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Abstract

Background: Root canal system, when becomes infected is managed by thorough debridement and specific shaping of the root canal. It is to be followed by a specific type of filling. The ultimate goal of these approaches is to create an environment in which the body’s immune system can produce healing of the apical periodontal attachment apparatus. Thorough debridement and shaping are carried out usually by biomechanical preparation of root canal system along with intracanal medicament. The use of intracanal medicaments is still debatable. This study was designed to evaluate the usefulness of root canal treatment without using any biomedical preparation.

Methods: The study was carried out in the Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, BSMMU, Dhaka July 2003 ......to July 2004. In the present study, 36 cases of endodontically involved infected teeth were treated by conventional root canal treatment without using intracanal medicaments and patients were followed up at 6 and 12 months after root canal treatment.

Results: At 6 and 12 months follow up, an overall treatment success was revealed in clinical and radiological findings.

Conclusion: It is the biomechanical preparation of the canal which decides the success rate of root canal treatment of infected teeth, not the use or nonuse of intracanal medicament.
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Introduction

The microbial ecosystems in an infected root canal have been directly linked to both acute and chronic inflammation. The goal of clinical treatment is to completely disrupt and destroy the bacteria involved in the endodontic infection. Pulp and periodical pathosis are basically a reaction to bacteria and bacterial products. A number of studies supported this view that pulpal and/or periapical tissue pathosis do not develop without the presence of bacterial contamination.1-3 This can be a direct response to caries, micro-leakage of bacteria around fillings and crowns or bacterial contamination after trauma, either and crowns or bacterial contamination after trauma, either physical or iatrogenic.

Biomechanical preparation and the use of canal medicament and shaping up the canal are important in treating infected root canals. Most of the indications for intracanal medicaments are questionable, and should not be used as an alternative to thorough cleaning and adequate shaping of the root canal. The use of intracanal medicaments is still debatable. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of proper biochemical preparations instead of use of intracanal medicament in infected root canal.
Methods
This prospective comparative study carried out in the department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, BSM Medical University (BSMMU), Shahbagh, Dhaka. 36 (Thirty six) patients with infected tooth irrespective of age, sex and tooth numbers were studied. All patients included have painful, swollen, carious teeth with radiological evidence of periradicular rarefaction. Patients with irreversible palpitis were excluded from the study. Patients were treated without any use of intracanal medicament. Data’s were complied after a follow up period of 6 and 12 months and statistical analysis were made. Unpaired student’s ‘t’ test and Chi square tests were performed and a value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Total 36 patients of endodontically involved infected teeth with or without periradicular pathosis were studied irrespective of age, sex and number of teeth. Thirty one (86.11%) cases were symptomatic and included in this study: pain in 29 (93.55%), swelling in 20 (64.52%), discharging sinus in 1 1 (35.48%) and tenderness to percussion in 24 (77.41%) (Table I).

Table I. Preoperative findings of the study subject
(n=31)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>At presentation</th>
<th>At 6 month</th>
<th>At 12 month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>29(93.55)</td>
<td>3(9.68%)</td>
<td>4(12.90%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swelling</td>
<td>20(64.52%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (3.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharging sinus</td>
<td>1(35.48%)</td>
<td>1(3.3%)</td>
<td>1(3.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenderness to</td>
<td>24(77.41%)</td>
<td>2(6.45%)</td>
<td>2(6.45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>25(80.64%)</td>
<td>28(90.32%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After 6 months of treatment, 5 (80.64%) had no symptoms and 6 (19.36%) had symptoms: pain in 3 (9.68%), percussion pain in 2 (6.45%) and discharging sinus in 1 (3.23%). After 12 months of treatment, 28 (90.32%) had no symptoms and 3 (9.68%) had symptoms: pain in 4 (12.90%), percussion pain in 2 (6.45%), swelling in 1 (3.32%) and discharging sinus in 1 (3.23%) (Table I). Twenty six cases had radiolucency, of whom 19 cases had radiolucent area <5 mm diameter and 7 cases had >5 mm radiolucent area (Table II). Table II shows follow up at 6 and 12 months after treatment and different outcome in radiological finding. Overall among 31 cases those were treated without intracanal medicament, 27 (87.11%) cases came out successful, 3(9.67%) cases remained doubtful and only 1(3.22%) case failed.

Table II. Radiological evaluation of periapical radiolucency (n=20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Periapical radiolucency</th>
<th>At 6 months</th>
<th>At 12 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No change</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced size</td>
<td>15 (75)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased size</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disappeared</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>13 (65)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion
Endodontic treatment is a valid alternative to extraction. It is always a great desire for patient to retain their natural teeth and endodontics are becoming more aggressive in RCT procedure. It has been shown by many author that toxic intracanal medicaments hamper periapical healing and even destroy the periapical tissue. So, if infected root canals are effectively treated without intracanal medicament, only by proper biomechanical preparation this ensures the new horizon in the management of infected teeth. In this study 36 cases of endodontically involved infected teeth with or without periradicular pathosis were managed by conventional RCT. All of the cases were treated by conventional RCT without intracanal medicament.

Individual rate of effectiveness of the therapeutic procedures has been reported in many studies, there is lack of published literature of the success rate treatment procedures. Overall success rate was 87.11%. This result shows that proper biomechanical preparation without use of intracanal medicament is a valid procedure with good prognosis. The result of this study was supported by that of Temple University study, which shows a success rate, was 93% in infected root canal.

Conclusion
Use of intracanal medicament in infected root canal is widely accepted. But proper biomechanical preparation
of the canal predicts the success in therapy. The success rate in patients treated without intracanal medicament was 87.11% which is satisfactory. It can be concluded that it is the biomechanical preparation of the canal which decides the success rate of root canal treatment of infected teeth, not the use or nonuse of intracanal medicament.
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