Abstract

Objective: To present results from the Bangladesh cohort of the A1chieve study receiving insulin detemir (Levemir) ± oral anti diabetic drugs. Methods: Out of 1093 patients recruited from 49 sites in Bangladesh, 370 were initiated on insulin detemir (Levemir). Study visits were defined as baseline, interim (around 12 weeks from baseline) and final (around 24 weeks from baseline) visit. Results: Glycaemic control was poor in all the groups at baseline. In the entire cohort at 24 weeks, significant reductions from baseline were observed in mean HbA1c (from 10.0 % to 7.2%, p<0.001), FPG (from 10.5 to 6.7 mmol/L, p<0.001) and PPPG (from 15.3 to 8.9 mmol/L, p<0.001) levels. Overall 45.5% of the participants achieved target HbA1c level of < 7% after 24 weeks. The rate of all hypoglycaemic events in the entire cohort reduced from 1.34 (baseline) to 0.12 events/person year after 24 weeks of insulin detemir therapy (p<0.0001). There was no clinically relevant change in body weight in insulin naïve or prior insulin users groups after 24 weeks of insulin detemir therapy. Conclusions: The current study suggests that insulin detemir may be considered as a safe and effective option for initiating insulin therapy for type 2 diabetes in Bangladesh.
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also emphasizes on individualization of treatment goals. It suggests addition of basal insulin to metformin in patients with high baseline HbA1C levels of ≥9%. Basal insulin formulations try to restore the normal physiology, which is often impaired in diabetes. Developing therapeutic insulin, which can be injected with low frequency, especially once a day, with a similar and reproducible pharmacodynamic (PD) profile of normal basal insulin secretion is a challenge. Conventional basal insulin preparations (Ultralente and neutral protamine Hagedorn (NPH) insulin) lack these desired properties. They are available as suspension and have pronounced peak effect and high variability, which is associated with nocturnal hypoglycaemia. The above deficiencies with conventional human insulin have led to the development of insulin analogues. Insulin detemir, a long acting basal insulin analogue, has a deletion of the terminal B chain amino acid (B30), and a fatty acid side chain (myristic acid) attached at B29 position. These changes facilitate dimeric complexes to form in the injection depot of detemir and also enable binding with albumin. Thus PD profile of insulin detemir matches with that of endogenous basal insulin and is associated with fewer shortcomings than traditional insulin, i.e. pronounced peak effect, increased risk of hypoglycaemic events, substantial within-patient variability, shorter duration of action and the potential need for multiple daily dosing. Many randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have shown that use of insulin detemir significantly reduces HbA1C and blood glucose levels and is associated with very low risk of hypoglycaemia. Real life clinical studies have also shown improvement in glycaemic control with reduced risk of hypoglycaemia and less weight gain, when insulin detemir has been used in combination with oral glucose lowering drugs (OGLDs). The study was carried out in 28 countries across four continents to evaluate the clinical safety and effectiveness of insulin analogues in routine clinical use.

Basal insulin detemir (Levemir) and other analogues were initiated based upon the discretion of the practicing physician and the participant. There were no defined study related procedures. Safety and efficacy was measured during the routine clinical practice. Study visits were defined as baseline, interim (around 12 weeks from baseline) and final (around 24 weeks from baseline) visit. The time period of 4 weeks prior to the baseline visit, was defined as a pre-study period. The data was collected from physicians’ clinical notes, patient’s recall and patient self-monitoring diary, and was then recorded in a standard case report (CRF).

Participants
The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of Declaration of Helsinki and good clinical practice guidelines. Ethics committee approval before study commencement, and signed informed consent from all participants prior to participation in the study was obtained. Inclusion and exclusion criteria were intentionally kept minimal in order to reflect the real life clinic practice. Patients were included only if they had never been treated with insulin detemir (Levemir) or if
they have started within 4 weeks prior to enrollment. Women who were pregnant, breast-feeding or had the intention of becoming pregnant were excluded. Patients were taken into the study upon providing a voluntary signed informed consent. However they were free to withdraw at any time during the course of the study. Safety events were reported according to the protocol.

**Assessments and outcome measures**

The primary objective of this study was to evaluate the clinical safety of analogues with respect to the number of serious adverse drug reactions (SADRs), including major hypoglycaemic events, between baseline and final visit. Secondary safety assessments were the change in number of hypoglycaemic events in the last 4 weeks before interim and final visits, compared with the last 4 weeks before baseline visit, the change in number of nocturnal hypoglycaemic events during these periods and the number of adverse drug reactions (ADRs) from baseline to final visit. Major hypoglycaemic events were defined as events with severe central nervous system symptoms, consistent with hypoglycaemia, for which the person was unable to self-treat, and accompanied by plasma glucose <3.1 mmol/L or 56 mg/dL, or reversal of symptoms after either food intake or glucagon or intravenous glucose administration. Minor hypoglycaemia was any event, with or without symptoms of hypoglycaemia, with a plasma glucose reading below 3.1 mmol/L or 56 mg/dL that the participant was able to self-treat. Nocturnal hypoglycaemia was defined as a symptomatic event consistent with hypoglycaemia that occurred during sleep between bedtime after the evening insulin injection and before getting up in the morning.

Effectiveness of therapy was determined from the change in HbA1c, fasting plasma glucose (FPG), postprandial plasma glucose (PPPG) and body weight between baseline and interim and final visits, and change in systolic blood pressure (SBP) and lipid profile at final visit. In addition, the effect of insulin analogue therapies on HRQoL of the participants was also evaluated. HRQoL was measured using the EQ-5D questionnaire and EQ visual analogue scale (EQ VAS) at baseline and after 24 weeks of therapy with insulin analogues. EQ VAS included a rating for an individual’s current state, measured by a standard vertical 20 cm scale with score ranging from 0 (worst imaginable health) to 100 (best imaginable health). EQ-5D questionnaire consisted of five dimensions (mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression) which was scored as 1, 2 or 3 depending on the level of severity. These different dimensions were converted to a single utility value, anchored by ‘1.00’ representing full health and ‘0.00’ representing the state ‘dead’.

Participants were recruited between January 2009 and June 2010 and a total of 66,726 people were included in the study. In this paper, we present the results of analysis conducted on the data of participants from the Bangladesh, treated with Insulin detemir (Levemir, Novo Nordisk, Denmark).

**Statistical Analysis**

The sample size calculation for the entire global cohort was based on the number of patients (60,000) exposed for 6 months required to confirm a frequency of ≤15 events/100,000 patient-years of any one SADR, including major hypoglycaemic events, at the 95% confidence level. Statistical analyses were performed for the entire cohort and for the entire cohort classified as insulin-naïve or prior insulin users. Descriptive statistics were used to summarise continuous variables and frequency tables (number and percentage) were used for discrete variables. All statistical analyses were two-sided, with 5% significance level, unless otherwise stated. For the change in hypoglycaemia from baseline, the percentage of patients reporting at least one event was analysed using Fisher’s exact test. The change from baseline in HbA1c, FPG, PPPG, SBP, body weight, blood lipids and HRQoL was analysed using a paired t-test using baseline and end-of-study values. Data analyses were performed by Novo Nordisk using SAS (Version 9.1.3).

**Results**

A total of 370 patients participated in the study. The participant characteristics for the entire cohort divided as insulin-naïve and prior insulin users are shown in the Table-I. Patients in the prior insulin user group had longer duration of diabetes than the insulin-naïve cohort (8.6 yrs vs. 6.3 yrs), had a higher BMI (26.4 kg/m² vs. 25.1 kg/m²) and increased body weight (68.0 kgs vs 65.2 kgs). Baseline HbA1c was almost similar in both the cohorts (10.1% vs 10.0%). Also, the age was same in prior insulin users and insulin naïve cohorts (50.4 yrs vs. 50.1 yrs).
Blood glucose values and Insulin dose
Treatment with insulin detemir (Levemir) for 24 weeks led to significant improvement in the magnitude of glycaemic control. In the entire cohort, the mean reduction in HbA1c was 2.8 %, while mean reductions in FPG and PPPG were 3.8 mmol/L and 6.4 mmol/L respectively. These parameters also showed reductions of similar extent in the insulin naïve patients and prior insulin users. In the entire cohort, 45.5% of the participants achieved target HbA1c level of < 7% after 24 weeks of insulin detemir (Levemir) therapy. At end of study, 45.2% of insulin naïve and 46.3% of prior insulin users reached target HbA1c level of <7% following insulin detemir (Levemir) treatment (Table-II).

In the insulin-naive cohort, total daily insulin dose at 24 weeks had been titrated up to 15.9 ± 5.1 U/day. In prior insulin users, pre-analogue insulin dose was 30.3. ± 14.0 U/day, total starting insulin dose was 21.9. ± 7.4 U/day and at 24 weeks was 19.8 ± 6.5 U/day. Furthermore, the pattern of OGLDs use in the patients also changed during 24 weeks of the study. Use of sulfonylurea (SU)

Table I
Baseline characteristics of the Bangladesh cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Entire cohort</th>
<th>Insulin naïve</th>
<th>Prior insulin users</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, M/F† (%)</td>
<td>200 (54.1) / 170 (45.9)</td>
<td>153 (56.3) / 119 (43.8)</td>
<td>47 (48.0) / 51 (52.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>50.2 (11.2)</td>
<td>50.1 (11.5)</td>
<td>50.4 (10.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of diabetes (yrs)</td>
<td>6.9 (5.3)</td>
<td>6.3 (5.3)</td>
<td>8.6 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bodyweight (kgs)</td>
<td>66.0 (9.5)</td>
<td>65.2 (8.8)</td>
<td>68.0 (11.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI‡ (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.4 (3.4)</td>
<td>25.1 (3.2)</td>
<td>26.4 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>10.0 (1.2)</td>
<td>10.0 (1.2)</td>
<td>10.1 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†-Male/female; ‡- body mass index; Data expressed in Mean (Standard deviation) for all variables except N and Sex

Table II
Effectiveness of insulin detemir (Levemir) in controlling hyperglycaemia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Full cohort(n=370)</th>
<th>Insulin naïve(n= 272)</th>
<th>Prior insulin(n=98)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>10.0 (1.2)</td>
<td>10.0 (1.2)</td>
<td>10.1 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 weeks</td>
<td>7.2 (1.1)</td>
<td>7.2 (1.1)</td>
<td>7.3 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change</td>
<td>-2.8 (1.2)**</td>
<td>-2.8 (1.2)**</td>
<td>-2.8 (1.2)**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proportion with HbA1c<7%

|                      |                     |                      |                     |
| Baseline             | 1.1                 | 1.1                  | 1                   |
| 24 weeks             | 45.5                | 45.2                 | 46.3                |

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)

|                      |                     |                      |                     |
| Baseline             | 10.5 (1.6)          | 10.6 (1.7)           | 10.4 (1.5)          |
| 24 weeks             | 6.7 (0.8)           | 6.7 (0.8)            | 6.8 (0.9)           |
| Change               | -3.8 (1.7)**        | -3.9 (1.7)**         | -3.6 (1.5)**        |

Post prandial plasma glucose (mmol/l)

|                      |                     |                      |                     |
| Baseline             | 15.3 (2.1)          | 15.3 (2.1)           | 15.4 (2.0)          |
| 24 weeks             | 8.9 (1.2)           | 8.9 (1.2)            | 9.1 (1.3)           |
| Change               | -6.4 (2.1)**        | -6.4 (2.2)**         | -6.3 (1.9)**        |

Data expressed in Mean (Standard deviation) for all variables unless mentioned otherwise * p<0.05, ** p<0.001 compared to baseline
increased from 64.3% at baseline to 74.3% at 24 weeks. However, the use of metformin decreased from 45.6% at baseline to 30.6% at the end of the study period. Thiazolidinediones (TZDs) use also decreased from 23.1% to 9.7% during the study.

Hypoglycaemia
At baseline, overall 38 hypoglycaemic events (1.34 events/person year) were observed in 38 (10.3%) individuals of the entire cohort. After 24 weeks of therapy, overall only 3 (0.12 events/person year, \(p<0.0001\)) events of hypoglycaemia occurred in 2 (0.6%) patients. A remarkable reduction in overall hypoglycaemic events was observed in the prior insulin users from 3.85 events/person year at baseline to 0.14 events/person year at 24 weeks (\(p<0.0001\)). There was also a significant reduction in the rate of hypoglycaemia in insulin naïve patients from 0.43 events/person year to 0.10 events/person year (\(p=0.02\)). Minor hypoglycaemic events in the entire cohort decreased significantly from 3.71 events/person year to 0.14 events/person year following treatment with insulin detemir (Levemir). Nocturnal hypoglycaemia was also significantly reduced following treatment with insulin detemir (Levemir) and was prominent in prior insulin users where it fell from 3.85 events/person year to 0.0 events/person year (Table-III).

Body weight and Blood Pressure
Following treatment with insulin detemir (Levemir) for 24 weeks, there was no clinically relevant change in body weight in insulin naïve, or prior insulin users groups (Table-III). Total cholesterol level for the entire cohort decreased significantly from 6.2 ± 1.5 mmol/L to 4.3 ± 0.2 mmol/L after 24 weeks of therapy. There was a mean reduction of 5.0 mm Hg in the SBP of the entire cohort from 128.7 ± 13.1 mm Hg at baseline to 123.7 ± 6.4 mm Hg at 24 weeks (\(p<0.001\)). The reduction in SBP following insulin detemir (Levemir) therapy was higher in prior insulin users compared to insulin naïve patients.

Quality of Life:
a. Entire cohort
A significant improvement was seen in the HRQoL (as measured by EQ VAS scale i.e. on a scale 0-100) from 56.1 points at baseline to 83.0 points at 24 weeks (\(p<
Improvement in other dimensions of quality of life was also evident from baseline to 24 weeks.

**b. Insulin-naïve or insulin experienced populations**
An increase in HRQoL score (as measured by EQ VAS scale) was seen in both the insulin naïve and prior insulin users group. In the EQ-5D score, insulin naïve patients had an improvement of 0.189 points while prior insulin users reported a change of 0.170 points.

**Discussion**
The present subgroup analysis was carried out to assess the safety, effectiveness and change in HRQoL parameters in Bangladeshi Achieve study participants treated with insulin detemir (Levemir). Results showed that the HbA1c levels reduced from 10% at baseline to 7.2% at 24 weeks of therapy in the entire cohort. Interestingly, similar extent of reduction in HbA1c was observed in insulin naïve and prior insulin users (i.e. reduction by 2.8% in both the groups).

In addition, clinically significant reductions in FPG and PPPG values were observed in the entire cohort. Although effectiveness of insulin detemir (Levemir) in controlling the blood glucose of insulin naïve patients could have expected, its effect in insulin experienced patients was not entirely anticipated. Our observations are consistent with that of PREDICTIVE study where there was marked decrease in HbA1c, fasting blood glucose (FBG) and within-patient variability in FBG in the subgroups who had switched to detemir plus OADs from older basal insulins (NPH or glargine) plus OADs. In the entire cohort, 45.5 % of patients reached target levels of HbA1c<7%, within the span of 24 weeks following insulin detemir (Levemir therapy). However, a study by Hermansen et al, had reported that 70% of insulin naïve type 2 diabetics achieved target levels of HbA1c following 24 weeks of insulin detemir therapy. The lower percentage of Bangladeshi patients achieving HbA1c target levels could possibly be due differences in healthcare practices, food and lifestyle patterns. Overall, the analysis suggests that type 2 diabetics in Bangladesh achieve good glycemic control with insulin detemir (Levemir), similar to other populations receiving this drug.

The UK prospective diabetes study (UKPDS), had shown that every 1.0% reduction in HbA1c is associated with a 43% reduction in the risk of amputation or death from peripheral vascular disease, a 37% reduction in microvascular disease, and a 16% reduction in heart failure. Various population-based studies conducted in Bangladesh at different times have revealed an increasing trend of diabetes prevalence in rural and urban populations. Data from the Bangladesh Institute of Research and Rehabilitation for Diabetes, Endocrine, and Metabolic Disorders (BIRDEM) patient registry has shown that Bangladeshis are developing T2DM at an earlier age than in the past. Moreover, the INTERHEART Study - a global case–control study of risk factors for acute myocardial infarction (MI) - reported that the mean age of MI among Bangladeshis (51.9 years) was 6 years lower than the non-South Asians (58.8) and the lowest among all South Asians. Therefore, nearly 3% reduction in HbA1c levels achievable with insulin detemir (Levemir) in this at-risk population may translate into possible clinical benefits in terms of significant reductions in diabetes related complications if the patients sustain on this treatment.

Intensive insulin therapy while improving glycaemic control is associated with increased risk of hypoglycaemia, leading to significant morbidity and mortality particularly in elderly or frail type 2 diabetics. Insulin detemir is well tolerated in patients with T2DM, and episodes of major hypoglycaemia have been documented in less than 10% of patients who receive the drug. In our study, the incidence of major hypoglycaemia following use of insulin detemir was absent at 24 weeks. Moreover, the incidence of nocturnal hypoglycaemia also reduced from 0.84 events/person-year at baseline to 0.04 events/person-year at 24 weeks. In the German cohort of Predictive study, major hypoglycaemic episodes had been significantly reduced by 55% and 51% respectively in prior NPH and glargine insulin users who switched to insulin detemir. An earlier study has shown that the incidence of nocturnal hypoglycaemia with bed time insulin detemir was 50% lower than with bed time NPH. Results from a recent trial revealed that in comparison to NPH, the risk of hypoglycaemia at any time of day was 47% lower with insulin detemir while the risk of nocturnal hypoglycaemia was 55% lower. The PREDICTIVE BMI study which was a 26-week, randomized, controlled trial of 277 overweight or obese adults with uncontrolled T2DM, revealed that the incidence of hypoglycaemia was lower in patients who had received insulin detemir compared to NPH insulin.
In our study, the risk of hypoglycaemia was markedly reduced with insulin detemir (Levemir) possibly due to lower intra individual variability in blood glucose. No clinically significant change in the body weight was observed at 24 weeks of insulin detemir (Levemir) therapy. Weight gain is usually a matter of concern for type 2 diabetic patients on insulin therapy. The use of insulin detemir is not associated with weight gain possibly due to factors like decreased hypoglycaemic episodes with less defensive eating, minimal adipogenesis and reduction in appetite.

The safety related findings of the present study carry more significance as have been obtained during routine clinical practice and are more realistic than those observed within the controlled environment of clinical trials. The low incidence of hypoglycaemia and no significant change in weight therefore suggests that Bangladeshi diabetics can tolerate insulin detemir (Levemir) therapy satisfactorily. Furthermore, for long term therapy of such patients, insulin detemir may present itself as a safe yet effective alternative to other insulins including NPH and glargine, due to lower risk development of breast and prostatic cancers.

Conclusion

The results of the subgroup analysis suggest that significant improvement in glycaemic control is possible in Bangladeshi type 2 diabetic patients with the use of insulin detemir (Levemir). Moreover, such improved control of blood glucose can be achieved with reduced risk of hypoglycaemia and weight gain. Therefore, insulin detemir (Levemir) may be considered as a safe and effective option for initiating as well as intensifying insulin therapy for T2DM in Bangladesh.
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