HETEROSIS IN CUCUMBER (Cucumis sativus L.)

F. SIMI¹, N. A. IVY², H. B. SAIF³, S. AKTER⁴ AND M. F. A. ANIK⁵

Abstract

Heterosis for quantitative characters in 39 cucumber genotypes (19 parents and 20 F₁ s) were investigated at the farm of Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding in Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University during March-November, 2013. Analysis of variance revealed highly significant differences among the parents and hybrids for 19 characters studied. Considerable coefficient of variation were observed for branches per plant, flesh thickness, placental thickness, fruit length, fruit width, male and female flowers per plant, leaf length, leaf breadth, vine length, fruits per plant, fruit yield per plant indicating the scope of selection for those characters. The characters like branches per plant, male and female flowers per plant, fruit length, fruit weight, fruits per plant, fruit yield per plant contributed the maximum variability towards divergence among cucumber genotypes. Heterosis study depicted that the crosses Sobujsathi × Baromashi, Sobujsathi × Khira, Himaloy × Khira, exhibited significant positive heterosis for 50 % female flowering; Himaloy × Baromashi, Baromashi× Greenking for fruit length; Baromashi × Hero, Yuvraj × Khira for single fruit weight. Where Sobujsathi × Baromashi, Shila× Khira , Modhumoti × Hero and Modhumoti × Khira exhibited significant positive heterosis and heterobeltiosis for yield per plant. The highest positive heterotic effect for no. of fruits per plant was observed in Modhumoti × Baromashi (20%). The highest heterobeltiosis effect was found in hybrid Himaloy × Yuvraj (24.5%) followed by Sobujsathi× Khira (11.2 %), Modhumoti × Baromashi (10.0 %). Four crosses exhibited significant positive better parent heterotic effect for this trait and the combination Sobujsathi × Baromashi had the maximum heterosis on yield (47.6%). The maximum heterobeltiosis effect was found in Shila × Khira (27.73 %) followed by Modhumoti × Hero (15.14%) and Modhumoti × Khira (10%) for fruit yield.

Keywords: Cucumber, Heterosis, Productivity, Hybrid

Introduction

Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L) is an important member of the family cucurbitaceae. The crop is of Asian origin, the progenitor may be closely related to the wild *Cucumis sativus* var. *hardwickii*, which was first found in the Himalayan foothills of Nepal (Hossain *et al*, 2010). It is a common vegetable in Bangladesh with two types: one is known as 'Khira' available in late winter and

^{1&2}Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University (BSMRAU), Gazipur, Bangladesh, ³Planning & Evaluation Wing, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI), Gazipur, Bangladesh, ⁴Soil Survey Interpretation Section, Soil Resource Development Institution, Dhaka, Bangladesh, ⁵Soil Science Division, BARI, Gazipur, Bangladesh

other is 'Shosha' grown round the year. There are 4.61 thousand ha of land under cultivation in Bangladesh and production is about 49 thousand tons (BBS, 2014). It is chiefly grown for its edible tender fruits, preferred salad ingredient, pickles, and desert fruit and as a cooked vegetable. Cucumber contains 0.50 g fiber, 0.65 g protein, 14.3 kilo calories, 16 mg Ca, 24 mg P, 13 mg Mg and 147 mg K per 100 gm of edible portion. It also contains Vitamin B (B₁-0.027 mg and B₆-0.040 mg per 100 g of edible portion and a considerable amount of Niacin and Vitamin-C (Anon, 2011). Although cucumber is not rich in nutrient contents, yet it is considerable as a good source of nutrients for human body as it is mostly taken without cooking. Cucumber has some therapeutic properties as well as it leaves and seeds contain cucrbitaside B and C (Ghani, 2003) which are used for treating different ailments. It is also consumed by diabetic patients and known as fat reducing food.

Heterosis or hybrid vigor is an important biological phenomenon refers to the manifested superiority of the F₁ hybrid resulting from cross of genetically dissimilar homozygous parents over either of the parents. Heterosis or hybrid vigor can play a vital role in increasing the yield quality of cucumber. It refers to the phenomenon in which F₁ hybrid obtained by crossing of two genetically dissimilar inbred lines or genotypes, shows increased or decreased vigor over the better parent or mid parent value (Poehlman, 1979). Heterosis is a useful tool for exploiting dominance and over dominance through the production of hybrids. In commercial production, hybrid seeds are usually heterozygous gynoecious with regard to gynoecious character and are termed predominantly female (Wien, 1997). In cucurbits, heterosis was first noted by Hays and Jones (Hays et al., 1961). Now a day's heterosis breeding is one of the efficient tools to exploit the heterotic response for several traits. Very few research works relating to heterosis of cucumber have been conducted in Bangladesh. So, intensive research efforts are needed in several areas, particularly, selection of superior genotypes. There are a lot of variabilities among the existing cucumber germplasm of Bangladesh (Hossain et al, 2010). Based on the information, the present study was undertaken to assess the parental diversity and heterosis in cucumber.

Materials and Methods

A total of 19 parental genotypes of cucumber namely Piyas, Yuvraj, Himaloy, Shilla Hreo, Modhumoti, Baromashi, Greenboy, Sobujsathi, Sobujsathi, Tripti, Greenking, Khira, 4307, 4315, 4240, 4239, 4308, 4249, 4263 and 20 F₁s namely Modhumoti × Tripti, Baromashi × Greenking, Baromashi × Hero, Modhumoti × Baromashi, Modhumoti × Hero, Hero × Piyas, Modhumoti × Khira, Baromashi × Khira, Yuvraj × Khira, Himaloy × Tripi, Himaloy × Yuvraj, Himaloy × Baromashi, Sobuhsathi×Khira, Himaloy × Khira, Sobujsathi × baromashi, Greenboy × Tripti, Hreo × Khira, Hero × Tripti, Tripti × Khira and Shila × Khira were used in this experiment. No specific crossing pattern

was used in this experiment. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications at the experimental field, Department of Genetics and plant Breeding, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University (BSMRAU), Salna, Gazipur during the summer season March to November 2013 on an upland soil. Seeds of cucumber were sown in 10 cm x 5.5 cm polybag. The unit plot size was 7.5 m x 1.2 m accommodating 5 plants in each plot. The pits were dug prior to two weeks of planting in a dimension of 0.5 m x 0.5 m x 0.5 m at spacing of 1.5 m pit to pit. The treatments were randomly assigned to different plots of each block separately. The healthy seedling of 20 days old was transplanted in the pit of the experimental field. All the recommended agronomic practices were adopted to raise a good crop. Data on 19 quantitative characters: viz. Days to first male flowering, Days to first female flowering, Male flowers per plant, Female flowers per plant, Days to 50% male flowering (staminate flowers), Days to 50% female flowering (pistillate flowers), Leaf length (cm), Leaf width (cm), Petiole length (cm), Branches per plant, Vine length, Fruit length (cm), Fruit diameter (cm), Fruit weight (g), Flesh thickness (cm), Placental thickness (cm), Fruits per plant, Yield per plant (gm), 1000 seed weight (g) (dried seed) were recorded. The collected data were statistically analyzed. Analysis of variance for each of the character was performed. For estimating the heterosis in each character the mean values of F_{1s} have been compared with better parent (BP) for heterobeltosis and with mid parent (MP) for heterosis over mid parent value. Percent heterosis was calculated as follows;

Estimation of Heterosis over Better Parent (HBP):

$$HBP = \frac{F_1 - BP}{BP} \times 100$$

Where,

 F_1 = Mean of the F_1 hybrid, BP = mean of the two parents of that particular F_1 cross

Estimation of Heterosis over Mid Parent (HMP):

$$HMP = \frac{F_1 - MP}{MP} \times 100$$

Where,

 F_1 = Mean of the F_1 hybrid, MP = mean of the two parents of that particular F_1 cross

Mid parent value =
$$\frac{P_1 - P_2}{2} \times 100$$

Test of significance of Heterosis:

The significance test for heterosis was done by using standard error of the value of better parent and mid parent.

SE (BP) =
$$\sqrt{3/2X \frac{M.S.S.Error}{r}}$$

SE (MP) =
$$\sqrt{2X \frac{M.S.S.Error}{r}}$$

Critical difference (CD) = SE X t at 5 %

Where.

Me = Error mean sum of squares

r = Number of replications

Results and Discussion

Heterosis

The mean sum of square from analysis of variance for different yield contributing characters is presented in the Table 1. The analysis of variance revealed highly significant differences among the genotypes for all the characters. The mean sum of square due to parent differed significantly, indicating great deal of diversity among them. The heterotic responses of F_1 hybrids over mid parent (MP) and better parent (BP) for 19 characters are presented in Table 2. Both positive and negative heterosis was observed for quantitative characters in F_1 hybrids of cucumber. It was noticed that the heterotic performance of the hybrids over their mid parental values were mostly positive. Character wise heterotic performances of the crosses are discussed below:

Leaf length (cm)

The cross Modhumoti \times Khira showed highly significant positive heterosis (28.18%). The cross Baromashi \times Khira showed significant positive heterosis (25.24%) followed by Modhumoti \times Tripti (15.34%), Baromashi \times Hero (7.79%) and Modhumoti \times Hero (3.58%). The highest negative heterosis was observed in the cross Sobujsathi \times Baromashi (-33.33%). The highest positive heterobeltiosis was observed in cross Modhumoti \times Khira (17.5%) followed by Baromashi \times Khira (6.52%) and Modhumoti \times Tripti (4.25%) (Table 2).

Leaf Width (cm)

The hybrid Modhumoti \times Khira showed highly significant positive heterosis (24.35 %). The cross Hero \times Tripti showed significant positive heterosis (

20.25%) followed by Baromashi \times Hero (20.06%), Greenboy \times Tripti (17.58%). The highest negative heterosis was observed in the cross Sobuhsathi \times Khira(-72.43%). The highest positive heterobeltiosis was observed in Greenboy \times Tripti (13.23%) followed by Hero \times Tripti (7.98%) and Modhumoti \times Khira (2.93%) (Table 2).

Vine length (cm)

All the cross combinations showed significant better parent heterosis for this trait (Table 2). Six combinations had significant positive heterosis while rest of the 13 showed negative heterosis. The highest negative heterotic response for this trait was found in Modhumoti \times Khira (-24.34 %) followed by Hero \times Khira (-19.58 %) and Sobuhsathi \times Khira (-19.11 %). The highest negative heterobeltiosis for this trait was found in Modhumoti \times Khira (-41.92 %) followed by Modhumoti \times Tripti (-37.38 %).

Length of petiole

The hybrid Modhumoti \times Hero showed highly significant positive heterosis (14.27 %) (Table 2). followed by Modhumoti \times Khira (12.21%). The highest negative heterosis was observed in the cross Sobujsathi \times Baromashi (-57.14%). The highest positive heterobeltiosis was observed in cross Modhumoti \times Hero (3.23 %).

No. of branches / plant

The hybrid Sobuhsathi \times Khira showed highly significant positive heterosis (29.04 %) followed by Greenboy \times Tripti (17.6%) and Himaloy \times Yuvraj (9.09%) (Table 2). The highest negative heterosis was observed both in the cross Baromashi \times Hero and Baromashi \times Khira (-33.33 %). The highest positive heterobeltiosis was observed in cross Sobuhsathi \times Khira (21.82%) followed by Greenboy \times Tripti (4.32 %).

No. of male flowers per plant

All the cross combination showed negative mid parent heterosis except Baromashi \times Hero which showed positive heterosis (8.33%) (Table 2). The highest negative heterotic effect was observed in cross Himaloy \times Tripi (-59.73%).

No. of female flowers per plant

All the cross combinations showed negative mid and better parent heterosis. The highest negative mid parent heterotic effect was found in the cross Sobuhsathi×Khira (-87.23 %) and the highest negative heterobeltiosis was observed in the cross Sobuhsathi × Khira (-88.88%) (Table 2).

Table 1. Analysis of variance for different quantitative characters in cucumber

NFF	26.02	3.8**	1.52**	2.35**	60.32
NMF	36.98	51.09**	21.85**	29.24**	81.72
DHFF	13.62	29.43**	10.36**	19.07**	6.61
DHMF	8.05	3193**	20.03**	11.90**	9.03
DFFF	3.87	1.32**	0.34**	0.98	37.71
DFMF	9.21	20.03**	8.5**	11.53**	9.52
NBPP	1.92	3.01**	1.98**	1.03**	3.35
LP	2.84	5.03**	.25**	3.78**	1.47
ΛΓ	2438.32	6026.92**	2163.25** 1	3863.67**	8.02
ΓM	6.93	8.09**	3.41**	4.68**	1.74
TT	5.16	7.02**	18 3.85**	20 3.17*	76 1.99
Df	2	38	18	20	92
Source of Df LL variation	Replication 2 5.16	Genotype 38 7.02**	Parents	Hybrids	Error

^{**} and * Significant at 5% and 1% level of probability, respectively; NS- Non Significant

Table 1. Continued

Source of df variation								
	FT	PT	H	FW	NFPP	SFW	YPP	100 SW
Replication 2 27	27.90	13.75	23.09	6.21	15.94	264.47	8.32	0.92
Genotype 38 31	31.23**	19.78**	58.13**	8.03**	52.09**	1619.32**	10.42**	1.32**
Parents 18 12	12.98**	5.36**	23.48**	4.05**	21.18**	71.36**	4.89**	**9.0
Hybrids 20 18		14.42**	34.65**	3.98**		1547.96**	5.53**	0.72**
Error 76 1.93	.92	2.31	4.31	0.65	1.03	27.38	16.5	90.0

** and * Significant at 5% and 1% level of probability, respectively; NS- Non Significant.

Note: LL= leaf length, LW= leaf width, VL=Vine length, LP=Length of petiole, NBPP= No. of branches/plants, NMF=Number of male flower, AFF= Number of female flower, DFMF=Days to first female flower, DHMF=Days to 50% male flowering, DHFF =Days to 50% female flowering, FT = Flesh thickness, PT= Placental thickness, NFPP=No .of fruit/plant, SFW=Single fruit weight, FL=Fruit length, FW=Fruit width, YPP=Yield peer plant.

Days to 50% male flowering

Out of 20 crosses, 17 showed negative mid parent heterosis and three showed positive heterosis (Table 2). Heterosis for earliness ranged from -1.17% to -27.91%. The highest significant negative heterotic response for days to 50 % male flowering was observed in cross Himaloy \times Khira (-27.91%) followed by Modhumoti \times Tripti (-27.27%) and Sobujsathi \times Baromashi (-23.59 %). All cross combinations showed significant negative better parent heterobeltiosis for this trait indicated earliness. The highest significant negative heterobeltiosis for earliness was observed in cross Modhumoti \times Tripti (-33.33%) followed by Shilla \times Khira (-30.41%) and Himaloy \times Khira (-27.91%).

Days to 50% female flowering

Out of 20 crosses, 17 showed significant negative heterosis and two showed positive heterosis and one (Hero \times Piyas) did not show heterosis (Table 2). Heterosis for earliness in female flowering of 50 % plant ranged from -1.03 % to -33.33 %. The highest significant heterotic effect (-33.33 %) for this trait was noticed in Sobujsathi \times Baromashi followed by Sobujsathi \times Khira (-29.91%), Greenboy \times Tripti (-25%), Himaloy \times Khira (-23.07%), Hero \times Tripti (-22.64%) and Himaloy \times Tripti (-18.18 %). All the 18 cross combinations showed significant negative heterosis and only Himaloy \times Khira (3.77%) show positive heterosis for this trait. The highest negative heterobeltiosis response for earliness was observed in cross Sobujsathi \times Baromashi (-40.63 %) followed by Himaloy \times Yuvraj (-35.94 %), Greenboy \times Tripti (-33.89%). Uddin (2008) observed the highest heterobeltiotic effect (-36.26%) for F₄ \times M₂ in cucumber.

Days to first male flowering

All the crosses showed significant negative heterosis except Hero \times Piyas (0.00) which did not show heterosis (Table 2). Heterosis for earliness in days to first male flowering plant ranged from -3.23% to -36.1%. The highest significant mid parent heterotic effect (-36.1 %) for this trait was found in Shilla \times Khira followed by Tripti \times Khira (-29.4 %) and Himaloy \times Khira (-25.6 %). The highest negative heterobeltiosis for earliness was observed in cross Hero \times Khira (-36.25 %) followed by Greenboy \times Tripti (-36.20%) and Tripti \times Khira (-33.36%). Uddin (2008) observed the highest heterobeltiotic effect (-36.26%) for $F_4 \times M_2$ in cucumber.

Days to first female flowering

Out of 20 crosses, 15 showed significant negative heterosis and four showed significant positive heterosis except Hero \times Piyas (0.00) which did not show heterosis (Table 2). Mis parent heterosis for earliness in days to first female flowering ranged from -1.27 % to -34.69 %. The highest significant mid parent

heterotic effect (-34.69 %) for this trait was found in Sobujsathi \times Baromashi followed by Baromashi \times Greenking (-31.6%) and Sobujsathi \times Khira (-31.03 %). The highest negative heterobeltiotic response for earliness was observed in cross Sobujsathi \times Baromashi (-44.83 %) followed by Sobujsathi \times Khira (-39.65%).

Flesh thickness (cm)

The highest heterotic effect was found in Modhumoti \times Khira (35.02 %) followed by the crosses Himaloy \times Tripti (33.33%), Sobujsathi \times Baromashi (16.35 %) and Baromashi \times Khira (2.54%). The highest negative effect was observed in the cross Greenboy \times Tripti (-45.65%) (Table 2).

Placental thickness (cm)

The cross Modhumoti \times Khira (38.29 %) showed the highest significant positive mid parent heterosis followed by Tripti \times Khira (32.30%) and Himaloy \times Yuvraj (9.21 %). The highest negative mid parent heterosis was observed in the cross Modhumoti \times Baromashi (-33.33 %) (Table 2). The highest heterobeltiosis was observed in Modhumoti \times Khira (28.51%) followed by Himaloy \times Yuvraj (7.81%)

Fruit length (cm)

All the cross combinations exhibited significant negative mid parent heterosis except Modhumoti \times Khira (13.58 %) which showed positive heterosis (Table 2). As consumer does not prefer bigger fruit, therefore, negative heterosis for this trait is preferable. The highest negative heterotic effect was observed in cross Himaloy \times Baromashi (-64.28%) followed by Baromashi \times Greenking (-62.02%), Sobuhsathi \times Khira (-61.04 %), Baromashi \times Hero (-59.63 %) and Tripti \times Khira (-57.77 %). The highest negative heterobeltiotic effect was observed in cross Baromashi \times Greenking (-70.0%) followed by Greenboy \times Tripti (-67.74 %), Himaloy \times Baromashi (-65.53%) and Tripti \times Khira (-65.26%). Uddin (2008) found the highest negative heterobeltitic effect in $F_1 \times M_2$ (-31.44%).

Fruit width (cm)

All the crosses except Modhumoti \times Khira exhibited significant negative heterosis over mid and better parent for this trait (Table 2). The highest mid parent heterosis was observed in hybrid Modhumoti \times Khira (29.02%). Out of 20 crosses only one (Modhumoti \times Khira) showed the positive heterobeltiosis effect (6.66 %). This result also coincided with the findings of Chaudhary (1987) in bitter gourd, Shukla and Goutam (1990) in okra. Uddin (2008) observed positive heterosis in $F_8 \times M_2$ (32.50) of cucumber.

Table 2. Mid parent and Better parent heterosis for different quantitative characters in cucumber

Crosses	Leaf	Leaf Length	Leaf	Leaf Width	Vine	Vine length	Length (Length of Petiole	No. of branches plant	branches /	No. of flowers	No. of male flowers /plant
	MP	BP	MP	BP	MP	BP	MP	BP	MP	BP	\mathbf{MP}	BP
$\begin{aligned} & Modhumoti \times \\ & Tripti \end{aligned}$	15.38**	4.25**	-5.75**	-19.38**	-15.15**	-37.38**	-18.32**	-32.24**	-14.98**	-15.42**	-46.22**	-53.62**
Baromashi \times Greenking	1.31**	0.91**	-12.06**	-24.66**	-1.35**	-8.33**	-20**	-33.33**	-11.7**	-19.33**	-48.39**	-50**
$\begin{array}{l} Baromashi \times \\ Hero \end{array}$	7.79**	-19.52**	20.06**	-1.03**	-1.55**	-7.77**	-3.2**	-19.3**	-10.89**	-33.33	8.33**	-6.67**
$\begin{aligned} & Modhumoti \times \\ & Baromashi \end{aligned}$	-9.04*	-20.45	-40.32**	-57.23**	-13.4**	-34.46**	-20**	-20**	5.88**	-18.18**	***	-53.33**
$\begin{aligned} & Modhumoti \times \\ & Hero \end{aligned}$	3.58**	-22.02	-39.7**	-41.23**	**69:L-	-26.67**	14.27**	3.23**	-1.32**	-4.93**	-34.74**	-38**
$Hero \times Piyas$	1.25**	-14.75**	-33.33**	-39.21**	1.17**	-4.44**	-53.85**	-62.5**	-30.43**	-46.67**	-8.23**	-13.33**
$\begin{aligned} & Modhumoti \times \\ & Khira \end{aligned}$	28.18**	17.5**	24.35**	2.93*	-24.34**	-41.92**	12.21**	-0.54**	-1.37**	-3.33**	-22.07**	-40**
$\begin{aligned} \text{Baromashi} \times \\ \text{Khira} \end{aligned}$	25.24**	6.52**	-34.21**	-37.89**	38.61	35.93*	-37.32**	-50.00**	-33.33**	-33.33**	-11.76**	-40**
Yuvraj × Khira -3.04**	-3.04**	-15.12**	-15.13**	-26.33**	-12.79**	-24.24**	-20**	-35.43**	1.02**	5.05*	-15.46**	-41.43**
Himaloy \times Tripi -23.01**	1 -23.01**	-29.77**	0.51**	-14.31**	7.38**	1.26**	-52.32**	-62.1**	**60'6	0	-59.73**	-62.5**
$\begin{array}{l} \text{Himaloy} \times \\ \text{Yuvraj} \end{array}$	3.21*	-1.47*	-22.6**	-33.33**	20.28**	17.81**	-12.32**	-19.2**	1.54**	0	-30.67**	-35**
${\sf Himaloy} \times\\$	-13.29**	-33.33**	-47.09**	-81.47**	9.25**	-8.25**	-3.70**	-13.33**	-1.29**	-6.57**	-49.67**	-51.25**

Crosses	Leaf]	Leaf Length	Leaf	Leaf Width	Vine	Vine length	Length o	Length of Petiole		No. of branches / plant		No. of male flowers /plant
	MP	BP	MP	BP	MP	BP	\mathbf{MP}	BP	MP	BP	MP	BP
Baromashi												
Sobuhsathi \times Khira	-4.32**	-15.12	-72.43**	-80.00**	-72.43** -80.00** -19.11** -21.43** -54.21** -59.42** 29.04**	-21.43**	-54.21**	-59.42**	29.04**	21.82**	-48.98**	-64.78**
$\begin{array}{l} \text{Himaloy} \times \\ \text{Khira} \end{array}$	-25.33**	-30.00**	4.82**	0.21**	-11.24**	-14.65**	-55.5**	-60.0**	**60.6-	-16.67**	-47.66**	**59-
$Sobujsathi \times \\ Baromashi$	-33.33**	-46.32**	-41.2**	-50.17**	-6.25**	-7.14**	-57.14**	-57.14** -66.67** 2.22**	2.22**	-5.29**	-45.5**	-46.67**
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Greenboy} \times \\ \text{Tripti} \end{array}$	-8.90**	-13.45**	17.58**	13.23**	13.79**	4.43**	1.14**	0	17.6**	4.32**	-43.07**	-43.38**
${\rm Hreo}\times{\rm Khira}$	-33.33**	-53.2**	-33.33**	-41.43**	-19.58**	-23.23**	-3.39**	-13.25**	-1.24**	-3.98**	-16.67**	-33.33**
$Hero \times Tripti$	-26.54**	-50.00**	20.25**	7.98**	0.59**	-5.56**	-40.0**	-43.4**	11.11**	**0	-26.32**	-39.13**
$Tripti \times Khira$	-35.86**	-43.00**	-65.43**	-78.43**	-7.3**	-16.67**	-53.43**	-59.9**	**0	-28.51**	-56.25**	-65.56**
Shila \times Khira	-43.56**	-52.12**	-15.45	-24.14**	-5.06**	-10**	-39.33**	-43.14**	-1.32**	-2.09**	-18.75**	-43.38**

** and * Significant at 5% and 1% level of probability, respectively; NS-Non Significant

7
z
- 23
\sim
_
Contd
_
ri
e
9
. ٧
Tab

Crosses	No. of	of female flower	Days male fle	Days to first male flowering	Days fen flow	Days to first female flowering	Days (male fl	Days to 50% male flowering	Days female f	Days to 50% female flowering	Flesh T	Flesh Thickness
	MP	BP	$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{P}$	BP	$\mathbf{d}\mathbf{W}$	BP	MP	BP	MP	BP	MP	dЯ
Modhumoti × Tripti	-68.57**	-78.85**	-22.22**	-33.31**	-25**	-37.74**	-27.27**	-33.33**	-13.46**	-23.73**	-43.38**	-61.53**
Baromashi $ imes$ Greenking	-60.49**	-76.81**	-16.7**	-18.6**	-3.6**	-16.36** -7.69**	**69.7-	-14.28**	-7.27**	-15*	-2.32**	-9.37**
Baromashi × Hero $-67.01**$	-67.01**	-76.81**	-14.7**	-25.58**	-1.27**	-3.5**	-6.17**	-9.52**	-1.03**	-4.00**	-5.90**	-12.58**
$Modhumoti \times \\Baromashi$	-75.21**	-78.26**	-15.1**	-27.91** 25.3	25.3	17.5	-9.75**	-11.9**	13.56	-13.85**	-28.37**	-46.89**
$\begin{aligned} \text{Modhumoti} \times \\ \text{Hero} \end{aligned}$	-75**	-80.76**	-3.23**	-6.25**	-13.5**	-17.9**	-13.92**	-15**	-15.22**	-17.02*	-43.21**	-52.68**
$Hero \times Piyas$	-75.44**	-75.86**	0	12.5	0	-15.79**	-4.76**	-11.11**	0	-9.83**	1.02**	-2.06**
$Modhumoti \times \\Khira$	-83.33**	-88.46** -15.1**	-15.1**	-33.33** 15	15	2.22**	-8.43**	-11.63**	12.24	3.77**	35.02	21.66
Baromashi \times Khira -82.02**	-82.02**	-88.41**	-18.6**	-18.6**	-12.9**	-17.78** -1.17**	-1.17**	-2.33**	-6.79**	-9.43**	2.54**	-1.57**
Yuvra j ×Khira	-63.26**	-68.69**	-15.1**	3.33*	-2.4**	*68.8-	3.79*	-4.65**	-3.92**	-11.76**	-5.32**	-8.67**
$Himaloy \times Tripi$	-42.86**	-50**	-19.5**	-22.43**	-16.4**	-28.3**	-14.28**	-18.75**	-18.18**	-23.73**	33.33**	31.59**
Himaloy × Yuvraj -28.3**	-28.3**	-34.48**	4.6	-2.85	1.29**	0	-3.79**	-11.63**	-10**	-35.94**	-1.24**	-5.79**
$\begin{array}{l} \text{Himaloy} \times \\ \text{Baromashi} \end{array}$	-74.19**	-82.61** -17.9**	-17.9**	-25.58** 2.5**	2.5**	0	-3.53**	-4.65**	-8.91**	**8.6-	-6.38**	-8.07**

Crosses	No. of fem flower	female wer	Days (Days to first male flowering	Days to fii female flowerin	Days to first female flowering	Days t male fl	Days to 50% male flowering	Days to 50% female flowerir	Days to 50% female flowering	Flesh TI	Flesh Thickness
	MP	BP	MP	BP	MP	BP	MP	dЯ	$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{P}$	dЯ	МР	BP
Sobuhsathi × Khira	-87.23**	**88.88-	-23.1**	-30.23**	-31.03**	-39.65**	-22.22**	-88.88** -23.1** -30.23** -31.03** -39.65** -22.22** -25.53**	-29.91**	-35.94**	-35.43** -41.88**	-41.88**
$Himaloy \times Khira$	-44.6**	-61.72**	-25.6**	-32.56**	-15.67**	-22.22**	-27.91**	-27.91**	-61.72** -25.6** -32.56** -15.67** -22.22** -27.91** -27.91** -23.07**	-24.53*	-25.65** -36.97**	-36.97**
Sobujsathi $ imes$ Baromashi	-41.67**	-50**	-23.1**	-30.23**	-34.69**	-44.83**	-23.59**	-23.1** -30.23** -34.69** -44.83** -23.59** -27.66**	-33.33**	-40.63**	-41.63** -54.36**	-54.36**
Greenboy × Tripti -47.82**	-47.82**	-57.14**	-19.5**	-57.14** -19.5** -36.20** -23.6** -35.85** -18.6**	-23.6**	-35.85**	-18.6**	-27.08** -25**	-25**	-33.89**	-33.89** -45.65** -59.53**	-59.53**
${\rm Hreo}\times{\rm Khira}$	-72.72**	-75**	-20**	3625**	-23.81**	-23.81** -28.89* 12.19	12.19	-16.28** -22**	-22**	-26.42** -3.95** -6.67**	-3.95**	-6.67**
$\operatorname{Hero} imes \operatorname{Tripti}$	-72.91**	-81.16**	-10.92**	-81.16** -10.92** -29.60** -17.4** -28.30** 19.54	-17.4**	-28.30**	19.54	-27.08**	-27.08** -22.64**	-30.51** -12.98** -35.94**	-12.98**	-35.94**
$Tripti \times Khira$	-68.42**	**02-	-29.4**	-33.36**	-22.45**	-28.83**	-23.07**	-29.4** -33.36** -22.45** -28.83** -23.07** -27.08**	-19.64**	-23.73** 2.10**	2.10**	0.32**
$Shila \times Khira$	-27.78**	-35**	-36.1**	-32.56**	-11.83**	-14.58**	-15.2**	-30.41**	-36.1** -32.56** -11.83** -14.58** -15.2** -30.41** -10.48**	-11.32** -43.87** -55.55**	-43.87**	-55.55**

** and * Significant at 5% and 1% level of probability, respectively; NS- Non Significant

_	
	3
*	2
7	9
- 2	:
_	J
)
•	•
C	1
. 0	
_	٠
-	٥
Tah	3
-	J
	۰

Crosses	Plac Thic	Placental Thickness	Fruit]	Fruit length	Fruit width	width	No. of fruit /plant	o. of fruit /plant	Single	Singles fruit wt.	Yield,	Yield/plant	100 gi	100 gm seed wt.
	MP	BP	MP	BP	MP	BP	MP	BP	MP	BP	MP	BP	MP	BP
$Modhumoti \times \\ Tripti$	-12.08**	-20.51**	-19.7**	-45.41**	-30.76**	-47.7**	-20**	-33.33**	-6.11**	-76.6**	5.09**	1.39**	33.33**	22.58**
$\begin{array}{c} Baromashi \times \\ Greenking \end{array}$	-14.87**	-29.24**	-62.02**	**02-	-56.47**	-58.47**	-50**	-66.67**	-66.67** -83.05** -87.5**	-87.5**	-2.19**	-13.21** -1.32**	-1.32**	-4.31**
Baromashi × Hero -1.24**	-1.24**	-5.77**	-59.63**	-62.6**	-25.93**	-32.58**	-32.58** -17.65** -22.22**	-22.22**	-75.75** -78.95**	-78.95**	-3.33**	-8.36**	-4.93**	-14.30**
$Modhumoti \times \\Baromashi$	-33.33**	-54.03**	-40.23**	-55.17**	-12.03**	-31.79**	20**	10.02	-52**	-68.42**	-10.36**	-23.13**	-27.32**	-44.69**
$\begin{aligned} \text{Modhumoti} \times \\ \text{Hero} \end{aligned}$	-2.74**	-6.67**	-20**	-37.26** -17.05**	-17.05**	-30.68** 14.28		2.58	**0+-	-57.14**	-0.38**	-8.61**	-13.79** -29.87**	-29.87**
$Hero \times Piyas$	-5.54**	-6.15**	-37.8**	-38.46**	-33.33**	-35.06**	-57.14** -62.5**		**05-	-55.55**	**06:0-	-5.43**	1.54**	0
$Modhumoti \times \\ Khira$	38.29**	28.51**	13.58**	-11.54**	29.02	99.9	-63.63**	-63.63** -66.66**	37.5**	*01	0.37**	-5.40**	46.36**	23.84**
Baromashi \times Khira -4.97**	-4.97**	-15.71**	-34.55**	-37.93**	3.91**	-1.57**	-57.14** -66.66**		-44.83**	-57.89**	-29.45**	-35.36**	-51.82**	-56.52**
$Yuvraj \times Khira$	-18.37**	-29.04**	-25**	-30.77**	-2.78**	-6.67**	-20**	-17.25**	-33.33** -36.3**	-36.3**	-7.57**	-8.71**	-12.78**	-24.24*
$Himaloy \times Tripi$	-3.81**	-10.29**	-46.51**	-55.33**	-37.54**	-47.59**	-25**	-32.68**	-76.19**	-83.33**	2.15*	-0.89**	-19.12**	-21.43**
Himaloy \times Yuvraj 9.21**	9.21**	7.81**	-42.86**	-48.15**	-54.75**	-55.79**	11.11	0	-82.6**	-83.33**	-23.67**	-41.38**	9.28**	-2.13**
$\begin{array}{l} \text{Himaloy} \times \\ \text{Baromashi} \end{array}$	1.02**	-4.25**	-64.28**	-65.53**	-60.59**	-65.77**	-38.46** -55.55**		-87.09**	-89,47**	-25.92**	-33.27**	-39.97**	-54.45**
Sobuhsathi × Khira	-19.11**	-37.43**	-61.4**	-64.52**	-36.48**	-39.88**	-40**	-40**	-75.75** -82.6**	-82.6**	0	-10.56**	-23.21**	-42.8**

Crosses	Plac Thicl	Placental Thickness	Fruit 1	Fruit length	Fruit width	width	No. of fruit /plant	f fruit ant	Single	Singles fruit wt.	Yield,	Yield/plant	100 gm seed wt.	gm seed wt.
	MP	BP	MP	BP	MP	BP	MP	BP	MP	BP MP BP	MP	BP	MP	BP
Himaloy × Khira -3.24**	-3.24**	-17.65**	-13.2**	-14.81**	-26.14**	-30.67**	-33.33**	-40**	**60'6	-17.65** -13.2** -14.81** -26.14** -30.67** -33.33** -40** 9.09** 0	2.65*	-28.54**	2.65* -28.54** 3.47** 1.03**	1.03**
$\begin{array}{c} Sobujsathi \times \\ Baromashi \end{array}$	-6.25**	-9.14**	-40**	-41.93**	-30.64**	-32.58**	-42.85**	-55.55**	-47.6**	-9.14** -40** -41.93** -30.64** -32.58** -42.85** -55.55** -47.6** -52.17** -10.87** -21.65** -11.02** -32.38**	-10.87**	-21.65**	-11.02**	-32.38**
Greenboy × Tripti -14.02** -28.30** -56.15** -67.74** -51.51** -58.33** -9.09** -28.57** -79.45** -86.67** -4.75** -15.29** 13.28** 1.34**	-14.02**	-28.30**	-56.15**	-67.74**	-51.51**	-58.33**	**60.6-	-28.57**	-79.45**	-86.67**	-4.75**	-15.29**	13.28**	1.34**
$Hreo \times Khira$	3.88**	1.92**	-53.39**	-53.85**	-45.95**	-46.67**	-53.85**	-62.5**	-66.66**	-53.39** -53.85** -45.95** -46.67** -53.85** -62.5** -66.66** -71.43** -11.68** -37.03** -6.43** -14.95*	-11.68**	-37.03**	-6.43**	-14.95*
Hero \times Tripti	0.59**	-1.56**	-48.33**	-57.83**	-44.38**	-51.04**	-33.33**	**95-	-63.64**	-1.56** -48.33** -57.83** -44.38** -51.04** -53.33** -56** -63.64** -73.33** -48.30** -55.32** -9.56** -12.5**	-48.30**	-55.32**	-9.56**	-12.5**
$Tripti \times Khira$	32.30**	-21.67**	-57.77**	-65.26**	-21.67** -57.77** -65.26** -29.36** -37.08** -55.55** -60.0** -70** -80**	-37.08**	-55.55**	**0.09-	**0L-	**08-	-29.52**	-29.52** -36.47** 4.9**		0.54**
Shila \times Khira	-3.21**	-9.2**	-29.33**	-32.14**	-41.1**	-45.45**	-55.55**	**0.09-	62.5**	-29.33** -32.14** -41.1** -45.45** -55.55** -60.0** 62.5** -72.73** -32.76** -48.32** 1.32**	-32.76**	-48.32**	1.32**	-3.97**

** and * Significant at 5% and 1% level of probability, respectively; NS- Non Significant.

Number of fruits per Plant

Three crosses showed significant positive and 17 showed significant negative mid parent heterosis for this trait (Table 2). The positive mid parent heterosis varied from 11.11% to 20 %. The highest positive heterotic effect was observed in Modhumoti × Baromashi (20%) followed by Modhumoti × Hero (14.28 %) and Himaloy × Yuvraj (11.11%). The highest heterobeltiosis was found in the cross Modhumoti × Baromashi (10.02%) followed by Modhumoti × Hero (2.58%). But the cross Himaloy × Yuvraj did not show any heterosis. Solanki *et al.* (1982) observed the maximum heterosis for the number of fruits per plant in the hybrid CL x S (42.12 %) in cucumber. Karim *et al.* (2001) reported desirable better parent heterosis in two crosses of ash gourd (F_1 s HF x Local and MK x Local). Uddin (2008) observed the maximum heterosis for this trait in the hybrid $F_6 \times M_3$ (50.0%) in cucumber.

Single fruit weight (g)

The cross Shila \times Khira showed highly significant positive mid parent heterosis (62.5%) which was followed by Modhumoti \times Khira (37.50%) (Table 2). The highest negative mid parent heterosis was observed in the cross Himaloy \times Baromashi (-87.09 %). The cross Modhumoti \times Khira showed positive heterobeltiosis (10.00%). Heterosis for single fruit weight was the minimum in cross F_6 x M_2 (-4.32%) in cucumber (Uddin, 2008).

Yield per plant

The cross Modhumoti \times Tripti (5.09%) showed highly significant positive mid parent heterosis followed by Himaloy \times Khira (2.65%) (Table 2). The highest negative mid parent heterosis was found in Hero \times Tripti (-48.23%). The highest heterobeltiosis was observed in Modhumoti \times Tripti (1.39%). The minimum negative heterobeltiosis was found in Baromashi \times Greenking (-1.86%) which was followed by Himaloy \times Tripti (-0.89%) and Modhumoti \times Khira (-5.40%).

100 seed weight

The cross Modhumoti \times Khira showed significant positive heterosis (46.36%) in respect of mid parent heterosis followed by Modhumoti \times Tripti(33.33%), Greenboy \times Tripti (13.28%) and

Himaloy \times Yuvraj (9.28%) (Table 2). The highest negative heterosis was observed in the cross Baromashi \times Khira (-51.82 %).

Conclusion

Considerable variability for most of the quantitative traits of cucumber observed among the studied genotypes. The crosses Modhumoti \times Khira , Hreo \times Khira , Sobuhsathi \times Khira exhibited significant heterosis for vine length; Sobujsathi \times

Baromashi, Sobujsathi × Khira, Himaloy × Khira for 50 % female flowering; Himaloy × Khira for 50 % male flowering; Himaloy × Baromashi, Baromashi × Greenking for fruit length; Baromashi × Hero, Yuvraj× Khira for single fruit weight; Sobujsathi × Baromashi and Shila × Khira, Modhumoti × Hero, Modhumoti × Khira exhibited significant positive heterosis and heterobeltiosis for yield per plant. The highest negative heterotic response for vine length was found in Modhumoti × Khira (-24.34 %) followed by Hreo × Khira (-19.58 %), Sobuhsathi × Khira (-19.11 %). The crosses Sobujsathi × Baromashi, Sobujsathi × Khira, Himaloy × Khira could be considered as early 50% female flowering, Modhumoti × Baromashi, Himaloy × Yuvraj for number of fruits per plant. The highest positive heterotic effect for no. of fruit per plant was observed in Modhumoti × Baromashi (20%) followed by Modhumoti × Hero (14.28 %), Himaloy × Yuvraj (11.11%). The highest negative heterotic effect for fruit length (cm) was observed in cross Himaloy × Baromashi (-64.28 %) followed by Baromashi × Greenking (-62.02 %), Sobuhsathi × Khira (-61.04 %). Considering heterotic performance the crosses Modhumoti × Khira, Himaloy × Yuvraj, Sobujsathi × Khira, Modhumoti × Baromashi, Sobujsathi × Baromashi, Shilla × Khira appeared to be promising. The highest number of fruits per plant was found in the cross with heterobeltiosis in Himaloy × Yuvraj followed by Sobujsathi × Khira and Modhumoti × Baromashi. It also showed the possibility of increasing yield by exploiting heterosis. The presence of high heterosis indicated genetic diversity between parents. Based on quantitative characters and genetic diversity eight genotypes viz. Greenking, Modhumoti, Baromashi, Tripti, Shilla, Khira, 4249, 4263 were found superior and may be selected for hybrid variety development in cucumber.

References

- Anonymous. 2011. Year Book of Agricultural statistics, Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, Ministry of Planning, Dhaka. P: 279.
- BBS. (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics). 2014. Statistical Year Book of Bangladesh. Statistics Division. Ministry of Planning. Government of the People republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka.
- Chaudhary, S. M. 1987. Studies heterosis, combining ability and correlation in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia L*). Ph.D. Thesis. Mahatma Phule Agril. University, Rahuri, Maharashtra. P: 211.
- Ghani, A. 2003. Medicinal plant of Bangladesh with chemical constitutes and uses, 2nd edition, Asiati Society of Bangladesh, Dhaka 1000. P: 603.
- Hays H. K. and D. F. Jones. 1961. First generation crosses in cucumber. Conn Agric Expt Sta Ann Rpt: 319-322.
- Hossain, F. M., M. G. Rabbani, M. A. Hakim, A. S. M. Amanullah, A. S. M. Ahsanullah. 2010. Study on Variability Character Association and Yield Performance of Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Bangladesh Research Publications Journal. 4(3): 297-311

- Karim, M. S., M. Ali and M. A. K. Mian. 2001. Heterosis in ash gourd (*Benincasa hispida* (THUMB.) COGN.) Bangladesh J. Pl. Breed Genet., **14**(1):21-28.
- Poehlman J. M. 1979. Breeding Field Crops. 2nd edition Westport, Connection: The AVI Publishing Company, Inc.
- Shukla, A. K. and N. C. Gautam. 1990. Heterosis and inbreeding depression in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench.).Indi. J. Hort., **47**(1): 85-88.
- Solanki, S. S., J. N. Sethi and S. D. Lal. 1982. Heterosis and inbreeding depression in cucumber. Progressive Hort. **141:**121-125.
- Uddin, M.N. 2008. Morpho-Molecular Characterization, Diversity and Heterosis in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) Ph.D. Thesis Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University. Gazipur- 1706.
- Wien, H. C. 1997. The physiology of vegetable crops. CAB international Wallingford, Oxon OXIOSDE, UK.