Association of B-Type Natriuretic Peptide Levels With Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate in Different Stages of Chronic Kidney Disease And Relevant Echocardiographic Changes
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ABSTRACT

**Background:** B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) is a biomarker of cardiovascular disease that is common in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD). The influence of glomerular filtration rate (GFR) on BNP in CKD may stem from failure to account fully for the effects of coexistent cardiac disease, dysfunction and volume overload.

**Material & Methods:** This is a cross sectional descriptive type of observational study conducted by the Department of Nephrology of Anwer Khan Modern Medical College Hospital during the period of Jan 2016 to Dec 2016.

**Results:** A total number of 71 patients were included in the study. Out of them 42(59.15%) were male and 29(40.85%) were female with a M:F of 1.45:1. The mean age of the patients was 62.54±13.92 years (Range 18-90 years). Mean e GFR was 21.81±15.01 ml/min/1.73 body surface area, mean Serum Creatinine was 5.04±3.29 mg/dl, mean Hb% was 10.90±1.5 gm/dl and that of BNP was 1335.03±1838.43 Pg/ml and that of Ejection Fraction (EF) was 50.05±12.61%. 21.12%(15) were on Stage 3 CKD (Chronic Kidney Disease) and 33.80% (24) and 45.08% (32) were on Stage-4 and Stage-5 respectively. There was a strong and highly significant inverse association between BNP and e GFR (p<0.001), with higher BNP level observed in those with lower eGFR. BNP is directly proportional to Serum Creatinine which is significant (p<0.001). BNP rises as Serum Creatinine rises. Regarding echocardiographic changes, almost all the patients 69(97.18%) had Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH). 24(33.80%) had Left ventricular (LV) diastolic dysfunction, 19(26.76%) had LV systolic dysfunction and dilated LV and rest of them 28(39.44%) had good biventricular function. 35 (49.29%) patients had mild pericardial effusion (PE) and 39(54.92%) had hypokinesia in different cardiac wall. Most of the patients 65(91.54%) had mild mitral regurgitation (MR), tricuspid regurgitation (TR), aortic regurgitation (AR).

**Conclusion:** Our goal was to provide compelling evidence of the association of renal function and BNP, with the full expectation that additional prospective studies will be required to validate and better define this relationship. Ideally, clinicians need clear guidelines regarding BNP and heart failure that include consideration of eGFR. Currently most institutions, including our own, continue to use BNP cutoff diagnostic levels that have not been adjusted for eGFR. Our data emphasized that a single BNP measurement must be evaluated in conjunction with the entire clinical presentation, particularly the level of renal failure.
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Introduction
The natriuretic peptide (NP) system is a family of structurally similar but genetically distinct circulatory peptide hormone from either myocardial cell origin (atrial and B-type) or endothelial cell origin (C-type). The NP system functions in the regulation of blood pressure, and electrolyte and volume homeostasis. Binding of B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) to its receptors in blood vessels or kidneys initiates diuretic, natriuretic, and vasorelaxant activities. Therefore, the cause of an elevated BNP level is multifactorial in origin and may reflect cardiac dysfunction, and/or changes in renal function. Atrial NP and BNP levels have been proposed as biomarkers for congestive heart failure (CHF), predictors of mortality and biomarkers for drug efficacy in patients with heart failure or left ventricular hypertrophy. Increased mortality in chronic renal failure is most frequently caused by congestive heart failure and/or coronary heart disease. Since renal dysfunction intrinsically was shown to affect BNP levels in some studies, the diagnostic value of BNP levels in the presence of chronic kidney disease has been questioned. Prior studies have involved small patient populations and outcome data reported were variable. Thus, to contribute clinical value, BNP measurements need to be explored within the context of renal function when developing patient treatment strategies. The goal of this study was to further evaluate the relationship between estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and BNP concentration in a large retrospective cohort of patients with varying levels of renal function.

Material and Methods
This is a cross sectional descriptive type of observational study conducted by the Department of Nephrology of Anwer Khan Modern Medical College Hospital during the period of Jan 2016 to Dec 2016. Intending sample size depending on the coverage of the patient during the study period. Inclusion criteria includes 1. Documentation of a BNP level and a serum creatinine on the same day. 2. >18 years of age on test date. 3. Patients presented either as clinic out patients, in the hospital emergency room or were hospital in patients. Exclusion criteria includes patients on RRT (Renal replacement therapy) (on dialysis or transplantation) were excluded from the study.

Result
A total number of 71 patients were included in the study. Out of them 42 (59.15%) were male and 29 (40.85%) were female with a M:F of 1.45:1. The mean age of the patients was 62.54±13.92 years (Range 18-90 years). Mean e GFR was 21.81±15.01 ml/min/1.73 body surface area, mean Serum Creatinine was 5.04±3.29 mg/dl, mean Hb% was 10.90±1.5 gm/dl and that of BNP was 1335.03±1838.43 Pg/ml and that of left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was 50.05±12.61% (Table-1). 21.12% (15) were on Stage 3 CKD (Chronic
Kidney Disease) and 33.80% (24) and 45.08% (32) were on Stage-4 and Stage-5 respectively (Table-2). There was a strong and highly significant inverse association between BNP and e GFR (p<0.001), with higher BNP level observed in those with lower eGFR (Table-3). BNP is directly proportional to Serum Creatinine (Table-4) which is significant (p<0.001). BNP rises as Serum Creatinine rises. Regarding echocardiographic changes, almost all the patients 69(97.18%) had Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH). 24(33.80%) had Left ventricular (LV) diastolic dysfunction, 19(26.76%) had LV systolic dysfunction and dilated LV and rest of them 28(39.44%) had good biventricular function. 35(49.29%) patients had mild pericardial effusion (PE) and 39 (54.92%) had hypokinesia in different cardiac wall. Most of the patients 65(91.54%) had mild mitral regurgitation (MR), tricuspid regurgitation (TR), aortic regurgitation (AR) (Table-5).

Table-I: Biochemical parameters of the Patients:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biochemical Parameters</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (ml/min)</td>
<td>21.81 ± 15.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum Creatinine (mg/dl)</td>
<td>5.04 ± 3.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin (%)</td>
<td>10.90 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNP (Pg/ml)</td>
<td>1335.03 ± 1838.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>50.05 ± 12.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: eGFR- estimated glomerular filtration rate, BNP- B-type Natriuretic peptide LVEF- Left ventricular ejection fraction

Table-II: Distribution of Patients according to Staging of CKD:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staging</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage-3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage-4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>33.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage-5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>45.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: CKD- chronic kidney disease

Table-III: Relationship of BNP with eGFR:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BNP</th>
<th>eGFR</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1335.03 ± 1838.43</td>
<td>21.81 + 15.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.001(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: BNP- B-type Natriuretic peptide, eGFR- estimated glomerular filtration rate

Table-IV: Relationship of BNP with Serum Creatinine:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BNP</th>
<th>Serum Creatinine</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1335.03 ± 1838.43</td>
<td>5.04 + 3.29</td>
<td>&lt;0.001(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: BNP- B-type Natriuretic peptide

Table-V: Distribution of Patients according to Echocardiographic changes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Echocardiographic changes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LVH</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>97.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV diastolic dysfunction</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>33.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV systolic dysfunction and dilated LV</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>26.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good biventricular Function</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>39.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild PE</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>49.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall hypokinesia</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>54.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild MR, TR, AR</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>91.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: LVH- left ventricular hypertrophy, LV- left ventricle, MR-mitral regurgitation, TR-tricuspid regurgitation, AR-aortic regurgitation

Discussion

In our study mean age of the patient was 62.54 ± 13.92 years, whereas in a study of Rajat Tagore et al the mean age was 60 ± 11 years and that of 63.9 ± 12.3 years in the study of Takayoshi Tsutamoto et al. Both of which were close to our study. Mean LVEF(left ventricular ejection fraction) in Rajat Tagore et al study was 71 ± 6%, eGFR 38 ± 14 ml/min/1.73m² BSA and median BNP was 59 Pg/ml. And in Takayoshi Tsutamoto et al study mean LVEF was 38.6 ± 11.2%, eGFR 72.1 ± 32.9 ml/min/1.73m² BSA and BNP 189.8 ± 305 Pg/ml. In our study mean LVEF was 50.05 ± 12.61%, eGFR 21.81 ± 15.01 ml/min/1.73m² BSA and BNP
1335.03+1838.43 Pg/ml which were not similar to their study. Mean BNP was comparatively higher in our study. In the study of Takayoshi Tsutamoto et al mean Serum creatinine was 1.05+0.8 mg/dl, but in our study it was 5.04+3.29 mg/dl which was different from their study11. It is observed that most of their patients were from stage 3 CKD(75%) whereas most of our patients were from stage 5 CKD.

B-type natriuretic peptide belongs to a family of natriuretic proteins whose physiological role is maintenance of sodium homeostasis and protection of the cardiovascular system from volume overload12. Studies like the one by Masson et al13 of a cohort of 3916 patients with heart failure, suggested that BNP and NT BNP were independent markers correlating strongly with outcomes of CHF including: mortality, morbidity and hospitalization. Other factors which correlated with BNP levels reported by these authors included age, NYHA class, ventricular function, body mass index, cardiac arrhythmia, ischemia, diuretics, bilirubin, creatinine, and C-reactive protein. In their study of 213 subjects, Vickery et al.7 were among the first investigators to demonstrate that as renal function declined, BNP levels increased, especially among the subset of patients with Ventricular hypertrophy. In our study most of the patients had LVH in echo cardiogram. De Filippi et al14 similarly found that among 389 patients with and without decompensated heart failure, those with eGFR >60 mL/min/1.73 m² had lower BNP levels than patients whose eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m². Outcomes of other studies6,15 however, suggested to Tagore et al16 that the relationship between renal function and BNP levels may be most strongly dependent on cardiac and volume-related factors. To test this hypothesis, these investigators studied BNP levels in a cohort of 143 clinically euvolemic patients with chronic kidney disease in whom absence of heart disease was clinically validated. These authors concluded that plasma BNP levels were independent of GFR.16 Jourdian et al17 evaluated use of serial measures of BNP over time to evaluate response to angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, beta blockers and diuretics and demonstrated the potential utility of these measures in predicting death or hospitalization related to chronic heart failure. These authors excluded patients with chronic renal failure from their study.17 Suresh and Farrington18 conducted a study of BNP levels in dialysis patients and concluded that BNP levels were predictive of presence of left ventricular dysfunction, cardiac events and survival in the presence of end stage renal disease, suggesting that BNP levels may be informative across the full range of renal function and even in its absence.

Mark et al19 also performed a study for which they recruited patients to cover a range of renal function including patients on hemodialysis (n=55), with functional renal allografts (n=53) and 188 patients separated into quartiles based on renal function as determined by creatinine clearance measures. Patients were further evaluated for heart function by echocardiography for presence of left ventricular hypertrophy, dilatation, systolic and/or diastolic dysfunction, pharmacological treatment and blood chemistry. These authors concluded that, across the spectrum of renal function represented in their population, GFR exceeded ventricular function as the more important determinant impacting serum BNP levels. These authors further noted that in addition to GFR, hypoalbuminemia, anemia, use of beta blockers and age were significant confounders of serum BNP levels as has also been reported by others.20-24 Although subgroups of the Mark et al18 study were small, the authors cautioned that diagnostic utility of BNP in the presence of deteriorating renal function may be compromised to some extent.

In the present study, BNP was modeled as a function of eGFR and CHF classification. Differences in the relationship of BNP with eGFR in the presence and absence of CHF were explored. Patients with diagnostic codes for end stage renal disease, renal transplants or those on dialysis were excluded from these analyses to allow for evaluation in the presence of varying degree of renal function. Our data corroborated that a strong and highly significant inverse association between BNP and eGFR (P<0.001) was evident, with higher BNP levels observed as eGFR declined. In addition our study demonstrated that increasing severity of underlying congestive heart failure was associated with increasing BNP (P<0.001). In the study of Carmen L Wiley et al.25, 2009, also showed that there was higher BNP levels as eGFR declines.

Several investigators indicated that elevated BNP
concentrations can result from renal failure. Our results confirmed previous studies that concentrations were progressively higher in patients with progressively more advanced CKD, especially in patients with an eGFR of less than 60 mL/min/1.73 m² (CKD stage III). Decreased renal clearance raises BNP. The previous experimental study conducted by Vickery et al showed that NT-pro BNP was affected more than BNP with progression of CKD. One must consider renal function along with sex and LVEF when using BNP or NT-pro BNP as a cardiac biomarker. Takami et al found that patients with renal impairment had a greater level of serum BNP than patients with hypertension and normal renal function. Failure to consider renal status limits the diagnostic capabilities of BNP and NT-pro BNP.

Current literature has supported clear and convincing evidence of increasing BNP levels with worsening heart failure, but has lacked clear recommendations regarding renal function. Understanding the relationship of eGFR and BNP in the presence and absence of clinical heart failure is beneficial to clinicians’ understanding and interpretation of BNP levels when making diagnostic and treatment decisions.

Conclusion
Our goal was to provide compelling evidence of the association of renal function and BNP, with the full expectation that additional prospective studies will be required to validate and better define this relationship. Ideally, clinicians need clear guidelines regarding BNP and heart failure that include consideration of eGFR. Currently most institutions, including our own, continue to use BNP cutoff diagnostic levels that have not been adjusted for eGFR. Our data emphasized that a single BNP measurement must be evaluated in conjunction with the entire clinical presentation, particularly the level of renal failure.
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