## PERFORMANCE OF SUPER GREENFIELD ORGANO-CHEMICAL FERTILIZER ON THE GROWTH AND YIELD OF WETLAND RICE

P. K. Saha<sup>1</sup>, M. A. Aziz<sup>2</sup> and M. A. Mazid Miah<sup>3</sup>

Key Words: Super greenfield, organo-chemical, growth and yield, wetland rice

Two field experiments were conducted at the Bangladesh Rice Research Institute (BRRI) farm, Gazipur and at BRRI Regional Station farm, Comilla during the Boro season 2006 to evaluate the performance of the Super Greenfield Organochernical fertilizer (SGOFI on the growth and yield of wetland rice. Eight treatment combinations were tested. The application of SGOF in combination with chemical fertilizer significantly increased the plant height, tiller and panicle number, grain and straw yields of BRRIdhan 29 over the control at both Gazipur and Cornilla locations. It was observed that the application of SGOF @ 500 kg/ha + Recommended dose of urea produced reasonable higher yield as good as soil test based (STB).

The crop productivity in Bangladesh agriculture is declining due to inadequate and unbalanced chemical fertilizer use in increasing intensive cropping systems (Anonymous, 1996 and Cassman *et al.*, 1995). Another very important factor to consider in improving crop productivity is the soil organic matter. Available reports indicate that most soils of Bangladesh have low organic matter content, usually less than 2 % (Bhuiyan, 1991). The addition of organic materials to soil through farmyard manure, composts, and organic residues has been reduced day by day. It is now believed that the low and declining organic matter content is one of the reasons for the low productivity of many of our soils. Thus, the need for proper soil organic matter management is essential.

The use of organic fertilizer may reduce the need of chemical fertilizer, improves the physical, chemical, and biological properties of the soil and helps increase and maintain the productivity. The SGOF is a nutrient enriched organic fertilizer. It is grey in colour. It contains 4.5% OM, 8% N, 5% P. 12% K, 1% S, 0.2% B, and 0.5% Zn at 6 % moisture content. Experiments were conducted with a view to evaluating the performance of this fertilizer on the growth and yield of wetland rice.

Two field experiments were conducted at BRRI farm, Gazipur and BRRI Regional Station Farm, Comilla during the Boro season 2006. The soil of the experimental fields was clay-loam in texture and slightly acidic in nature (pH

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Principal Scientific Officer, Soil Science Division, BRRI, Gazipur, <sup>2</sup>Scientific Officer, Soil Science Division, BRRI, Gazipur, <sup>3</sup>Cheif Scientific Officer and Head, Soil Science Division, BRRI, Gazipur, Bangladesh.

324 SAHA *et al.* 

5.63-6.13). The detailed treatments descriptions for both locations were given in Table 1. The experiments were laid out in a randomized complete block design with three replications. All organic and inorganic fertilizers were applied at final land preparation. Urea was applied in three equal splits: 1/3rd as basal, 1/3rd at early tillering stage and the remaining 1/3rd at 5-7 days before panicle initiation except  $T_3$  and  $T_8$  treatment. In these two treatments, basal urea was not applied. BRRIdhan29 was used as test crop at both the locations. Forty-five day old 2-3 seedlings/hill were transplanted with 20 cm x 20 cm spacing. Necessary intercultural operations were done as and when required. At maturity, the crop was harvested from 5 m $^2$  area at the centre of each plot and grain yield was adjusted to 14% moisture content. The straw yields were recorded at oven dry basis.

Table 1. The detailed treatment descriptions of Super Greenfield Organo-chemical fertilizer experiments conducted at two different locations, Boro, 2006.

| _   |   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Treatments  | BRRI, Gazipur   | BRRI R/S, Comilla  |  |  |
|   | Nutrients (kg/ha)<br>N-P-K-S-Zn                           | Nutrients (kg/ha)<br>N-P-K-S-Zn                          |  |  |
| T <sub>1</sub> = Native fertility                       | 0-0-0-0   | 0-0-0-0  |  |  |
| T <sub>2</sub> = STB (Soil Test<br>Based) dose          | 140-26-30-17-0  | 138-12-82-20-0   |  |  |
| T <sub>3</sub> = SGOF @ 500 kg/ha + Recom. dose of urea | SGOF @ 500 kg/ha + 90 kg<br>N/ha                          | SGOF @ 500 kg/ha + 90 kg<br>N/ha                         |  |  |
| $T_4 = 50\% \text{ of } T_3 + 50 \% \text{ of } STB$    | SGOF @ 250 kg/ha + 45 kg<br>N/ha + 70-13-15-8.5-0 (STB)   | SGOF @ 250 kg/ha + 45 kg<br>N/ha + 69-6-41-10-0 (STB)    |  |  |
| $T_5 = 75\% \text{ of } T_3 + 25\% \text{ of } STB$     | SGOF @ 375 kg/ha + 67.5 kg N<br>+ 35-6.5-7.5-4.3-0 (STB)  | SGOF @ 375 kg/ha + 67.5 kg<br>N + 34.5-3-20.5-5-0 (STB)  |  |  |
| $T_6 = T_3 + 25\%$ of STB                               | SGOF @ 500 kg/ha + 90 kg<br>N/ha + 35-6.5-7.5-4.3-0 (STB) | SGOF @ 500 kg/ha + 90 kg<br>N/ha + 34.5-3-20-5-5-0 (STB) |  |  |
| $T_7 = T_3 + 50\%$ of STB                               | SGOF @ 500 kg/ha + 90 kg<br>N/ha + 70-13-15-8.5-0 (STB)   | SGOF @ 500 kg/ha + 90 kg<br>N/ha + 69-6-41-10-0 (STB)    |  |  |
| $T_8$ = 20% more than $T_3$                             | SGOF @ 600 kg/ha +108 kg<br>N/ha                          | SGOF @ 600 kg/ha +108 kg<br>N/ha                         |  |  |

Application of SGOF in combination with chemical fertilizer significantly increased the grain yield of rice at both the locations (Table 2). At BRRI farm, Gazipur, the highest grain yield of 6.13 t/ha was obtained with the treatment  $T_2$  (STB dose) followed by the treatment  $T_8$  and  $T_3$  and these treatments were statistically similar with other treated plots except  $T_4$ . At BRRI R/S farm, Comilla, the highest grain yield of 7.90 t/ha was obtained with the treatment  $T_6$ , which was statistically identical with that of other treatments except the control

 $(T_1)$ . From the two locations, it was observed that there was no significant difference of grain yield among the treatments  $(T_2 - T_4)$ . Similar trend was lso observed in case of straw yield (Table 2). It is observed from the results that the application of SGOF 500 kg/ha + Recommended dose of urea produced reasonable higher yield as good as STB.

Table 2. Effect of Super Greenfield Organo-chemical fertilizer in combination with different doses of chemical fertilizers on the grain and straw yield of Boro rice (BRRIdhan 29) in two locations of Bangladesh, Boro 2006.

| Treatments     | Grain yield (t/ha) |         | Straw yield (t/ha) |         |  |
|----------------|--------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|--|
|                | Gazipur            | Comilla | Gazipur            | Comilla |  |
| $T_1$          | 2.81               | 4.35    | 3.00               | 3.20    |  |
| $T_2$          | 6.13               | 7.68    | 6.19               | 6.06    |  |
| $T_3$          | 5.99               | 7.59    | 6.04               | 5.66    |  |
| $T_4$          | 5.62               | 7.57    | 5.75               | 5.38    |  |
| $T_5$          | 5.77               | 7.65    | 5.86               | 6.32    |  |
| $T_6$          | 5.88               | 7.90    | 6.03               | 6.77    |  |
| $T_7$          | 5.90               | 7.65    | 6.20               | 6.31    |  |
| T <sub>8</sub> | 6.06               | 7.88    | 6.15               | 6.15    |  |
| LSD (0.05)     | 0.48               | 0.65    | 0.53               | 0.79    |  |
| CV (%)         | 5.0                | 5.1     | 5.3                | 7.9     |  |

Table 3 shows the economic analysis of the SGOF trial at BRRI farm, Gazipur and BRRL, R/S farm, Comilla. The application of fertilizer increased the gross and net return in all the treatments at both the locations (Table 3). At Gazipur farm, the gross return from the control plot was only about Tk. 32, 600/ha and the application of fertilizer increased the gross return, which ranged from Tk.64, 825/ha in T<sub>4</sub> to Tk.70, 585/ha in T<sub>2</sub>. The highest net return of. Tk.63, 747/ha was obtained with T<sub>2</sub> followed by T<sub>3</sub> (Tk.62. 920/ha. In terms of MBCR, the treatment T<sub>3</sub> i.e. the application of SGOF @ 500 kg/ha recommended dose of urea (90 kg N/ha) performed the best among the tested treatments (Table 3). At BRRI R/S farm Comilla, the gross return from the control plot was onh about Tk.48,300/ha and the application of fertilizer increased the gross return, which ranged from Tk.83,770/ha in T<sub>4</sub> to Tk.89,155/ha in T<sub>6</sub>. The highest net-return of Tk. 81, 541/ha was obtained with T<sub>6</sub> followed by T<sub>8</sub> (Tk.80,913/ha). In terms of MBCR, the treatments T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>5</sub> performed the best among the tested treatments (Table 3).

In marginal analysis, at BRRI farm, Gazipur, the highest MRR (502 %) was obtained with the treatment  $T_3$  followed by  $T_2$  (104 %) (Table 3). It appears from

SAHA et al.

the findings that the treatment  $T_3$  (SOOF @ 500 kg/ha + Recommended dose of urea (90 kg N/ha) may be the most economically viable fertilizer management packages for Boro rice cultivation at BRRI farm Gazipur. At BRRI R/S, Comilla, the highest MRR (496%) was obtained with the treatment  $T_3$  followed by 15 (491%) (Table 3). From this result, it may be concluded that alike Gazipur, the treatment  $T_3$  (SGOF 500 kg/ha + Recommended dose of urea (90 kg N/ha) is also the best fertilizer management packages for Boro rice cultivation for Comilla region (AEZ-19). From the economic analysis, it appears that the treatment  $T_3$  (SGOF @ 500 kg/ha + Recommended dose of urea (90 kg N/ha) may be recommended for the poor and middle class and  $T_5$  (75% of  $T_3$  + 25% of S/B) for the rich farmers

Table 3. Economic analysis on SGOF trial at two locations, Boro 2006

| Treatment              | Yield (t/ha) |       | TVC*     | Return (Tk./ha) |       | MBCR  | MRR  |     |  |  |
|------------------------|--------------|-------|----------|-----------------|-------|-------|------|-----|--|--|
|                        | Grain        | Straw | (Tk./ha) | Gross           | Added | Net   |      | (%) |  |  |
| BRRI R/S farm, Gazipur |              |       |          |                 |       |       |      |     |  |  |
| $T_1$                  | 2.81         | 3.00  | 0        | 32600           | -     | 32600 | -    | -   |  |  |
| $T_2$                  | 6.13         | 6.19  | 6838     | 70585           | 37985 | 63747 | 5.56 | 104 |  |  |
| $T_3$                  | 5.99         | 6.04  | 6040     | 68960           | 36360 | 62920 | 6.02 | 502 |  |  |
| $T_4$                  | 5.62         | 5.75  | 6316     | 64825           | 32225 | 58509 | 5.10 | D*  |  |  |
| $T_5$                  | 5.77         | 5.86  | 6170     | 66490           | 33890 | 60320 | 5.49 | D   |  |  |
| $T_6$                  | 5.88         | 6.03  | 7418     | 67845           | 35245 | 60427 | 4.75 | D   |  |  |
| $T_7$                  | 5.90         | 6.20  | 8829     | 68300           | 35700 | 59471 | 4.04 | D   |  |  |
| $T_8$                  | 6.06         | 6.15  | 7034     | 69825           | 37225 | 62791 | 5.29 | D   |  |  |
| BRRI R/S farm, Comilla |              |       |          |                 |       |       |      |     |  |  |
| $T_1$                  | 4.35         | 3.20  | 0        | 48300           | -     | 48300 | -    | -   |  |  |
| $T_2$                  | 7.68         | 6.06  | 7092     | 85890           | 37590 | 78798 | 5.30 | D   |  |  |
| $T_3$                  | 7.59         | 5.66  | 6057     | 84390           | 36090 | 78333 | 5.96 | 496 |  |  |
| $T_4$                  | 7.57         | 5.38  | 6556     | 83770           | 35470 | 77214 | 5.41 | D   |  |  |
| $T_5$                  | 7.65         | 6.32  | 6326     | 85980           | 37680 | 79654 | 5.96 | 491 |  |  |
| $T_6$                  | 7.90         | 6.77  | 7614     | 89155           | 40855 | 81541 | 5.37 | 125 |  |  |
| $T_7$                  | 7.65         | 6.31  | 9013     | 85965           | 37665 | 76952 | 4.18 | D   |  |  |
| $T_8$                  | 7.88         | 6.15  | 7112     | 88025           | 39725 | 80913 | 5.59 | 160 |  |  |

<sup>\*</sup>Total variable cost (TVC) included fertilizer cost (chemical fertilizer and SGOF), fertilizer application cost and labour cost for additional product.

Price (Taka/kg): Urea= 6.50; TSP= 18.00; MP= 14.00; Gypsum= 5.00; Zinc-sulphate=60.00; SGOF=7.20 Labour wage rate = Tk. 140/day

Two additional man-days/ha are required for applying fertilizer and two man-days/ha for per ton additional products including byproducts.

Note: MRR (%)- Marginal rate of return (%); D\*-Dominated.

It is concluded that the treatment  $T_3$  (SGOF @ 500 kg/ha + Recommended dose of urea (90 kg N/ha) may be the most economically viable fertilizer management packages for Boro rice cultivation at BRRI farm, Gazipur. The treatment  $T_3$  may be recommended for the poor and middle class and  $T_5$  (75% of  $T_3 + 25\%$  of STB) for the rich farmers of Comilla region.

## References

- Anonymous.1996. Annual Report for 1996. The Bangladesh Rice Research Institute. Gazipur, Bangladesh.
- Bhuiyan N. I. 1991. Issues concerning declining/stagnating productivity in Bangladesh Agriculture. Paper presented at the National Workshop on Risk Management in Bangladesh Agriculture, held at BARC, Dhaka, Bangladesh, 1991.
- Cassman K. G., S. K. De Datta, D. C. 01k, J. Alcantra, M. Samson, J. Descalsota and M. Dizon. 1995. Yield decline and the nitrogen economy of long-term experiment on continuous irrigated rice systems in the tropics.pp.181-222. In: R. La] and B. A. Stewart (eds.) Soil Management: Experimental basis for sustainability and environmental quality. Lewis Pub., London, U.K.