Comparison of antibody level after SARS-CoV-2 infection in case of home treated and hospital treated patients
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ABSTRACT

Background: The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has spread throughout the world causing a serious health issue. After the swine flu pandemic (also known as H1N1) of 2009-10, WHO had declared Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) as a global pandemic. This pandemic caused huge losses to the entire world. Most of the patients with mild symptoms were treated at home but patients with difficulty in breathing and various complications were treated at the hospital. Naturally produced antibodies or vaccination can only offer protection. The objective was to compare the antibody status after SARS-CoV-2 infection in home-treated and hospital-treated patients.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted in the Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, BIRDEM General Hospital, from July, 2020 to June, 2021. A total of 77 patients (age 18 – 70 years) infected by SARS-CoV-2 were enrolled for this study. Among them, 37 were home treated and 40 were treated in hospital. The study subjects were non-vaccinated. For this study, serum IgG level was measured by the automated analyser. For statistical analysis, the Mann Whitney U test was done.

Results: The median value of serum IgG was significantly higher in hospital-treated patients than in home-treated patients ($p < 0.001$). Hospital treated patients with a history of comorbidity developed more amount of antibody in comparison to home treated patients.

Conclusion: Hospital treated patients develop higher antibodies in comparison to home treated patients.
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INTRODUCTION

The Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is a pandemic experienced by the world. SARS-CoV-2 is a large, enveloped, positive-stranded RNA virus.\textsuperscript{1} It has four structural proteins: spike (S), envelope (E), membrane (M) and nucleocapsid (N) proteins. The receptor-binding domain (RBD) of the spike protein acts as an antigen for identifying immune reactions.\textsuperscript{2} B cells produce immunoglobulin as one of the essential components of the adaptive immune response.\textsuperscript{3} Immunoglobulin G is a major class of immunoglobulin that remains in the blood. It has long term immunity and immunological memory.\textsuperscript{4} The spike protein facilitates viral entry into host cells by binding to a host receptor through the RBD in the S1 subunit. Afterwards, viral and host membranes fuse through the S2 subunit. Antibodies binding to the spike (S) protein’s RBD can
neutralize SARS-CoV-2. S1 and S2 subunits of the viral spike protein act as antigen and anti-S1, anti-S2 IgG are produced within the body. A prospective study in Korea investigated antibody production in asymptomatic and mild COVID-19 patients. The study reported that neutralizing antibody production was significantly lower in asymptomatic to mild symptomatic patients than moderate to severe patients with pneumonia. Another prospective cohort study in the Netherlands reported that hospital admitted patients with respiratory insufficiency, hemodynamic instability and/or multi-organ failure showed strong immune response by producing IgG and IgM within 2–5 days after onset of symptoms. The antibody level was high after 3–4 weeks. But non-hospitalized home-treated patients developed an antibody with a significantly lower titer. A hospital-based case-control study reported IgG was detectable after one week and remained high for a long time. High disease activity and/or compromised immune response might cause this. A case-control study investigated that the concentration of IgG was lowest in early disease stages. After 21-25 days of infection, IgG was comparatively high. Another cross-sectional study in India reported IgG appeared two weeks after infection and sustained high for a long time. The presence of anti-spike or anti-nucleocapsid IgG antibodies were associated with a substantially reduced risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection in the following six months. Another study in Sweden reported that time to seroconversion was significantly shorter (median 11 vs. 22 days, p 0.04) in patients with severe symptoms compared to mild symptoms. Patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection both seroconvert earlier and develop higher concentrations of SARS-CoV-2-specific IgG. A retrospective cohort study comparing the immunologic status of SARS-CoV-2 infected patients with type 2 diabetic and non-diabetic individuals. The study revealed no significant difference between the two groups. Another study reported SARS-CoV-2 hypertensive patients’ condition deteriorated more rapidly than the non-hypertensive group. A study in Abu Dhabi reported that a possible correlation remained between very high antibody levels and the severity of the disease. There might be an association between very high antibody titers and severity of the disease, the effects of other confounding factors like viral load, age, comorbidities, cell-mediated immune response.

A recent study identified disease severity was related to higher antibodies against SARS-CoV-2. The study agreed that advancing age and comorbidities had an obvious effect on IgG1 and IgG3 against SARS-CoV-2. There might be associations of antibody development with viral load. The immune response in patients differs with diverse clinical outcomes. So, antibody level varies from person to person. However, there were limited ideas about the duration of persistence of antibody after infection, IgG level in patients with comorbidities like hypertension, diabetes etc. So far, the literature review revealed scarce of relevant correlational study in Bangladesh. Considering the circumstances, the present study was undertaken to know the quantitative antibody status of home-treated and hospital-admitted patients.

**METHODS**

This cross-sectional study was conducted at the Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, BIRDEM General Hospital, Shahbag, Dhaka from July, 2020 to June, 2021. A total of 77 study subjects, who had confirmed COVID-19 and recovered (37 home-treated patients were taken as group I and another 40 hospital-treated patients were taken as group II). The inclusion criteria for group I and II were RT-PCR positive for SARS-CoV-2 within last 3 to 6 months age 18 to 70 years. The exclusion criteria for this study were vaccinated patients against SARS-CoV-2 virus, pregnancy, lactation, chronic liver and renal disease, history of heart failure, any malignancy, any immunosuppressive disorders and radiation therapy. The research protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of BIRDEM Academy, Shahbag, Dhaka. A structured questionnaire and data sheet were prepared for this research, which included all the variables of interest. With all aseptic precautions blood sample was collected. The serum was separated from individual sample and stored at -56°C. Estimation of serum IgG was performed at the Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, BSMMU and assessed by Chemiluminescent Microparticle Immunoassay (CMIA) using Abbott Allinity i Autoanalyzer (USA).

Collected data were entered, checked and edited (to remove the outliers) with the help of the Statistical
Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software, version 26 and analysed. The data were expressed as frequency and percentage, mean ± SD for normally distributed data or median (inter-quartile range) for data not normally distributed. The Mann-Whitney U test was done to compare IgG status between home and hospital treated post-COVID-19 patient groups. p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
A total of 77 study subjects, who were infected 3 to 6 months ago, were enrolled for this study. The mean ± SD age of home treated patients was 40.7±14.7 and hospital treated patients was 49.6±10.9 years. In comparison to the females, it was observed that males were more infected and needed hospital support (Figure 1).

Fever, cough, anosmia and respiratory distress were the most prominent symptoms among the patients who needed hospital support. On the other hand, fever, cough, anosmia, headache, vertigo were the notable symptoms observed in the home treated group (Table I).

Significantly (p <0.001) higher IgG was observed in hospital-treated patients compared to home treatment patients. The median value of IgG with IQR was 316 AU/ml(53.0-929.0) in home-treated patients, 3871.3 AU/ml (795.0-10,211.0) in hospital-treated patients (Table II).

Here hospital treated group had a more positive history of comorbidities than home treated group. Moreover, the hospital treated patients with history of comorbidity developed more antibodies than home treated patients (Table III).

**Table I** Distribution of the respondents according to their symptoms (N=77)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Home Treated (n=37)</th>
<th>Hospital Treated (n=40)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fever, cough, anosmia, respiratory distress</td>
<td>4(10.8%)</td>
<td>27(67.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever with cough</td>
<td>13(35.1%)</td>
<td>8(20.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever, cough, anorexia, vertigo</td>
<td>2(5.4%)</td>
<td>4(10.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>9(24.3%)</td>
<td>0(0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anosmia</td>
<td>6(16.2%)</td>
<td>0(0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weakness, headache</td>
<td>2(5.4%)</td>
<td>1(2.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>1(2.7%)</td>
<td>0(0.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table II** Distribution of the respondents according to IgG (AU/ml) status (N=77)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Home Treated (n=37)</th>
<th>Hospital Treated (n=40)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median with range of IgG(AU/ml)</td>
<td>316.1 (53.0-929.0)</td>
<td>3871.3 (795.0-10211.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data were expressed as median (Inter Quartile Range, IQR).
DISCUSSION

In this cross-sectional study, SARS-CoV-2 infection and rate of hospitalization was more in males than females. This finding was consistent with a recent study in Chicago done by Gomez et al.\textsuperscript{17} The study mentioned that males had higher hospitalization rates (19% vs 13%). Another cross-sectional study in Italy done by Vahidy et al. also reported that males compared to females were 17.0% vs 14.6%.\textsuperscript{18} Respiratory distress (67.5%) was more predominant manifestation observed in hospital-treated patients. Home-treated patients presented mostly fever with cough, anosmia etc. In agreement with the study of Pritchard G M et al. mentioned, hospitalized SARS-CoV-2 patients manifested fever (69%), cough (68%) and shortness of breath (66%) mostly.\textsuperscript{19} In this study IgG was measured and compared between home and hospital treated groups. The median value of IgG was found significantly higher in hospital-treated patient group. These findings were in agreement with Liu et al. that reported a significant difference (p < 0.05) of IgG levels between mild and severe cases. This study observed that IgG level is lower in home treated SARS-CoV-2 patients.\textsuperscript{20}

This study observed that hospital treated SARS-CoV-2 patients had a previous history of comorbidities such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus and both diabetes and hypertension. A retrospective cohort study done by Mejia et al. reported that most of the patients (68.56%) had at least one comorbidity. This study in Peru mentioned more frequency of diabetes mellitus (21.95%) and hypertension (21.68%) were recorded among patients.\textsuperscript{21}

It was reported that hospital-treated patients with a history of comorbidity developed more antibodies than home-treated patients. A study by Jin et al. investigated about serum antibodies in hospitalized patients with comorbidities like hypertension in older age. IgG persisted at a high level up to 8 weeks (130.6 ± 25.0 AU/ml).\textsuperscript{22}

**Conclusion**

The antibody level of hospital-treated patients was significantly higher than that of home treated COVID-19 patients.
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\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\footnotesize
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|}
\hline
Co morbidity of the patients & Home Treated (n=37) & IgG level (Median) with range of home treated group AU/ml & Hospital Treated (n=40) & IgG level (Median) with range of hospital treated group AU/ml \\
\hline
HTN, DM & 4 (10.8%) & 354.0 & 6 (15.0%) & 2232.6 \\
& & (59.0-929.0) & & (1303.5-4941.7) \\
DM & 1 (2.7%) & 251.6 & 12 (30.0%) & 4193.0 \\
& & (53.0-462.0) & & (1090.1-6654.0) \\
HTN & 6 (16.2%) & 312.0 & 10 (25.0%) & 4877.5 \\
& & (157.6-720.9) & & (1904.6-14,693.0) \\
None & 26 (70.3%) & 336.8 & 12 (30.0%) & 3871.3 \\
& & (56.0-896.0) & & (795.0-9767.0) \\
\hline
Total & 37 (100%) & 40 (100.0%) & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Distribution of IgG level with the history of co-morbidity (N=77)}
\end{table}
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