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Abstract

Aluminium at 10 ppm increased chl $\alpha$ in Aghrani, Gourab, Kanchan and Sourab; chl $\beta$ and carotinoids in Fang-60, Kanchan and Sourab, though at 100 ppm inhibited chl $\alpha$ in all nine varieties, chl $\beta$ in Akbar and Protiva and carotinoids in Aghrani, Gourab, Kanchan, Protiva, and Sonalika. Proline content increased in the seedlings of all varieties and the root had relatively higher proline content than that of shoot. Stimulatory effect of Al$^{3+}$ on proline content was higher in Kanchan. Proline content also increased in Akbar, Gourab and Sonalika. Al$^{3+}$ stress induced increase in protein and reducing sugar contents was more in root than in the shoot. Hamatoxylin test of the root tips also revealed differential responses of the varieties to Al$^{3+}$ stress.

Introduction

Aluminium toxicity is a serious problem for wheat cultivation in the areas of low soil pH (von Uexkull and Mutert 1995). There are about 2.6 billion ha of strongly acid soil with Al$^{3+}$ toxicity in the world (Car *et al.* 1991) and Bangladesh has more than a million ha low pH soil with Al$^{3+}$ toxicity stress. Stress factors are known to affect crop growth, development and yield through their effect on plant metabolic activities (Slaski *et al.* 1996, Bohnert and Shiveleva 1998) and Al$^{3+}$, by interfering Pi absorption, root elongation and metabolism inhibits crop growth and yield (Tang *et al.* 2002). Liming reduce Al$^{3+}$ toxicity (Foy 1992), but Al$^{3+}$ tolerant plant is effective to resolve the problem (Baligar *et al.* 1993). Aluminium stress tolerant wheat germplasm is still unavailable in Bangladesh. In this study, nine HYV wheat were screened for Al$^{3+}$ tolerance efficiencies on the basis of their performance in some biochemical characteristics.

Materials and Methods

Seeds of nine HYV of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) viz. Aghrani, Akbar, Baw-923, Fang-60, Gourab, Kanchan, Protiva, Sonalika and Sourab were collected from Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Joydevpur, Gajipur. Seeds were germinated in 0.1 mM CaSO$_4$ and then further grown for ten days hydroponically in 0.1 strength (0.1N) nutrient solution (Arnon and Hoagland 1940) without (control) and with Al$^{3+}$ (treatment) in the open air. Solution was changed after 24h interval. Leaf pigments (Wettstein 1957), protein (Lowry *et al.* 1951), proline (Troll and Lindsley 1954) and sugar (Nelson-Somogyi 1944) were analyzed in ten days old seedlings. Efficiency ratio (ER) was determined by dividing treatment value by that of respective control. Roots of three days old seedlings were used for hematoxylin staining test following Polle *et al.* (1978). In all cases, each set of experiment was replicated thrice.

Results and Discussion

At low concentration of Al$^{3+}$ (10 ppm) chl $\alpha$ content increased in Aghrani, Gourab, Kanchan and Sourab, while it decreased that in other varieties (Fig. 1). Chl $\beta$ in Aghrani, Akbar and Baw-923 and carotenoids in Gourab, Protiva and Sonalika were decreased following 10 ppm Al$^{3+}$ treatment. At this low stress, the highest contents of chl $\alpha$ and $\beta$ were found in Sourab and Fang-60 and the lowest contents were found in Sonalika and Aghrani, respectively. In all, except Protiva, the concentration of chl $\alpha$ content was decreased following 100 ppm Al$^{3+}$.
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treatment, but chl b content was increased in all variety except Akbar and Protiva. At 100 ppm Al$^{3+}$, the highest content of carotinoids was found in the leaf of Baw-923 and the lowest was in Gourab. The inhibitory effect of Al$^{3+}$ was more in case of chl a; whereas, in many cases the Al$^{3+}$ had stimulatory effect on chl b and carotenoids. Scott et al. (1991) reported that aluminium stress decreased leaf pigments (chl a, b) more in the sensitive wheat cultivars than that in the tolerant ones at all concentrations of Al$^{3+}$ (50 - 400 µM), though in tolerant cultivar, pigment levels reported to increase under low Al$^{3+}$ stress (50 - 100 µM). On the other hand, Albassam (2001) had stimulatory effect on chl b and carotenoids. Scott et al. (1991) reported that aluminium stress decreased leaf pigments (chl a, b) more in the sensitive wheat cultivars than that in the tolerant ones at all concentrations of Al$^{3+}$ (50 - 400 µM), though in tolerant cultivar, pigment levels reported to increase under low Al$^{3+}$ stress (50 - 100 µM). On the other hand, Albassam (2001)

![Fig. 1. Effects of Al$^{3+}$ on the amount of leaf pigments content of ten days old seedlings of wheat.](image)

**Table 1. Effect of Al$^{3+}$ on the ER of leaf pigments, root - shoot praline and protein contents of ten days old seedlings of different varieties of wheat.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Varieties</th>
<th>10 ppm</th>
<th>100 ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ER of leaf pigments</td>
<td>ER of root - shoot praline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aghrahani</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akbar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baw-923</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fang-60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gourab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanchan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protiva</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonalika</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sourab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
noted the inhibitory effect on leaf pigments in pearl millet. Aluminium stress affected the efficiency ratio (ER) of different leaf pigments (Table 1). Gourab and Protiva had the highest ER for chl a at 10 and 100 ppm Al\textsuperscript{3+}, respectively while Fang-60 had the lowest ER at both concentration.

At 10 ppm Al\textsuperscript{3+}, the highest ER value for chl b was observed in Kanchan and the lowest was recorded in Aghrahani, but at 100 ppm the highest ER for chl b was observed in Kanchan and the lowest was recorded in Aghrahani, but at 100 ppm the highest ER for chl b was observed in Fang-60 and the lowest was noted in Protiva. For carotinoids, the highest ER at 10 ppm Al\textsuperscript{3+} was observed in Sourab and the lowest was recorded in Gourab. Contrary, at 100 ppm the highest and lowest ER were noted in Fang-60 and Sourab, respectively. High ER for leaf pigments under Al\textsuperscript{3+} stress may be considered as an important factor for the maintenance of proper assimilatory activity under growth limiting environment.

Aluminium at both 10 and 100 ppm increased proline content in the root and shoot of the seedlings of all varieties (Fig. 2). Proline, as an osmoticum, may do some protective roles through osmotic adjustment with chelating function under stress. Role of proline in the adaptive mechanism in a wide variety of species have been known. For example, Handa et al. (1986) noted a high correlation between proline level and plant stress tolerance. In control as well as in 10 ppm Al\textsuperscript{3+}, the highest proline content was found in the root of Baw-923 and the shoot of Sonalika, whereas the lowest proline content was found in the root and shoot of Akbar in both the cases. On the other hand, at 100 ppm Al\textsuperscript{3+}, the highest proline content was found in the root of Sourab and in the shoot of Protiva. The lowest proline was found in the root of Protiva and in the shoot of Akbar at 100 ppm of Al\textsuperscript{3+}. Most of the varieties with Al\textsuperscript{3+} treatment revealed higher proline content in the root than that in the shoot. At 10 ppm Al\textsuperscript{3+}, both the root efficiency ratio (RER) and that of the shoot efficiency ratio (SER) for proline were high in Kanchan, but at 100 ppm RER was high in Gourab and Sonalika and SER was high in Protiva and Kanchan (Table 1).

At 10 ppm Al\textsuperscript{3+}, the highest protein content was observed in the root and shoot of Fang-60 and Sourab, respectively, whereas the lowest protein was in the root of Aghrahani and in the shoot of Gourab. At 100 ppm Al\textsuperscript{3+}, the highest protein content was observed in the root and shoot of Fang-60, Baw-923 and the lowest protein content was in the root and shoot of Gourab and Akbar, respectively (Fig. 3). Stimulatory effect on protein content at 10 ppm Al\textsuperscript{3+} was highest in the root of Baw-923, but the lowest was in Akbar. At 100 ppm Al\textsuperscript{3+}, the highest stimulatory effect was
observed in protein content in the shoot of Baw-923 and the lowest stimulatory effect was observed in Fang-60. At 10 ppm Al\(^{3+}\), the highest and the lowest root to shoot, protein ratio were found in Fang-60 and Sonalika, respectively but at higher stress such ratio was with BAW-923 (Table 1). Ownby and Hruschka (1991) on examining the root tip protein in Al-tolerant (T×84) and Al-sensitive (T×74) wheat cultivars by 2D-PAGE reported that out of 600 proteins, 14 cytoplasmic and eight microsomal proteins were induced or enhanced by Al\(^{3+}\) in one or both cultivars, while nine cytoplasmic and 12 microsomal protein were diminished. Among them, 43 proteins were significantly altered by Al\(^{3+}\) treatment. Al\(^{3+}\) affected the synthetic programme of formation of both cytoplasmic and microsomal proteins, but appeared to cause the greater change in proteins associated with cytoplasm. It was suggested that protein expression in response to Al\(^{3+}\) stress may result from its effect on metabolism and may play a role in plant’s adaptive response to Al\(^{3+}\) stress. Al\(^{3+}\) induced polypeptide synthesis was also reported in the root of both sensitive and tolerant cultivars of wheat (Somers et al. 1996). Albassam (2001), however, noted the inhibitory effect of Al\(^{3+}\) on the soluble protein contents in pearl millet. A possible mechanism of Al\(^{3+}\) resistance offered by protein may be associated with its function as chelator ligands which form stable complex with Al\(^{3+}\) and there by reducing the different interfering activity of Al\(^{3+}\) in the metabolism of plant (Taylor 1991).

The effect of Al\(^{3+}\) stress on sugar content was investigated in three varieties Baw-923, Fang-60 and Kanchan (Table 2). Aluminium stress increased sugar content only in the root of Kanchan and Baw-923. The highest RER and SER for sugar was found in Kanchan and Baw-923, respectively. Lima and Copeland (1990) reported the increasing of reducing sugar content in the

**Table 2. Effect of Al\(^{3+}\) on sugar content (µg/g d. wt.) in root and shoot of ten days old seedling of wheat ± standard error.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Treatment 100 ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Root</td>
<td>Shoot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baw-923</td>
<td>196.09 ± 7.84</td>
<td>515.63 ± 1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fang-60</td>
<td>258.40 ± 2.46</td>
<td>276.21 ± 1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanchan</td>
<td>111.35 ± 1.32</td>
<td>300.78 ± 1.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 3. Effects of Al\(^{3+}\) toxicity on protein contents in the root (left hand one) and shoot (right hand one) of ten days old seedling of wheat.](image)
germinating seeds of tolerant wheat cultivar at low Al\textsuperscript{3+}, but decreased at high Al\textsuperscript{3+} stress; proportionately higher reduction was being in the sensitive cultivar. On the other hand, Scott et al. (1991) observed stimulatory effect of Al\textsuperscript{3+} stress on both reducing and non-reducing sugars in the root and shoot of wheat seedlings, with higher stimulatory effect in the Al-tolerant cultivars.

Aluminium stress tolerance seems to be controlled by a complex system; however, some simple methods for screening tolerance in wheat may be useful (Taylor 1991, Tang et al. 2002). In the present work, hematoxylin test revealed that root tips of some of the varieties were stained intensively than others, which could be a reflection of sensitivity due to high accumulation Al\textsuperscript{3+} in the region (Fig. 4). The sequence of colour intensity developed due to Al\textsuperscript{3+} sensitivity of different wheat varieties was: Akbar > Fang-60 > Protiva > Kanchan > Sonalika > Aghrahani = Sourab = Gourab > Baw-923. Bona and Varver (1992) evaluated 84 wheat genotypes for Al\textsuperscript{3+} tolerance visually depending on the extent of root tip stains. Root apices of Al-sensitive genotypes were stained more intensely after a short exposure to Al\textsuperscript{3+} (Delhaize et al. 1993) and cortical zone of the root apices showed five - tenfold higher accumulation of Al\textsuperscript{3+} than the tolerant genotypes (Archambault et al. 1997). In the present work, varieties with high leaf pigments, proline, protein and sugar levels as well as low hematoxylin stain at the root tip under Al\textsuperscript{3+} stress may be considered relatively more tolerant than the others.

Fig. 4. Effects of Al\textsuperscript{3+} on root tip hematoxylin staining of four days old seedlings of different varieties of wheat. 1-Aghrahani, 2-Akbar, 3-Baw-923, 4-Fang-60, 5-Gourab, 6-Kanchan, 7-Protiva, 8-Sonalika and 9-Sourab.

References


(Manuscript received on 5 May 2009; revised on 19 January 2010)