Role of Diabetic Dyslipidemia on Coronary Atherosclerotic Severity in Acute Coronary Syndrome

Golam Mahfuz Rabbani¹, Afzalur Rahman², Anisur Rahman Khan³, Nur Hossain⁴, Muhammad Badrul Alam⁵, Amiruzzaman Khan⁶, Khondoker Asaduzzaman⁷

Abstract:
Aims: To evaluate the association of coronary atherosclerotic severity in diabetic dyslipidemic patients of acute coronary syndrome.

Methods: This was a cross sectional comparative analytical study, done in the Department of Cardiology, Sir Salimullah Medical College Mitford Hospital and Ibrahim Cardiac Hospital & Research Institute, Dhaka, during September 2009 to August 2010.

Results: Most of the patients (57.5%) were in the age range of 40-70 years. Atherosclerotic severity in diabetic ACS patients was significantly higher with low HDL, high TC/HDL and high LDL/HDL ratio. Low HDL, high TC/HDL and high LDL/HDL ratio are indicators of the extent and severity of coronary artery disease. More frequent dyslipidemia in diabetic ACS patients were low HDL and it was about 54%.

Conclusion: Atherosclerotic severity in diabetic acute coronary syndrome patients was significantly more in dyslipidemic group than non dyslipidemic group.
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Introduction:
By the year 2025, there will be more than 300 million type 2 diabetes sufferer worldwide. This epidemic will be followed by a wave of cardiovascular disease. As because, diabetes is in fact a serious vascular disease with poor prognosis.¹

Acute coronary syndrome (ACS) is one of the life-threatening manifestations of coronary artery disease, includes from unstable angina, acute myocardial infarction (non–ST elevation and ST elevation) and sudden cardiac death.²

Diabetic patients presenting with an acute coronary syndrome are more likely to have a larger culprit lesion, higher incidence of multivessel disease and left main stem disease (Morgan et al, 2004). Dyslipidemia is an abnormal amount of lipid, e.g. total cholesterol ≤ 200 mg/dl and/or LDL-cholesterol ≤ 130 mg/dl and/or HDL-cholesterol ≥ 36 mg/dl and/or triglyceride ≤ 150 mg/dl in the blood.³

The relationship between coronary artery disease (CAD) and diabetic dyslipidemia in acute coronary syndromes has been rarely demonstrated in clinical and epidemiological studies. The extent of CAD in subtypes of patients with DM is unknown.²

As morbidity and mortality of diabetic ACS patient is more due to severity of coronary atherosclerosis, so we set out
to examine if patients with dyslipidemia have more severe CAD when compared to nondyslipidemic patients.

**Patients and Methods:**
This was a cross sectional comparative analytical study, done in the Department of Cardiology, Sir Salimullah Medical College Mitford Hospital and Ibrahim Cardiac Hospital & Research Institute, Dhaka during September 2009 to August 2010. All diabetic acute coronary syndrome patients admitted in those hospitals during the specified period were included in the study considering the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The diagnosis of ACS was made in patients who presented with retrosternal chest pain of 20 minutes or more with electrocardiographic changes (T wave inversion > 1.0mm, ST-segment depression > 1 mm and or ST-segment elevation > 1 mm) or Troponin-I levels > 0.06 ng/ml.

Type 2 diabetes was diagnosed by taking documented past history of DM, RBS >11.1 mmol/L or FBS > 7 mmol/L or Hba1c was > 7 mmol/L. Serum lipid profile (total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, and triglyceride) was measured as early as possible (within 24 hours).

When serum lipid profile showed total cholesterol > 200 mg/dl and/or LDL-cholesterol > 130 mg/dl and/or HDL-cholesterol < 36 mg/dl and/or triglyceride > 150 mg/dl then they were considered as dyslipidemic patients and if total cholesterol d" 200 mg/dl, LDL-Cholesterol d" 130 mg/dl, HDL-Cholesterol e"36 mg/dl and triglyceride d"150 mg/dl then they were considered as nondyslipidemic patients.

All patients underwent coronary angiography. Two criteria were considered for coronary artery disease: percentage of obstruction and number of vessels affected. Where the main artery lesion were scored as for the presence of lesions (>50%) in the proximal-3, middle -2 and distal segment-1; as well as for the degree of obstruction (<50% = 1; 50-70% = 2; >70% = 3). The secondary artery lesion were scored only as for the degree of obstruction (50-70% =1; >70% = 2). Score was also divided into mild (when score <9), moderate (when score 9 to 17) and severe (when score >17).

**Statistical Analysis:**
Data were analyzed by the SPSS version 11.5 software program. Confidence interval was set at 95% level. Results were considered to be statistically significant if p value was < 0.05, very significant if p value was <0.01, and highly significant when p value was <0.001.

**Results:**
The study was done in Cardiology Department of Sir Salimullah Medical College and Mitford Hospital, Dhaka, with the collaboration of Ibrahim Cardiac Hospital & Research Institute, Dhaka, during the period of September 2009 to August 2010. This study was carried out to identify the association of dyslipidemia with severity of coronary atherosclerosis in diabetic acute coronary syndrome patients.

A total of 123 patients were included in this study. Out of 123 patients 31 were non-dyslipidemic and 92 were dyslipidemic diabetic ACS patients. There was no significant difference (p=0.06) between the mean age of dyslipidemic (57 years) and nondyslipidemic (53 years) patients. No significant difference (p=0.104) in BMI was observed between this two groups.

Normal epicardial coronary artery and single vessel disease (SVD) were more in nondyslipidemic patients compared to dyslipidemic patients (22.6% vs 0% and 64.5% vs 14.1%). On the other hand double vessel disease (DVD) and triple vessel disease (TVD) were more in dyslipidemic patients group compared to nondyslipidemic patients group. So, the difference between the two group was highly significant (p = 0.0001).

Type A, type B and type C lesions (all types) were more in dyslipidemic patients compared to nondyslipidemic patients (55.4%, 76.1%, 51.1% vs. 48.4%, 35.5%, 22.6%). However, type A lesion was not significantly different between two groups (p=0.496) but type B lesion and type C lesion were highly significant between the two groups (p=0.0001, p=0.006).

Mean percentage of stenosis in three main coronary arteries (LAD, RCA, and LCX) were significantly higher in dyslipidemic group than that of nondyslipidemic group (p=0.0001, p=0.01 and p=0.0001). No left main (LM) disease found in nondyslipidemic patients but 12% dyslipidemic patients had LM disease. So the difference was significant (p<0.05). LAD, LCX & RCA lesions were significantly more in dyslipidemic compared to nondyslipidemic patients (p<0.05). The difference were highly significant especially in LAD and RCA.

Table- II shows that mild score was more in nondyslipidemic patients compared to dyslipidemic patients (90.3% vs. 7.6%); while moderate and severe score were more in dyslipidemic compared to nondyslipidemic patients.
nondyslipidemic patients group (65.2% vs. 6.5% and 27.2% vs. 3.2%). So the difference between the two group was highly significant (p=0.0001).

The above table (III) showed that there was negative correlation between atherosclerotic lesion score and HDL cholesterol (\( r^2 = -0.557 \) and \( p = 0.0001 \)), so this correlation is highly significant. Atherosclerotic lesion score and TC/HDL ratio had positive correlation (\( r^2 = 0.444 \) and \( p = 0.0001 \)) also the same positive correlation was existed between atherosclerotic lesion score and LDL/HDL ratio (\( r^2 = 0.395 \) and \( p = 0.0001 \)). So, HDL cholesterol, TC/HDL ratio and LDL/HDL ratio were highly significant. But other lipid fractions had no significant correlation.

The risk of severe atherosclerotic disease was higher in dyslipidemic patients than nondyslipidemic patients, as Odds ratio was 40.76 (>1). So, dyslipidemia was significant risk factor for severe atherosclerotic disease.

The risk of multivessel disease was higher in dyslipidemic patients than nondyslipidemic patients, as Odds ratio was 41.01 (>1). So, dyslipidemia was significant risk factor for multivessel disease.

### Table-I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Non-dyslipidemic (n=31)</th>
<th>Dyslipidemic (n=92)</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lesion score</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.81±4.08</td>
<td>13.98±4.37</td>
<td>-10.277</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data were analysed by using student’s t test. The table (I) shows that atherosclerotic lesion score was more in dyslipidemic patients group than nondyslipidemic patients group (13.98±4.37 vs. 4.81±4.08), which was highly significant (p =0.0001).

### Table-II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesion Score</th>
<th>Nondyslipidemic (n=31)</th>
<th>Dyslipidemic (n=92)</th>
<th>( \chi^2 ) - value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild Score</td>
<td>28(90.3)</td>
<td>7(7.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Score</td>
<td>2(6.5)</td>
<td>60(65.2)</td>
<td>77.926*</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Score</td>
<td>1(3.2)</td>
<td>25(27.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data were analyzed by using Chi-square(\( \chi^2 \)) Test; Mild Score = Score < 9. Moderate Score = Score 9 to 17. Severe Score = Score > 17.

### Table-III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Correlation Co-efficient “r”</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TC</td>
<td>-.063</td>
<td>0.492 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>-.557</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>0.963ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>-.010</td>
<td>0.917ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC/HDL ratio</td>
<td>.444</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL/HDL ratio</td>
<td>.395</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHDL ratio</td>
<td>.065</td>
<td>0.475ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion:
Diabetes is in epidemic proportion in our country and over half (75%) of all diabetic patients die of coronary artery disease presenting as acute coronary syndrome. Tight glycemic control have benefit on microvascular disease but it show no benefit on macrovascular disease, such as coronary artery disease. Increased incidence of hypertension, insulin resistance and adverse lipid profile in diabetic patients predisposes to coronary artery disease. An atherogenic pattern of lipoprotein changes (low HDL, high TG and small size LDL) is often present for years prior to development of fasting hyperglycemia and diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus. In the presence of hyperglycaemia LDL becomes glycosylated and is poorly recognized by the low density lipoprotein receptor. It is scavenged by tissue macrophages creating the foam cell, a constituent of the atherosclerotic plaque. So, lipid status is more important in CAD of diabetic patient.

This cross-sectional analytical study revealed that dyslipidemia in diabetic patients were associated with higher risk of development of severe coronary atherosclerosis in terms of number of vessel involvement, type of lesions, percentage of obstruction and lesion score.

In this study 97 (78.86%) patients were male and 26 (21.14%) patients were female. Male female ratio was 3.73: 1. Female patients comprise a small part of the present study. In Bangladesh, almost all of the study reported an overwhelming majority of male patients. This study also showed that mean age of both group was around 56 years. Hochman et al. (1988) also showed that fewer women than men had myocardial infarction (36.6 percent vs. 47.6 percent, P<0.001) and their average age was 56.

The analysis showed that most patients in this study population had dyslipidemia 74.8% (especially low HDL). Nasir et al. (2008) also showed that dyslipidemia in diabetic patient 76%, which is almost similar to my study.

In this study in general, dyslipidemic patients had more multivessel disease and complex type B and type C lesions. Left main disease was found only in dyslipidemic group. Morgan et al. showed that diabetic patients have a higher incidence of multivessel disease, left main stem disease and complex type C lesion.

In this study, the mean levels of lipid variables did allow the discrimination between the presence and absence of multivessel coronary artery disease. The analysis of HDL showed that this variable decreased with the number of vessels affected. Patients with single-vessel disease had HDL levels of 40.65±8.51 md/dl, whereas those with multivessel disease had levels of 31.21±9.28 md/dl (p=0.0001). Several studies agree that low levels of HDL are correlated with the presence and severity of CAD.

In addition, the analysis of severity of coronary artery disease using the score system showed that the variable HDL, TC/HDL and LDL/HDL were also significantly correlated with the atherosclerotic lesion score. HDL had negative correlation (inversely related) with atherosclerotic lesion score. TC/HDL and LDL/HDL had positive correlation with atherosclerotic lesion score. Similar relationship with low HDL shown by Murphy et al. (2007) and positive correlation of TC/HDL and LDL/HDL with coronary lesion score were showed by Penalva et al. (2008).

Therefore, this finding reinforces the importance of the measurement of HDL, TC/HDL ratio and LDL/HDL ratio. They are indicators of extent and severity of the coronary artery disease. Penalva et al. (2007) thus suggesting that the imbalance between TC and HDL levels plays a more important role in the pathophysiology of atherogenesis. It is important to consider that the atheroprotective function of HDL is not restricted to reverse cholesterol transport, but can also transport antioxidant enzymes, break down oxidized lipid fractions, and neutralize their proinflammatory effects.

Patients of diabetic acute coronary syndrome was my study population and low HDL, high TC/HDL and LDL/HDL ratio were the markers of severe coronary artery disease. Fresco et al. reported that lowering lipid level occur 24 hours after an attack of acute coronary syndrome. So it is important to measure lipid profile at admission to know the exact value and identify patients of higher potential risk.

Conclusion and Recommendation:
Atherosclerotic severity in diabetic acute coronary syndrome (ACS) patients were significantly more in dyslipidemic group.

The findings of this study emphasize the need for assessment of the lipid profile of diabetic ACS patients at admission, so to identify patients of higher potential risk.
Multicentred broad based study in Bangladesh will help to support the findings and observations of this study.
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