COMPARATIVE ANATOMICAL STUDIES OF FOUR HYACINTHELLA SCHUR SPECIES GROWING IN TURKEY

Süleyman Doğu*

Department of Animal and Plant Production, Meram Vocational School, Necmettin Erbakan University, Konya, Turkey

Keywords: Hyacinthella, Comparative anatomical studies

Abstract

In the present study, along with scape, leaf surface and mesophyll anatomy of four *Hyacinthella* species, *H. nervosa* (Bertol.) Chouard, *H. hispida* (J.Gay) Chouard, *H. siirtensis* B. Mathew and *H. venusta* K. Pers. were studied and the features were compared among the species in this research. After the analysis of data, it was seen that the scape anatomy is close to studied species. However, there are differences when the other species examined as seen in the epiderma has papillose protuberances only in *H. nervosa*. When the leaf mesophyll is observed, it is seen that *H. siirtensis* and *H. venusta* are equifacial, *H. hispida* is unifacial, *H. nervosa is* dorsiventral. Raphide crystals are seen in some of the mesophyll cells. While leaves are amphistomatic with anomocytic stomata in all taxa, indumentum and epidermal cell bigness of the surfaces show difference among the species.

Introduction

Asparagaceae is well defined based on the fruit and stamen characteristics. It differs from the related families Liliaceae and Colchicaceae by its baccate fruit and introsed stamens (Baker 1875). The family is represented by nearly 3600 species included in 143 genera in the world. The species of the family used as vegetable, ornamental and medicinal plants are distributed naturally at temperate, tropical and sub-tropical regions (The Plant List 2010). These members of the family are reported in the Flora of Turkey as 19 genera and 182 species (Ekim 2012).

Recently, the genus *Hyacinthella* Schur has been transferred from the family Hyacinthaceae to Asparagaceae. *Hyacinthella* species, which contain 13 species, are mostly distributed in Southeastern Asia, among them 3 species are distributed in South-eastern Europe (Persson and Wendelbo 1981, 1982, Persson and Persson 1992, 2001). It is represented by 12 species, 10 of them are endemic for Turkey (Ekim 2012).

Anatomical studies have been carried out successfully to clarify taxonomic position and help in the identification of different plant species (Dinç et al. 2011, Doğu et al. 2012). As well as macro- and micromophological characters, anatomical ones have been used for the resolution of taxonomic problems of monocots (Gilani et al. 2002). Taxonomic boundaries among some Hyacinthella species growing in Turkey are obscure due to the morphological similarity of the species. Because of this, there are some anatomical studies on some Hyacinthella species growing in Turkey (Yetişen et al. 2012, Tekin and Meriç 2013). The purpose of this study is to determine anatomical features of H. hispida, H. venusta, H. nervosa and H. siirtensis and to compare the species anatomically.

Material and Methods

Samples were collected from different localities in Turkey. A list of all taxa studied is provided (Table 1). Some plant samples collected from natural habitats in the flowering period were prepared as herbarium materials. Voucher specimens were deposited in the Herbarium of Necmettin Erbakan University. The others were fixed in 70% ethyl alcohol for anatomical

^{*}Author for correspondence: <suleymandogu@gmail.com>.

982 Doğu

observations. The studies were performed in botanical laboratory of Necmettin Erbakan University using 15 samples for each species. Anatomical examinations, cross-sections of the leaves and scape were made together with the upper and lower surfaces of the leaves. An average of 25 preparations were made for each type of section and stained with basic fuchsin for easy identification of the tissues. Well stained sections covered with glycerin gelatin were made into permanent slides as described by Vardar (1987). The stained preparations were examined with an Olympus BX-50 microscope and photographed with a Canon EOS 450D camera. Dissimilar features of the four species were emphasized during the presentation of the results.

Table 1. The studied materials of Hyacinthella species.

Species	Locality	Collection data
H. hispida	C5 MERSİN: Tarsus, Karakütük Köyü civarı, taşlık yamaçlar, 700 m, 15.03.2011	S. Doğu 2478
H. venusta	C4 KARAMAN: Sarıveliler, Gülündere köprüsü civarı, yamaçlar, 1700 m, 09.04.2011	S. Doğu 2490
H. nervosa	C6 GAZİANTEP: Gaziantep-Kilis yolu 10. km, Nafak mevkii, taşlık yamaçlar, 750 m, 12.05.2012	M.Dinç 3463 & S. Doğu
H. siirtensis	C5 OSMANİYE: Kadirli, Çevlik köyü civarı, <i>Pinus</i> birliği açıklıkları, 450 m, 16.03.2011	S. Doğu 2484

Results and Discussion

In all species investigated, cross section of the scape is more or less terete shape. The epidermis is single-layered, with square and rectangular shaped cells in cross section, covered by a thick cuticle. It has no trichomes. But, there is papillar protuberance on some epidermal cells only in *H. nervosa*. Cortex is present under the epidermis. It is 2-6-layered and consists of orbicular or hexagonal parenchymatic cells. Underneath the cortex, the sclerenchymatic tissue constitute a circular band along the transection of the scape. When the sclerenchymatic band has 6-8-layered cells with thin walls and wider than the cortex in *H. hispida*, *H. venusta* and *H. nervosa*, it is 3-4-layered cells with thick walls and not wider than the cortex in *H. siirtensis*. The vascular bundles are distributed under the sclerenchymatic tissue. They are on two rows, those on outer row are very smaller as compared to those on inner one. Outer vascular bundles are located partly or completely within sclerenchymatic tissue. Pith immediately begins under the sclerenchymatic band and consists of parenchymatic cells. Vascular bundles in the inner row are found in parenchymal cells (Table 2, Fig. 1).

Epidermis is a single layered on both surface of leaf. The upper and lower epidermis are covered with a thick cuticle. The outer walls of epidermal cells are convex and thickened. There are simple and long trichomes on the both surface in *H. hispida*, but the epidermises lack trichomes in the other species. The stomata are visible in some transections of the leaf. The leaf is amphistomatic in all studied species. It is unifacial in *H. hispida*, equifacial in *H. venusta* and *H. siirtensis*, dorsiventral in *H. nervosa*. In other words, only spongy parenchyma is composed of 13 - 15 layered uniform and nearly orbicular cells in *H. hispida*; 1-2- layered palisade parenchyma beneath upper and lower epidermis and 10 - 15 layered spongy parenchyma between the two palisades are present in the leaf mesophyll of *H. venusta* and *H. siirtensis*; 1-2- layered palisade parenchyma beneath lower epidermis and 14 - 17 layered spongy parenchyma beneath upper epidermis is present in the mesophyll of *H. nervosa*. The spongy parenchyma consists of nearly orbicular cells, but palisade parenchyma more or less cylindirical cells. Some mesophyll cells contain raphide crystals. Vascular bundles which have fibre strands are arranged in a single row in

the central part of mesophyll. The vascular bundle in the midrib region is not conspicuously larger than the others (Table 2, Fig. 2).

Table 2. The anatomical characters of the studied Hyacinthella species in Turkey.

	H. hispida	H. venusta	H. nervosa	H. siirtensis
Scape	Without	Without	With papillose	Without
epiderma	protuberances	protuberances	protuberances	protuberances
Scape	The sclerenchymatic	The sclerenchymatic	The sclerenchymatic	The sclerenchymatic
cortex	band is composed of 6-	band is composed of	band is composed of	band is 3-4-layered,
	8-layered, thin walled	6-8-layered, thin	6-8-layered, thin	thick walled cells and
	cells and wider than the	walled cells and wider	walled cells and wider	not wider than the
	cortex	than the cortex	than the cortex	cortex
Leaf	Unifacial	Equifacial	Dorsiventral	Equifacial
mesophyll				
Upper leaf	Epidermal cells 85.96-	Epidermal cells	Epidermal cells	Epidermal cells 262.71-
surface	317.31 (198.57 ±	227.00-506.45	183.84-313.76	479.79 (380.03 ±
	70.05) in length, 51.00-	$(359.27 \pm 98,77)$ in	(258.95 ± 51.27) in	79.27) in length, 22.2-
	$80.83 (67.65 \pm 9.15)$ in	length, 30.55-57.09	length, 51.59-81.82	$40.79 (30.63 \pm 4.77)$ in
	width	(45.08 ± 6.97) in	(63.48 ± 10.42) in	width
		width	width	
Lower leaf	Epidermal cells 62.04-	Epidermal cells	Epidermal cells	Epidermal cells 156.82-
surface	$308.58 (176.29 \pm$	207.12-508.97	158.00-476.13	549.81 (329.46 ±
	75.72) in length, 45.88-	(416.72 ± 98.73) in	(313.73 ± 85.61) in	134.57) in length,
	$62.97 (54.83 \pm 4.66)$ in	length, 20.23-32.71	length, 38.96-68.09	24.16-43.21 (32.81 \pm
	width	(27.26 ± 4.1) in width	(46.73 ± 7.08) in	5.49) in width
			width	




Fig. 1. The transverse section of the scape. (a): *H. hispida*, (b): *H. venusta*, (c): *H. nervosa*, (d); *H. siirtensis*. (cu): Cuticle, (e): Epidermis, (co): Cortex, (sc): Sclerenchyma, (ph): Phloem, (x): Xylem and (p): Papillar protuberance.

984 Doğu




Fig. 2. The transverse section of the leaf. (a): *H. hispida*, (b): *H. venusta*, (c): *H. nervosa*, (d): *H. siirtensis*. (cu): Cuticle, (ue): Upper epidermis, (st): Stomata, (ph): Phloem, (x): Xylem, (pp): Palisade parenchyma, (sp): Spongy parenchyma, (le): Lower epidermis, (r): Raphide crystals, (m): Mesophyll and (t): Trichome.

The leaves are amphistomatic with anomocytic type stomata. The stomata are at the same level as the epidermal cells. Namely, they are mesomorphic type. In the leaf area, the number of the stomata per mm², are on avarage 3 - 4 stomata in *H. hispida* and *H. venusta*, 5 - 6 stomata in *H. nervosa* and *H. siirtensis* on the upper surface. On the upper surface, epidermal cells are 85.96 - 317.31 μ m (198.57 \pm 70.05) in length, 51.00 - 80.83 μ m (67.65 \pm 9.15) in width in *H. hispida*; epidermal cells are 227.00 - 506.45 μ m (359.27 \pm 98.77) in length, 30.55 - 57.09 μ m (45.08 \pm 6.97) in width in *H. venusta*; epidermal cells are 183.84-313.76 μ m (258.95 \pm 51.27) in length, 51.59-81.82 μ m (63.48 \pm 10.42) in width in *H. nervosa*; epidermal cells are 262.71-479.79 μ m (380.03 \pm 79.27) in length, 22.2-40.79 μ m (30.63 \pm 4.77) in width in *H. siirtensis* (Table 2, Fig. 3).

In the leaf area, the number of the stomata per mm², are on avarage 5 - 6 stomata in *H. hispida*, 4 - 5 stomata in *H. venusta*, *H. nervosa* and *H. siirtensis* on the lower surface. On the lower surface, epidermal cells are 62.04 - 308.58 μ m (176.29 \pm 75.72) in length, 45.88 - 62.97 μ m (54.83 \pm 4.66) in width in *H. hispida*; epidermal cells are 207.12 - 508.97 μ m (416.72 \pm 98.73) in length, 20.23 - 32.71 μ m (27.26 \pm 4.1) in width in *H. venusta*; epidermal cells are 158.00 - 476.13 μ m (313.73 \pm 85.61) in length, 38.96 - 68.09 μ m (46.73 \pm 7.08) in width in *H. nervosa*; epidermal cells are 156.82 - 549.81 μ m (329.46 \pm 134.57) in length, 24.16 - 43.21 μ m (32.81 \pm 5.49) in width in *H. siirtensis* (Table 2, Fig. 4).

In the present study, the anatomical studies on *Hyacinthella* species in Turkey show that scape and leaf anatomy of the species are generally similar, but some anatomical features have diagnostic value due to interspecific variations (Atayeter 2007, Selvi *et al.* 2008, Yetişen *et al.* 2012, Tekin and Meriç 2013).




Fig. 3. Upper surface section of the leaf. a (a): *H. hispida*, (b): *H. venusta*, (c): *H. nervosa*, (d): *H. siirtensis*. (st): Stoma and (e): Epidermal cell.




Fig. 4. Lower surface section of the leaf (a): *H. hispida*, (b): *H. venusta*, (c): *H. nervosa*, (d): *H. siirtensis*. (st): Stoma and (e): Epidermal cell.

986 Doğu

The anatomical features of the scape in the studied species are similar with those in *H. acutiloba*, *H. campanulata*, *H. lazulina*, *H. glabrescens*, *H. lineata* and *H. heldreichii* in terms of monolayer epidermis, 5 - 6 layered parenchymatic cortex, multilayer sclerenchyma tissues, various sized vascular bundles and parenchymatic pith. But, *H. siirtensis* differs from the other studied species by its less-layered sclerenchymatic band consist consisting of cells with thick wall. Epidermal protuberances are present only on the scape of *H. nervosa*. In adition, *H. micrantha* completely lacks sclerenchymatic tissue in its scape (Kandemir *et al.* 2000). Although there are raphide crystals in scape cortex of *H. acutiloba* (Tekin and Meriç 2013) and sand crystals in *H. glabrescens* (Yetişen *et al.* 2012), the other studied *Hyacinthella* species lack crystals in their scapes (Kandemir *et al.* 2000, Atayeter 2007, Selvi *et al.* 2008).

In the present and previous studies, mesophyll anatomy in the genus *Hyacinthella* shows difference among the species with regard to arrangement of the mesophyll. Leaf mesophyll is isolateral in the previously studied species (Kandemir *et al.* 2000, Atayeter 2007, Selvi *et al.* 2008, Tekin and Meriç 2013). Similary it is isolateral in *H. siirtensis* and *H. venusta* but unifacial in *H. hispida* and dorsiventral in *H. nervosa*.

The shape and distribution of calcium oxalate crystals in plant tissues can be taxonomically useful in monocotyledons (Prychid and Rudal 1999). The raphide crystals are present in mesophyll cells of *H. acutiloba* and *H. campanulata* (Atayeter 2007, Tekin and Meriç 2013). Similarly, there are raphide crystals in the studied species. But, *H. lineata*, *H. micrantha*, *H. heldreichii* and *H. lazulina* did not have raphide crystals in their leaf mesophyll cells (Kandemir *et al.* 2000, Atayeter 2007, Selvi *et al.* 2008).

References

Atayeter E 2007. The morphological and anatomical characteristics of some endemic *Hyacinthella* Schur (Liliaceae) taxa. M.Sc. Thesis, Selçuk University, Konya (in Turkish).

Baker JG 1875. Revision of the genera and species of Asparagaceae. J. Linn. Soc. Bot. 14: 508-632.

Dinç M, Doğu S and Bağcı Y 2011. Taxonomic reinstatement of *Teucrium andrusi* from *T. paederotoides* based on morphological and anatomical evidences. Nord. J. Bot. **29**(2): 148-158.

Doğu S, Dinç M and Pınar NM 2012. Anatomical and micromorphological differentiation in the genus *Moltkia* Lehm in Turkey. Pak. J. Bot. **44**(3): 1083-1090.

Ekim T 2012. *Hyacinthella* Schur. *In:* Güner A, Aslan S, Ekim T, Vural M and Babaç MT (ed.) Türkiye Bitkileri Listesi (Damarlı Bitkiler). Nezahat Gökyiğit Botanik Bahçesi ve Flora Araştırmaları Derneği Yayını, İstanbul. pp. 97-98.

Gilani SS, Khan MA, Shinwari ZK and Yousaf Z 2002. Leaf epidermal anatomy of selected *Digitaria* species, Tribe Paniceae, family Poaceae of Pakistan. Pak. J. Bot. **34**: 257-273.

Kandemir N, Akçin OE and Cansaran A 2000. A morphological and anatomical investigation on some geophytes distributed in the vicinity of Amasya. The Herb. J. Syst. Bot. **7**(2): 127-147.

Persson K and Wendelbo P 1981. Taxonomy and cytology of the genus *Hyacinthella* (Liliaceae-Scilloideae) with special reference to the species in S.W. Asia. Part I. Candollea. **36**(2): 158-175.

Persson K and Wendelbo P 1982. Taxonomy and cytology of the genus *Hyacinthella* (Liliaceae-Scilloideae) with special reference to the species in S.W. Asia. Part II. Candollea, **37**(1): 513-541.

Persson K and Persson J 1992. A new species and additional chromosome counts of *Hyacinthella* in Turkey. Nord. J. Bot. **12**(6): 615-620.

Persson K and Persson J 2001. *Hyacinthella* Schur (Hyacinthaceae) in the Balkan countries. *Candollea*, **55**(2): 213-225.

Prychid CJ and Rudall PJ 1999. Calcium Oxalate Crystals in Motocotyledons: A Review of their Structure and Systematics. Ann. Bot. 84: 725-739.

Selvi S, Erdoğan E and Daşkın R 2008. Morphological, anatomical and ecological studies on *Hyacinthella lineata* (Liliaceae). Ekoloji. **68**(17): 24-32.

Tekin M and Meriç Ç 2013. Morphological and anatomical investigations on endemic *Hyacinthella acutiloba* in Turkey. Biodicon. **6**(1): 161-168.

The Plant List 2010. http://www.theplantlist.org/browse/A/Asparagaceae.

Vardar Y 1987. Botanikte Preparasyon Tekniği. Ege Üniversitesi Fen Fakültesi Basımevi. pp. 25-26.

Yetişen K, Özdemir C, Küçüködük M and Akyol Y 2012. A morphological and anatomical study of *Hyacinthella glabrescens* (Liliaceae). Phytologia Balcanica. **18**(3): 319-322.

(Manuscript received on 3 November, 2018; revised on 25 march, 2019)