Asian Journal of Medical and Biological Research

ISSN 2411-4472 (Print) 2412-5571 (Online) www.ebupress.com/journal/ajmbr

Article

Morpho-physiological and yield performance of grain sorghum genotypes

Shepon Chandra Ghosh¹, Soleh Akram², S.M. Ahsan³, Abdulla-Al-Asif^{4*} and Sayeed Shahriyar⁵

¹Department of Crop Botany, Faculty of Agriculture, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh

²Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh

³Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Patuakhali Science and Technology University, Bangladesh

⁴Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh

⁵Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh

*Corresponding author: Abdulla-Al-Asif, Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh. Mobile: +8801716838294; E-mail: jessoreboyhemel@gmail.com

Received: 26 July 2015/Accepted: 10 September 2015/ Published: 30 September 2015

Abstract: A pot experiment was carried out at the grill house of the Department of Crop Botany, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh during the period from December 2013 to April 2014 to study the growth, morpho-physiological, yield and yield contributing characters of twenty sorghum genotypes. The experiment was consisted of twenty genotypes of sorghum viz., BD 700, BD 701, BD 702, BD703, BD704, BD705, BD706, BD707, BD708, BD709, BD710, BD712, BD720, BD721, BD722, BD725, BD726, BD727, BD728 and BD729. The experiment was laid out in a Completely Randomized Design with three replications. The collected data were analyzed statistically and the means were adjudged by Duncan's multiple range test at 1% level of probability. Among the genotypes, BD 725 showed significantly the best performance on growth, yield and morphophysiological characters compare to other genotypes at harvest, while BD728 was less efficient among those parameters. As a result, highest plant height (251.20 cm), panicle plant⁻¹ (2.83), highest 1000-grain weight (27.10 g), highest grain yield (3.58 t ha^{-1}) , straw yield $(16.05 \text{ t ha}^{-1})$ and biological yield $(19.64 \text{ t ha}^{-1})$ was recorded from BD 725 at harvest. However, number of leaves, leaf area plant⁻¹ and Leaf area index had also significantly highest (18.33 and 5542.0 cm² and 2.81, respectively) in BD 725 at 90 days after sowing. BD 725 further recorded the highest growth of cumulative growth rate (32.54 g m⁻² day⁻¹) at the stage between 60–90 days after sowing thereafter they decreased. All the above growth, yield and morpho-physiological characters were less effective in BD728. On the basis of these results, BD 725 was found outstanding for plant growth, yield and morpho-physiological characters of sorghum.

Keywords: sorghum genotypes; growth performance, yield performance; genetic makeup; regional adaptability

1. Introduction

Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* Lenius.) is a self-pollinated diploid (2n=2x=20) C₄ grass with a high photosynthetic efficiency. Sorghum is one of the promising crops among the few resilient crops that can adapt well to future climate change conditions, particularly gradual increase of drought, soil salinity and high temperatures. Sorghum is the fifth most important cereal crop and is the dietary staple of more than 500 million people in 30 countries in the world. It is grown on 40 million ha of land in 105 countries of Africa, Asia, Oceania and the Americas. The USA, India, Mexico, Nigeria, Sudan and Ethiopia are the major producers. Other minor

producing countries include Australia, Brazil, Argentina, China, Burkina Faso, Mali, Egypt, Niger, Tanzania, Chad and Cameroon. Grain is mostly used as food (55%), in the form of flat breads and porridges (thick or thin) in Asia and Africa, and as feed (33%) in the Americas. Its Stover is an increasingly important source of dry season fodder for livestock, especially in Asia (ICRISAT, 2014). In Bangladesh, 254 tons of sorghum grains are produced annually from about 187 ha of land and average yield is 1.36 tons per hectare (FAOSTAT, 2014).

Sorghum is a principal source of energy, protein, vitamins and minerals for millions of the poorest people in the regions where it is cultivated. The protein content (11.3%) is nearly equal and is comparable to that of wheat and maize. Average starch content of the seeds 69.50 % and is relatively rich in iron, phosphorous and vitamin B-complex (ICRISAT, 2014).

Sorghum has potential uses (six F) such as, food (grain), feed (grain and biomass), fuel (ethanol production), fiber (paper), fermentation (methane production) and fertilizer (utilization of organic byproducts), thus it is an important crop in semi-arid and arid regions of the world. Sorghum is a crop for semi-arid regions in tropical and sub-tropical areas where moisture is a limiting factor for crop growth. It can be grown successfully throughout the country both under irrigated and rain-fed conditions. It has the potential of producing high green fodder yields (Ghasemi *et al.*, 2012). Sorghum grain is the staple food of poor and the most food-insecure people, living mainly in the semiarid tropics (Ali *et al.*, 2009; Bibi *et al.*, 2010). It performs better under adverse soil and weather conditions as compared to other crops (Ejeta and Knoll, 2007). However, sorghum grown in arid and semi-arid regions is influenced by water stress at terminal growth stages like anthesis and postanthesis which renders the most adverse effect on yield in sorghum (Prasad *et al.*, 2008).

In Bangladesh, it is also a cereal crop and ranks fifth among cereal crops (Ahmed et al., 2002). The crop is drought tolerant and moderately salt tolerant (Amiruzzaman et al., 1997) and it is the crop par excellence for dry areas (Arunachalam and Ram, 1967). However, sorghum has considerable further potential to be used as a human food as grain and in sorghum syrup or sorghum molasses and alcoholic beverage source as well as biofuels (Hill et al., 2009, Searchinger et al., 2008). The grains can also be popped in a similar fashion to popcorn (Fargione et al., 2008). In developing countries like Bangladesh the commercial processing of the locally grown grain into value-added food and beverage products is an important driver for economic development. In Bangladesh, this crop is traditionally grown in the northern district and in the Chittagong Hill Tracts. Traditionally sorghum cultivation districts of Bangladesh are Jamalpur, Kushtia, Pabna, Rajshahi, Sherpur, Meherpur, Bagerhat etc. Sorghum grain is mainly used for human food and feed for cattle. Moreover seeds are used for pop corn and preparing delicious food in our country. As the crop has potentiality to grow under adverse condition, minimum input and care, so its cultivation can be extended in dry and saline areas. Many practical applications were found to improve the production of several grain crops by exploiting a variation among genotypes in the quantity and quality of food output (Rai, et al., 2004). Yield performance of sorghum depends on the varietal characteristics and on regional adoptability. On the basis of the above aspects the present study was conducted to investigate the growth and yield performance of twenty high yielding grain sorghum genotypes at Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh (Agro Ecological Zone-9).

2. Materials and Methods

This study was conducted to assess the morpho-physiological and yield performance of grain sorghum genotypes during the period from December 2013 to April 2014. A pot experiment was carried out at the grill house of the Department of Crop Botany, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh. Geographically the experimental area is located at $24^{\circ}75'$ North latitude and $90^{\circ}50'$ East longitudes at the elevation of 18 m above the sea level.

2.1. Soil condition

The soil of the experiment was collected from the Crop Botany Field Laboratory of Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh. The collected soil belongs under the agro-ecological zone of Old Brahmaputra Floodplain (Agro Ecological Zone- 9).

2.2. Climate and weather

The experimental field was under subtropical climates characterized by heavy rainfall during the month of April to September and scanty rainfall during October to March. The monthly means of daily maximum, minimum and average temperature, relative humidity, total rainfall and sunshine hours received at the experimental site during the study period from December 2013 to April 2014.

2.3. Experimental materials

The seeds of twenty sorghum genotypes were used as the planting materials for this experiment. The twenty sorghum genotypes are as follows:

	U DD 510
$V_1: BD 700$	V ₁₁ : BD 710
V ₂ : BD 701	V ₁₂ : BD 712
V ₃ : BD 702	V ₁₃ : BD 720
V ₄ : BD 703	V ₁₄ : BD 721
V ₅ : BD 704	V ₁₅ : BD 722
V ₆ : BD 705	V ₁₆ : BD 726
V ₇ : BD 706	V ₁₇ : BD 727
V ₈ : BD 707	V ₁₈ : BD 728
V ₉ : BD 708	V ₁₉ : BD 725
V ₁₀ : BD 709	V ₂₀ : BD 729

2.4. Preparation of pots

In this experiment Poly Venyl Chloride pots were used. The diameter of each pot was 21 cm and height was 26 cm. Soils were collected from Crop Botany Field Laboratory of Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh. The collected soil was well pulverized and dried in the sun. Plant propagules and inert materials were removed from this soil. The dry soil was thoroughly mixed with manure and fertilizers before filling the pots. The pots of the experiment were filled with 9 kg of soils.

2.5. Fertilizer application

Fertilizer application in pots is given below in Table 1.

Table1. Fertilizer application in pots.

Fertilizers	Rates(kg ha ⁻¹)	Amounts pot ⁻¹ (g)
Urea	190	2.09
TSP	90	0.99
MP	90	0.99
Gypsum	50	0.55
ZnSO ₄	8	0.0879
Boron	25	0.275

The weight of 1 ha soil at the depth of 15 cm was considered 3×10^6 kg of soil. According to the above rate fertilizers were calculated as per kg of soil (BINA, 1999). For pot soil required fertilizers were three times than field soil. So, total amount of Urea (2.09g), TSP (0.99 g), MP (0.99 g), Gypsum (0.55 g), ZnSO4 (0.0879 g) and Boron (0.275 g) were mixed with sundry soil in each pot thoroughly.

2.6. Experimental design and sowing of seeds

The single factor experiment was laid out in a Completely Randomized Design with three replications. As there were 20 genotypes, the total number of pots used in this study was 60 (20×3). Seeds were sown on 15th December 2013. Ten seeds were sown in each pot and plants were thinned to two plants per pot at 30 days interval. The field layout of the experiment is shown in.

2.7. Harvesting, threshing and drying

The plants were uprooted carefully for data collection. The crop of each experimental pot was harvested separately at full maturity on 25th April to 30th April 2014. The harvested crop of each pot was bundled separately, tagged properly. The bundles were dried in sunshine and brought to a clean floor. Seeds and other plant parts were collected for each pot and were recorded data separately.

2.8. Collection of data

Experimental data were recorded on two randomly selected plants from each pot at 30, 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing. The selected plants were collected and necessary information was recorded accordingly. Grain

and straw yields were recorded pot wise on oven dry basis. The data on the following parameters were recorded:

Growth and morpho-physiological characters-

Plant height (cm), Number of leaves per plant, Leaf area (cm²), Leaf Area Index, Crop Growth Rate $(g m^{-2} day^{-1})$, Total dry matter (g).

Yield and yield contributing characters at harvest-

Panicle length (cm), Panicle/plant, 1000-grain weight (g), Grain wt. /plant (gm), Grain yield (t ha⁻¹), Straw yield (t ha⁻¹), Biological yield (t ha⁻¹).

2.8.1. Plant height

Plant height was measured in centimeter by a meter scale at 30 days after interval from 30 days after sowing up to harvest from the ground surface to the top of the main shoot and the mean height was expressed in cm.

2.8.2. Number of leaves per plant

Number of leaves per plant was counted at 30, 60, 90 days after sowing and at harvest. The leaves were counted and recorded from each plant.

2.8.3. Total dry matter

The plant parts such as shoot including leaves and roots were detached and were kept separately in oven at $80\pm2^{\circ}$ C for 72 hours. The oven dried samples were weighed for dry matter production. The total dry matter production was calculated from the summation of shoots and roots.

2.8.4. Leaf area plant⁻¹

Leaf area plant⁻¹ was calculated by the following formula– Leaf area= Length of leaf (cm) \times width of leaf (cm)

2.8.5. Leaf Area Index (LAI)

Leaf area index was measured by dividing leaf area per plant with surface area (cm²) covered by the plant. Leaf Area Index are measured by following equation.

Leaf Area Index = _____ Leaf area per plant (cm²)

Soil surface area covered by each plant (cm²)

2.8.6. Crop Growth Rate

The crop growth rate values at different growth stages were calculated using the following formula-

$$\mathrm{CGR} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{GA}} \times \frac{\mathrm{W}_2 - \mathrm{W}_1}{\mathrm{T}_2 - \mathrm{T}_1} \mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{day}^{-1}$$

Where, $W_1 =$ Total dry matter production at previous sampling date

 W_2 = Total dry matter production at current sampling date

 $T_1 =$ Date of previous sampling

 $T_2 = Date of current sampling$

 $GA = Ground area (m^2)$

2.8.7. No. of panicle plant⁻¹

Number of panicle plant⁻¹ was counted at the time of harvest.

2.8.8. 1000-grain weight (g)

One thousand cleaned dried grains were counted randomly from each sample and weighed by using a digital electric balance at the stage the grain retained 12% moisture and the mean weight were expressed in gram.

2.8.9. Grain yield (t ha⁻¹)

After harvesting, the grains were removed from the separated panicle and then dried in sun for 2–3 days. Finally, grain weights were taken on individual pot basis at moisture content of 12% and then converted into t ha^{-1} .

2.8.10. Biological yield (t ha⁻¹)

The biological yield was calculated with the following formula– Biological yield= Grain yield + Straw yield

2.9. Statistical analysis

The collected data were analyzed statistically following the analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique and the mean differences were adjudged by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) using the statistical computer package program, MSTAT^C.

3. Results

3.1. Plant height

The effect of twenty sorghum genotypes on plant height at 30, 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing was significant at 1% level. Results showed that plant height increased with age. At 30 days after sowing the maximum height of sorghum plant was recorded from the variety BD 725 (18.84 cm) and minimum from the variety BD 707 (5.28 cm), where all other genotypes recorded plant height ranges from 5.58 cm to 16.35 cm. At 60 days after sowing highest plant height (76.11 cm) was recorded from BD 725 and lowest (36.04 cm) from BD 710. At 90 days after sowing highest plant height (206.8 cm) was recorded from BD 725 and lowest (76.02 cm) from BD 728. At maturity twenty sorghum genotypes showed different plant heights ranging from 89.15 cm to 251.2 cm. The highest plant height was recorded in BD 725 (251.2 cm) and lowest plant height was recorded in BD 728 (89.15 cm), at 120 Days. All other genotypes recorded intermediate plant height, ranged from 92.30 to 239.2 cm. Effect of sorghum genotypes on plant height at different days after sowing are shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Effect of sorghum genotypes on plant height at different days after sowing where vertical bar indicates least significant differences at 1% level of probability.

3.2. Number of leaves plant⁻¹

The effect of sorghum genotypes on number of leaves plant⁻¹ at 30, 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing was significant at 1% level. In general, leaves increased up to 90 days after sowing and decreased thereafter at harvest (120 days after sowing). Maximum number of leaves plant⁻¹ at 30 days after sowing (12.33) was found in BD 725 which was statistically similar with BD 722 (11.33), BD 702 (12.00), BD 720 (11.33), BD 729 (11.33) and BD 726 (11.00), respectively. At 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing maximum number of leaves plant⁻¹ (13.97, 18.33 and 15.33 respectively) were obtained from BD 725. On the other hand, minimum number of leaves plant⁻¹ was recorded at 30 days after sowing in BD 728 (4.33), 60 days after sowing in BD 710 (7.66), 90 and 120 days after sowing in BD 728 (8.66 and 7.33, respectively). Effect of sorghum genotypes on number of leaves plant⁻¹ at different days after sowing are shown in Table 2.

Variety	Number of leaves/plant at different days after sowing			
	30	60	90	120
BD 700	9.00 b	12.33 abc	14.00 bcde	12.33 bcde
BD 701	8.00 bcd	10.67 cde	13.00 cdef	10.67 defg
BD 702	12.00 a	13.67 ab	15.00 bcd	13.00 abcd
BD703	8.33 bc	11.67 abc	14.33 bcde	11.67 bcdef
BD 704	9.00 b	11.00 bcd	13.00 cdef	11.00 cdefg
BD 705	8.00 bcd	10.67 cde	12.67 def	11.00 cdefg
BD706	8.33 bc	10.67 cde	12.33 efg	10.33 efg
BD 707	5.33 ef	8.00 ef	11.00 fgh	9.33 fgh
BD 708	6.00 def	8.66 def	11.00 fgh	9.66 fgh
BD 709	8.67 b	11.00 bcd	13.00 cdef	10.33 efg
BD 710	5.33 ef	7.66 f	10.00 gh	8.66 gh
BD 712	7.33 bcde	10.33 cde	12.67 def	11.00 cdefg
BD 720	11.33 a	13.70 ab	15.33 bc	14.00 ab
BD 721	6.33 cdef	8.66 def	11.00 fgh	9.66 fgh
BD 722	11.33 a	13.00 abc	14.33 bcde	12.67 bcde
BD 725	12.33 a	13.97 a	18.33 a	15.33 a
BD 726	11.00 a	13.67 ab	15.67 b	13.33 abc
BD 727	7.333 bcde	10.33 cde	12.00 efg	11.00 cdefg
BD 728	4.333 f	7.96 f	8.66 h	7.33 h
BD 729	11.33 a	13.67 ab	15.33 bc	13.67 ab
Least significant differences _{0.05}	1.89	2.33	2.21	2.17
Level of significance	1%	1%	1%	1%
Co-efficient of variation (%)	13.39	12.83	10.14	11.59

Table 2. Effect of sorghum genotypes on number of leaves plant⁻¹ at different days after sowing.

3.3. Leaf area

Statistical analysis of the data revealed that differences in average leaf area of different genotypes were significant at 1% level. The Maximum average leaf area plant⁻¹ at 30, 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing (830.70 cm², 1637.00 cm², 5542.00 cm² and 4992.00 cm², respectively) was obtained from variety BD 725. On the other hand, minimum average leaf area plant⁻¹ at 30, 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing (357.20 cm², 619.0 cm², 1157.00 cm² and 593.90 cm² respectively) was obtained from variety BD 728. Effect of sorghum genotypes on leaf area at different days after sowing are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Effect of sorghum genotypes on leaf area at different days after sowing where verticalbar indicates least significant differences at 1% level of probability.

3.4. Total dry matter

A significant variation (at 1% level) was found due to the effect of twenty genotypes of sorghum in respect of total dry matter at all growth stages. The highest total dry matter at 30, 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing (7.30 g, 66.19 g, 197.30 g and 269.0 g respectively) was obtained from variety BD 725. The lowest total dry matter was found at 30 days after sowing in BD 728 (3.34 g), at 60 days after sowing in BD 706 (35.98 g), at 90 and 120 days after sowing in BD 728 (89.34 g and 117.80 g, respectively). Effect of sorghum genotypes on total dry matter at different days after sowing are shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Effect of sorghum genotypes on total dry matter at different days after sowing here vertical bar indicates least significant differences at 1% level of probability.

Voriety	Leaf area index at different days after sowing			
Variety	30	60	90	120
BD 700	0.05 ghi	0.43 cde	1.54 e	1.43 e
BD 701	0.08 abcd	0.45 cd	1.94 d	1.78 d
BD 702	0.06 efg	0.36 ef	2.25 bc	2.05 c
BD 703	0.08 bcd	0.36 ef	1.89 d	1.71 d
BD 704	0.04 ghi	0.46 cd	1.10 g	1.03 g
BD 705	0.04 ghi	0.50 c	1.08 g	1.00 g
BD 706	0.03 ij	0.34 ef	1.60 e	1.38 ef
BD 707	0.03 ij	0.36 ef	1.05 g	1.01 g
BD 708	0.04 ghi	0.38 def	1.37 f	1.27 f
BD 709	0.07 cde	0.43 cde	1.62 e	1.52 e
BD 710	0.04 hij	0.36 ef	1.05 g	0.99 g
BD 712	0.05 efgh	0.42 cde	1.26 f	1.10 g
BD 720	0.08 cd	0.490 c	2.23 bc	2.07 c
BD 721	0.05 fghi	0.40 def	1.09 g	0.99 g
BD 722	0.08 cd	0.42 cde	2.37 b	2.22 b
BD 725	0.09 abc	0.69 a	2.81 a	2.62 a
BD 726	0.05 efgh	0.62 ab	2.20 c	2.09 bc
BD 727	0.07 def	0.60 b	2.16 c	2.06 c
BD 728	0.02 j	0.32 f	1.07 g	0.966 g
BD 729	0.08 cd	0.68 ab	1.90 d	1.68 d
Least significant differences _{0.05}	0.016	0.07	0.14	0.13
Level of significance	1%	1%	1%	1%
Co-efficient of variation	17.89	10.71	5.39	5.53

Table 3. Effect of sorghum genotypes on Leaf area index at different days after sowing.

3.5. Responses of genotypes on growth characters of sorghum **3.5.1.** Leaf area index

The effect of variety on leaf Area Index at 30, 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing was significant at1% level. The highest leaf area index was observed at 30, 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing in BD 725 (0.09, 0.69, 2.81 and 2.62, respectively). In contrast, the lowest Leaf area index was observed at 30 and 60 days after sowing in BD 728 (0.23 and 0.32, respectively), at 90 days after sowing in BD 707 (1.05) which was statically identical with BD 728 (1.00), BD 710 (1.06) and BD 721 (1.09), respectively. At harvest the lowest leaf area index was observed in BD 728 (0.96) which was statically identical with BD 707 (1.01), BD 710 (0.99), BD 705 (1.00) and BD 704 (1.03) respectively. It is observed from the data that leaf area index increased up to 90 days after sowing and decreased thereafter and the genotypes differed significantly at all the stages. Here Table 3 has shown that effect of sorghum genotypes on Leaf area index at different days after sowing.

3.5.2. Crop growth rate

The effect of variety on crop growth rate at, 30-60, 60-90 and 90-120 days after sowing was significant at 1% level. The highest crop growth rate was observed at 30-60, 60-90 and 90-120 days after sowing in BD 725 (5.15 $\text{gm}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$, 32.54 $\text{gm}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$ and 22.90 $\text{gm}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$ respectively). However, the lowest crop growth rate was observed at 30-60, 60-90 and 90-120 days after sowing in BD 728 (2.35 g m⁻² day⁻¹, 15.26 g m⁻² day⁻¹ and 10.08 g m⁻² day⁻¹, respectively). Effect of sorghum genotypes on Crop growth rate at different days after sowing are shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Effect of sorghum genotypes on Crop growth rate at different days after sowing where vertical bar indicates least significant differences at 1% level of probability.

3.6. Responses of genotypes on yield and yield contributing characters of sorghum **3.6.1.** Number of panicle plant⁻¹

The effect of variety on panicle number plant⁻¹ at 120 days after sowing was significant at 1% level. At 120 days after sowing the maximum number of panicle plant⁻¹ was found in BD 725 (2.83) which was statically identical with BD 722 (2.75) and the minimum number of panicle was noticed in BD 728 (1.00). All other genotypes showed intermediate values in panicle number plant⁻¹, ranged from 1.167 to 2.550.

3.6.2. Panicle length

The effect of variety on panicle length at 120 days after sowing was significant at 1% level. The highest panicle length was observed at 120 days after sowing in BD 725 (29.22 cm). However, the lowest panicle length was observed at 120 days after sowing in BD 728 (14.89 cm). All other genotypes showed intermediate values in panicle length, ranged from 18.65 cm to 27.41 cm. Effect of sorghum genotypes on panicle length at harvest are shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5. Effect of sorghum genotypes on panicle length at harvest where vertical bar indicates least significant differences at 1% level of probability.

3.6.3. 1000-grain weight

The effect of variety on 1000 grain weight was significant at 1% level. The highest 1000 grain weight was observed at 120 days after sowing in BD 725 (27.10 g). However, the lowest 1000 grain weight was observed at 120 days after sowing in BD 728 (15.20 g) which was statically with BD 707 (16.43 g). All other genotypes showed intermediate values in 1000 grain weight, ranged from 18.70 g to 24.41 g. Effect of sorghum genotypes on 1000–grain weight at harvest are shown in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Effect of sorghum genotypes on 1000–grain weight at harvest where vertical bar indicates least significant differences at 1% level of probability.

3.6.4. Grain weight plant⁻¹

The effect of variety on grain weight plant⁻¹ was significant at 1% level. The data on grain weight plant⁻¹ indicated that the variety BD 725 showed the best performance in terms of grain weight (44.83 g) at harvest in compared to all other genotypes. On the other hand, the minimum grain weight plant⁻¹ was recorded from BD 728 (30.27 g) at harvest. All other genotypes showed intermediate values in grain weight plant⁻¹, ranged from 31.47 g to 42.15 g (Table 7).

3.6.5. Grain yield

The effect of variety on grain yield was significant at 1% level. The highest grain yield was observed at 120 days after sowing in BD 725 (3.58 t ha^{-1}). However, the lowest grain yield was observed at 120 days after sowing in BD 728 (2.42 t ha^{-1}). All other genotypes showed intermediate values in grain yield, ranged from 2.51 t ha⁻¹ to 3.43 t ha^{-1} . Effect of sorghum genotypes on grain yield at harvest are shown in Figure 7.



Figure 7. Effect of sorghum genotypes on grain yield at harvest here vertical bar indicates least significant differences at 1% level of probability.

3.6.6. Straw yield

The effect of variety on straw yield was significant at 1% level. The results indicate that the highest straw yield $(16.05 \text{ t ha}^{-1})$ was observed in BD 725 which was statistically similar with BD 722 (15.81 t ha⁻¹) and BD 702 (15.67 t ha⁻¹) respectively. However, the lowest straw yield was observed at 120 days after sowing in BD 728 (10.05 t ha⁻¹). All other genotypes showed intermediate values in straw yield, ranged from 10.43 t ha⁻¹ to 15.81 t ha⁻¹. Effect of sorghum genotypes on straw yield at harvest are shown in Figure 8.



Figure 8. Effect of sorghum genotypes on straw yield at harvest here vertical bar indicates least significant differences at 1% level of probability.

3.6.7. Biological yield

The effect of variety on biological yield was significant at 1% level. The results indicate that the highest biological yield (19.64 t ha⁻¹) was observed in BD 725. On the other hand, the lowest biological yield was observed at 120 days after sowing in BD 728 12.48 t ha⁻¹. All other genotypes showed intermediate values in biological yield, ranged from 12.95 t ha⁻¹ to 19.18 t ha⁻¹. Effect of sorghum genotypes on Panicle/plant, grain wt. /plant and biological yield at harvest are shown in Table 4.

Variety	Panicle/plant	Grain wt. /plant (gm)	Biological yield (t ha ⁻¹)
BD 700	2.08 bcd	39.79 bcde	17.80 efgh
BD 701	2.08 bcd	36.55 efg	16.03 j
BD 702	1.50 efghi	41.81 ab	19.01 abc
BD 703	1.66 defgh	42.11 ab	18.19 cdef
BD 704	1.63 defgh	36.08 efg	17.21 ghi
BD 705	1.76 defg	37.72 cdef	16.51 ij
BD 706	1.30 ghi	37.83 cdef	14.53 kl
BD 707	1.16 hi	31.47 hi	12.95 mn
BD 708	1.72 defgh	34.48 fgh	14.93 k
BD 709	1.80 defg	40.48 bcd	17.71 fgh
BD 710	1.20 hi	33.46 ghi	13.59 m
BD 712	1.58 defgh	36.82 defg	14.99 k
BD 720	2.48 abc	42.85 ab	18.86 abcd
BD 721	1.41 fghi	35.16 fg	13.88 lm
BD 722	2.75 a	42.15 ab	19.18 ab
BD 725	2.83 a	44.83 a	19.64 a
BD 726	2.00 cde	38.15 cdef	18.05 defg
BD 727	1.93 def	37.77 cdef	16.87 hij
BD 728	1.00 i	30.27 i	12.48 n
BD 729	2.55 ab	41.39 abc	18.70 bcde
Least significant differences _{0.05}	0.48	3.22	0.938
Level of Significance	1%	1%	1%
Co-efficient of variation	16.10	5.11	3.43

Table 4. Effect of sorghum genotypes on Panicle/plant, grain wt. /plant and biological yield at harvest.

4. Discussion

The variation in plant height of sorghum was significant due to genetic makeup or characteristics. Plant height is one of the most important growth contributing characters for any corps which would be related on several factors like genetic makeup, nutrient availability, environmental or climatic condition, soil characteristics, regional adaptability etc. Similar findings were also obtained by Ghasemi *et al.* (2012) who reported that the different plant height of different sorghum varieties due to their genetic makeup and regional adaptability.

Maximum number of leaves plant⁻¹ at 30 days after sowing (12.33) was found in BD 725. At 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing maximum number of leaves plant⁻¹ (13.97, 18.33 and 15.33 respectively) were obtained from BD 725. On the other hand, minimum number of leaves plant⁻¹ was recorded at 30 days after sowing in BD 728 (4.33), 60 days after sowing in BD 710 (7.66), 90 and 120 days after sowing in BD 728 (8.66 and 7.33, respectively). The result coincides with the findings of Ayub *et al.* (2010) reported that eight sorghum cultivars/varieties had significant variation on number of leaves plant⁻¹. The variation in number of leaves had been due to the difference in genetic makeup of the varieties. Similar findings were also found by Faridullah *et al.* (2009) who found that the significant variation in leaf production of sorghum occurred due to their genetic makeup.

The Maximum average leaf area plant^{-1} at 30, 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing 830.70 cm², 1637.00 cm², 5542.00 cm² and 4992.00 cm², respectively was obtained from variety BD 725. On the other hand, minimum average leaf area plant^{-1} at 30, 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing 357.20 cm², 619.0 cm², 1157.00 cm² and 593.90 cm² respectively was obtained from variety BD 728. These results revealed that the leaf area increased up to 90 DAS and thereafter decreased at harvest. The present result was harmonized with the findings of Saberi *et al.* (2011) who reported that the leaf area in speed feed was reduced to 1391 cm² plant⁻¹, while the reduction was lower in KFS4. Similar findings were also found by Faridullah *et al.* (2009) in leaf production which was occurred for the genetic difference of sorghum varieties and by Uzoma *et al.* (2010) for Millet varieties.

The highest total dry matter at 30, 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing (7.30 g, 66.19 g, 197.30 g and 269.0 g respectively) was obtained from variety BD 725. The lowest total dry matter was found at 30 days after sowing in BD 728 (3.34 g), at 60 days after sowing in BD 706 (35.98 g), at 90 and 120 days after sowing in BD 728 (89.34 g and 117.80 g, respectively). All other genotypes showed intermediate total dry matter values at 30, 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing respectively. Al–Lahham, *et al.*, (2013) observed similar findings. Similar results

The highest leaf area index was observed at 30, 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing in BD 725 (0.09, 0.69, 2.81 and 2.62, respectively). In contrast, the lowest Leaf area index was observed at 30 and 60 days after sowing in BD 728 (0.23 and 0.32, respectively), at 90 days after sowing in BD 707 (1.05). At harvest the lowest leaf area index was observed in BD 728 (0.96). Similar findings was also observed by Channappagoudar *et al.* (2007) who conducted an experiment with 22 *rabi* sorghum genotypes resulted in sorghum genotypes differed significantly for the growth characters. The high yielding genotypes had higher leaf area index which is appeared to be optimum for maximum grain yield.

The highest crop growth rate was observed at 30-60, 60-90 and 90-120 days after sowing in BD 725 (5.15 gm⁻²day⁻¹, 32.54 gm⁻²day⁻¹ and 22.90 gm⁻²day⁻¹ respectively). However, the lowest crop growth rate was observed at 30-60, 60-90 and 90-120 days after sowing in BD 728 (2.35 g m⁻² day⁻¹, 15.26 g m⁻² day⁻¹ and 10.08 g m⁻² day⁻¹, respectively). The findings of Rao *et al.* (2003) were similar with this study where CGR had higher dry matter production at 30, 60 and 90 days after sowing.

At 120 days after sowing the maximum number of panicle plant⁻¹ was found in BD 725 (2.83) and the minimum number of panicle was noticed in BD 728 (1.00). The variation in number of panicle plant⁻¹ was found due to the variation of tiller production and also the genetic variations of the assigned sorghum genotypes.

The highest panicle length was observed at 120 days after sowing in BD 725 (29.22 cm). However, the lowest panicle length was observed at 120 days after sowing in BD 728 (14.89 cm). Panicle length was significantly influenced by the genotypic effect of sorghum at harvest. The findings of Shinde *et al.* (2010) were similar to this study.

The highest 1000 grain weight was observed at 120 days after sowing in BD 725 (27.10 g). However, the lowest 1000 grain weight was observed at 120 days after sowing in BD 728 (15.20 g). The variation in 1000–grain weight was found due to its genetic variation. Patil (2007) studied an experiment using eight sorghum genotypes and six sorghum hybrids and observed significant variations on thousand seed weight among the genotypes.

The data on grain weight plant⁻¹ indicated that the variety BD 725 showed the best performance in terms of grain weight (44.83 g) at harvest in compared to all other genotypes. On the other hand, the minimum grain weight plant⁻¹ was recorded from BD 728 (30.27 g) at harvest.

The highest grain yield was observed at 120 days after sowing in BD 725 ($3.58 \text{ t} \text{ ha}^{-1}$). However, the lowest grain yield was observed at 120 days after sowing in BD 728 ($2.42 \text{ t} \text{ ha}^{-1}$). Genotypic variations play an important role in determining the yield of crops and the potential of genotypes within genetic limits is set by its adaptability with the studied area. Al–Lahham, *et al.*, (2013) reported that the variation in grain yield among the cultivars may be attributed to many factors including the availability of nutrient in the soil and the gene responsible for the nutrient uptake. Bucheyeki *et al.* (2010) also found the similar genetic variation on yield.

The results indicate that the highest straw yield $(16.05 \text{ t ha}^{-1})$ was observed in BD 725. However, the lowest straw yield was observed at 120 days after sowing in BD 728 $(10.05 \text{ t ha}^{-1})$. Similarly, Abdel–Motagally (2010) studied on grain sorghum under water use efficiency in water regimes where Shandawell–6 cultivar recorded the highest values of head length (32.28 cm) head weight (96.53 g) and straw yield (26.11 kg plot⁻¹) while Giza–15 was the tallest cultivar (309.12 cm).

Highest biological yield (19.64 t ha⁻¹) was observed in BD 725. On the other hand, the lowest biological yield was observed at 120 days after sowing in BD 728 (12.48 t ha⁻¹). All other genotypes showed intermediate values in biological yield, ranged from 12.95 t ha⁻¹ to 19.18 t ha⁻¹. Biological yield data showed significant differences by the genotypic effect of sorghum at harvest.

5. Conclusions

From the above results investigation, it can be concluded that the variety BD 725 shows superior performance on morpho–physiological, growth and yield contributing characters of sorghum compare to other genotypes due to its genetic makeup and regional adaptability at Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh condition (Agro ecological zone 9). So, from the above facts, it can be concluded that, the variety BD 725 has outstanding superiority for plant growth, yield components and yield over other genotypes in this study.

Acknowledgments

I acknowledge National Science and Technology scholarship which is funded by Ministry of Science and Technology-Government of Peoples Republic of Bangladesh for contribution of financing the whole research project.

Conflict of interest

None to declare.

References

- Abdel–Motagally FMM, 2010. Evaluation of water use efficiency under different water regimes in grain sorghum (Sorghum bicolor, L. Monech). World J. Agric. Sci., 6:499–505.
- Ahmed AU, MA Sarker, JA Choudhury, N Ara and MM Ali, 2002. Genetic divergence analysis in chickpea. Bangladesh J. Agric. Res., 27:47–50.
- Amiruzzaman M, MA Yousuf–Akond, MAZ Choudhury and MM Houque, 1997. Genetic divergence in grain sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench). Bangladesh J. Plant Breed. Genet., 10:45–49.
- Ali MA, A Abbas, S Niaz, M Zulkiffal and S Ali, 2009. Morpho–physiological criteria for drought tolerance in sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) at seedling and post–anthesis stages. Int. J. Agric. Biol., 11:674–680.
- Al–Lahham G, M Khaiti and Shehab, 2013. Promising Sudangrass (Sorghum Sudanese) line for high fodder yield production. J. App. Sci. Res., 8:2215–2220.
- Arunachalam V and J Ram, 1967. Geographical diversity in relation to genetic divergence in cultivated sorghum. Ind. J. Genet., 27:369–376.
- Ayub M, MA Nadeem, M Tahir, A Ghafoor, Z Ahmed and M Naeem, 2010. Comparative studies on the growth, forage yield and quality of sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L.) varieties under irrigated conditions of Faisalabad. Pak. J. Life Soc. Sci., 8:94–97.
- Bibi A, HA Sadaqat, HM Akram and MI Mohammed, 2010. Physiological markers for screening sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) germplasm under water stress condition. Intl. J. Agric. Biol., 12:451–455.
- Bucheyeki TL, EM Shenkalwa, TX Mapunda and LW Matata, 2010. Yield performance and adaptation of four sorghum cultivars in Igunga and Nzega districts of Tanzania. Communications in Biomet. and Crop Sci., 5:4–10.
- Channappagoudar BB, NR Biradar, TD Bharamagoudar and CJ Rokhade, 2007. Morpho–physiological characters contributing for drought tolerance in sorghum under receding soil moisture conditions. Karnataka J. Agric. Sci., 20:719–723.
- Ejeta G and JE Knoll, 2007. Marker–Assisted Selection in Sorghum. In: Varshney RK, and Tuberosa R (eds.) Genomic–Assisted Crop Improvement: Vol. 2: Genomics Applications in Crops Springer Publications. The Netherlands. pp. 187–205.
- FAOSTAT (The Statistical Division of Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). 2014: Available at http://faostat3.fao.org/home/ index.html#DOWNLOAD. Accessed on 16.05.2014.
- Fargione J, J Hill, D Tilman, S Polasky and P Hawthorne, 2008. Land clearing and the biofuel carbon debt. Sci., 319:1235–1238.
- Faridullah, A Alam, MI Uddin, H Sher and IAM Ahmed, 2009. Comparison of different cultivars of sorghum for green fodder, dry matter and some other morphological characters. Bangladesh J. Env. Sci., 16:109–115.
- Ghasemi A, MH Karim and MM Ghasemi, 2012. Green Fodder Yield Performance of Different Varieties of Sorghum grown in an Arid Region. Intl. J. Agri. Crop Sci., 4:839–843.
- Hill J, S Polasky, E Nelson, D Tilman, H Huo, L Ludwig, J Neuman, H Zheng and D Bonta, 2009. Climate change and health costs of air emissions from biofuels and glasoline. Proc. Natl. Aca. Sci., 106:2077–2082.
- ICRISAT (International Crops Research Institute for the Semi- Arid Tropics) 2014: Available at http://www.icrisat.org/crop-sorghum.htm. Accessed on 16.05.2014.
- Patil SL, 2007. Performance of sorghum varieties and hybrids during postrainy season under drought situations in Vertisols in Bellary, India. J. SAT Agril Res., 5:1–3 (Online available at ejournal.icrisat.org).
- Prasad PVV, SR Pisipati, RN Mutava and MR Tuinstra 2008. Sensitivity of grain sorghum to high temperature stress during reproductive development. Crop Sci., 48:1911–1917.
- Rai KN, BVS Reddy, KB Saxena and CLL Gowda, 2004. Prospects of Breeding sorghum, Pearl millet and Pigeon pea for forage yield and quality. Proc. of the Int. Brisbane 26 Sep–1 Oct 2004–ISBN– 1920842209. Australia.

- Rao SS, PR More, VD Solunke, DV Kasalkar, DI Jirali, KN Pawar, BB Channappa Goudar and MS Shinde, 2003. Characterization and utilization of post rainy season sorghum land race germplasms for drought stress parameters in diverse environments. Proceedings of International Conference on Managing Natural Resources for Sustainable Agricultural Production in 21st Century, Feb. 14–18, 2000, New Delhi 2:770– 772.
- Saberi AR, H Siti Aishah, RA Halim and AR Zaharah, 2011. Morphological responses of forage sorghums to salinity and irrigation frequency. African J. Biotech., 10:9647–9656.
- Sarfraz M, N Ahmad, U Farooq, A Ali and K Hussain, 2012. Evaluation of sorghum varieties/lines for hydrocyanic acid and crude protein contents. J. Agric. Res., 50:39–47.
- Searchinger T, R Heimlich, RA Houghton, F Dong, A Elobeid, J Fabiosa, S Tokgoz and T Yu, 2008. Use of US croplands for biofuels increases greenhouse gases through emissions from land-use change. Sci., 319:1238–1240.
- Shinde DG, BD Biradar, PM Salimath, MY Kamatar, AR Hundekar and SK Deshpande, 2010. Studies on genetic variability among the derived lines of B x B, B x R and R x R crosses for yield attributing traits in rabi sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) MOENCH). Electronic J. Plant Breed., 1:695–705.
- Uzoma AO, PC Eze, M Alabi, K Mgbonu, JE Aboje and AO Osunde, 2010. The effect of variety and planting date on the growth and yield of pearl millet in the southern guinea savanna zone of Nigeria. J. Agric. Vet. Sci., 2:122–129.