: ECG before placing any pacemaker shows 3rd degree heart block

**Fig.-3**: ECG after placing temporary pace maker. Heart rate shows 90 b/min and Pacemaker capture is visible
Discussion

It is well known that in both infants and older children permanent pacemaker leads may be implanted either epicardially or transvenously. In small infants, when permanent pacemaker implantation is necessary epicardial leads are used. The reason for preferring the epicardial route is the patient’s small body size. Transvenous lead implantation is hampered by anatomical peculiarities, which are often seen and include anomalous connection of the venae cavae as well as other complex endocardial anatomical lesions. Apart from the procedural difficulties, such anomalies...
also entail a risk of systemic embolism due to endocardial defects.\textsuperscript{7} In addition, thrombosis in the superior vena cava is a common complication of endocardial lead placement.\textsuperscript{8}

On the other hand, epicardial lead placement is more invasive. It involves subxiphoid section and possibly a partial sternotomy or thoracotomy. It is often complicated by post-pericardiotomy syndrome.\textsuperscript{9} The usual epicardial leads are associated with a high incidence of rapidly increasing sensing and pacing thresholds after lead placement, necessitating the early replacement of lead and generator. Endocardial leads are favoured in older infants with a body weight >8 kg,\textsuperscript{10} or preferably 15-20 kg,\textsuperscript{11} Moreover, in small infants the small dimensions of the atrium\textsuperscript{11} are insufficient for successful placement of the preformed atrial lead. The elevated pacing thresholds and the high incidence of exit block associated with conventional epicardial leads are caused by a combination of epicardial fibrosis with scar formation, and/or pericardial adhesions following the surgical procedure. Cases have been reported of exit block due to lead fracture caused by the infant’s muscular activity.\textsuperscript{12} The five-year survival of the conventional epicardial lead is 40-70\%\textsuperscript{13,14} In neonates and infants with a permanent pacemaker the occurrence of episodes of loss of consciousness may be due to pacemaker malfunction.\textsuperscript{15} Follow-up checks should be performed every 6 months in those without symptoms; parameters of pacemaker function should be measured, mainly the resistance and threshold of the atrial and ventricular leads. If symptoms occur as a result of pacemaker malfunction, 24-hour Holter monitoring is useful for their detection.\textsuperscript{16} Pacemaker malfunction due to the development of fibrosis around one or both of the epicardial leads can be treated by the substitution of endocardial leads. At the same time, the generator can be left in its abdominal site and the electrodes can be connected via a subcutaneous channel.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the choice of lead type during pacemaker implantation should aim at achieving optimum cardiac function and maximum battery and lead life, while taking account of the risks of lead placement as well as the future surgical treatment of the patient.
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