Spot urinary protein/osmolality ratio as a predictor for proteinuria of nephrotic range

Salma Jahan¹, Md. Saiful Islam² and Md. Moazzam Hossain¹

¹Department of Pediatrics Nephrology; ²Department of Urology,
Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Shahbag, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Abstract

A prospective study was carried out on 50 patients (age 1-15 years) with nephrotic range of proteinuria to determine the correlation of 24-hour urinary total protein with spot urinary protein/creatinine ratio and urinary protein/osmolality ratio. Another 50 patients having no proteinuria grouped as control. Twenty-four hours urine and spot urine were collected from each child and were analyzed for total volume, total protein, creatinine and osmolality level. The average 24-hour urinary total proteins in nephritic patient were 2148.6 ± 808.7 mg and the spot urinary protein/creatinine and spot urinary protein/osmolality were 3.2332 ± 0.4293 mg/mg and 3.2418 ± 0.4393 mg/mOsm respectively. There was a strong positive correlation of the 24-hour urinary total protein with spot urinary protein/creatinine and protein/osmolality ratios (r=0.9846 and 0.9870, p= <0.001). But in control group, these ratios did not show any correlation with 24-hour urinary total protein. These results suggest that in pediatric patients with nephrotic range of proteinuria, the spot urinary protein/osmolality ratio can predict the 24-hour urinary total protein excretion like that of spot urinary protein/creatinine ratios.

Introduction

The examination of urine for proteinuria is significant in recognizing renal diseases. In addition, quantitative protein measurements have had great utility in evaluation for the diagnosis, prognosis, and effect of treatment. The routine urinalysis has a poor specificity-sensitivity profile for determining either the presence or absence of abnormal proteinuria or quantitative change in protein excretion¹.

The 24-hour urine protein excretion test is regarded as the standard for quantitative protein analysis and commonly used to evaluate patients with known renal disease. However, this quantitation requires a 24-hour urine collection, which is usually difficult, particularly in an out-patient setting or when patients are undergoing other laboratory testing. It is known that the semi-quantitative urine protein analysis or even quantitative random protein concentrations correlate poorly with the quantitative 24-hour urine protein measurements². However, the ratio of urinary protein to creatinine levels in a single voided urine sample has been reported as a simple index for the 24-hour urine protein level in some studies³, ⁴, ⁵, ⁶.

Although absolute 24-hour urine creatinine levels usually do not depend on the severity of glomerular injury. Fluctuating pattern in urinary creatinine excretion is observed throughout the day, depending on the degree of glomerular injury. Thus, pattern of excretion of creatinine and protein and the urinary protein/creatinine ratio may vary according to the severity and type of glomerular diseases. However, it is shown that protein/creatinine ratio in timed or un-timed random urine samples accurately reflect 24-hour urinary protein excretion⁷. For the measurement of proteinuria in children protein/creatinine ratio is more accurate than protein/osmolality ratio, but in adult it is equally accurate⁸. Morning urinary protein/osmolality ratio is a simple and potentially useful test for 24-hour urinary protein excretion, and can be used safely for the assessment of the degree of proteinuria in children⁹. The quantitative urinary protein/osmolality ratio is better than a qualitative
Urinalysis and urinary protein/creatinine ratio for detecting or assessing abnormal proteinuria and allows for a reasonable prediction of 24-hour protein level.

In this study, the correlations of 24-hour urinary protein with spot urinary protein/creatinine and protein/osmolality ratios were compared in a particular age group. The urinary protein/osmolality ratio is an easy process in the measurement of the proteinuria over 24-hour urinary sample collection. Like protein/creatinine ratio, its result does not vary with degree of glomerular injury. It is faster, less time-consuming, cost-effective and can be done from routine urine analysis.

**Materials and Methods**

This hospital-based cross-sectional study was carried out from July 2004 to June 2005. A total of 100 patients (age range 1-15 years) attending the Department of Pediatric Nephrology were included in this study. Fifty of them with nephrotic range of proteinuria were grouped as Group I and 50 patients having no proteinuria grouped as Group II. Samples were collected randomly as per inclusion and exclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria for Group I were nephrotic range of proteinuria detected by bedside heat coagulation test of urine for protein were positive (+3 or more) and that for Group II were those patients whose bedside heat coagulation test of urine for protein were negative (no precipitation). The exclusion criterion was impaired renal function.

Patients were instructed to collect their 24-hour urine sample at home or in hospital in a clean container with 1 ml toluene blue at room temperature and were taken to the laboratory where they again voided for spot sample which was collected in a separate container. Both the 24-hour and spot samples were then analyzed for total protein, creatinine, and osmolality levels. The 24-hour urinary total protein and the spot urinary protein/creatinine and protein/osmolality ratios were measured by microflow cell photometer and the results were in mg/dl, which were then multiplied by total volume of 24-hour urine for urinary total protein. For the measurement of urinary creatinine, 100 μl of 10 times diluted urine sample was taken along with 1,000 μl of reagent (picric acid 14 mM, NaOH 0.18 mM, Na tetraborate 10 mM, surfactant) and readings were taken in microflow cell photometer. The result was presented in mg/dl. The osmolality was measured by osmometer (OSMOMETER 800c1, Slamed) which is a compact microprocessor controlled analyzer for easy and precise osmolality tests. The measuring principle is the cryometric method and the measuring range covers 0 to 2,000 mOsm/1 kg H2O. The nephrotic range proteinuria means proteinuria ≥1 mg/m²/24-hour whereas normal range proteinuria means proteinuria ≤4 mg/m²/24-hour. The normal urinary protein/osmolality ratio is 0.12 or less. The nephrotic range protein/osmolality ratio is >2.5.

**Statistical analysis:** The amount of proteinuria was correlated with protein/creatinine and protein/osmolality ratio. All the values were then analyzed for statistical significance. The correlation coefficients test or r-test was estimated using:

\[ r = \frac{\sum (x-x) (y-y)}{\sqrt{\sum (x-x)^2 \cdot \sum (y-y)^2}} \]

The data were analyzed for test of significance with \( \chi^2 \)-test, t-test and r-test, using SPSS-WIN 12.0 versions. Probability (p) value <0.05 was considered as significant.

**Results**

Group I comprised of 28 males and 22 females with mean ± SD age of 6.29 ± 3.48 years. The range of body surface area was 0.472 m²-1.3 m² in Group I and 0.5 m²- 1.1 m² in Group II. The mean value of the 24-hour urinary total protein was 2,148.6 ± 808.75 mg/24-hour (range 1,150 - 4,686 mg/24 hour) and the spot urinary protein/creatinine and protein/osmolality ratios were 3.2332 ± 0.4293 mg/mg and 3.2418 ± 0.4349 mg/mOsm respectively (Table I). There was a strong correlation of the 24-hour urinary total protein with the spot urinary protein/creatinine (\( r = 0.9846 \), p <0.001) and protein/osmolality (\( r = 0.9870 \), p <0.001) ratios.

In Group II, there were 30 male and 20 female with mean ± SD age of 5.68 ± 2.96 years. The mean 24-hour urinary total protein was 83.62 ± 31.33 mg/24 hour (range 42-167 mg/24 hour) and the spot urinary protein/creatinine and protein/osmolality ratios were 0.3051 ± 0.0541 mg/mg and 0.3181 ± 0.0493 mg/mOsm respectively. There was no correlation with the spot urinary protein/creatinine (\( r = 0.1035 \), p >0.05) and protein/osmolality (\( r = 0.0699 \), p >0.05) ratios.
Table I: Correlation of 24-hour urinary total protein with the spot urinary protein-creatinine ratios (Uprot/Ucr) and protein/osmolality ratio (Uprot/Uosm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>24-hour urinary total protein (mg/24 hour)</th>
<th>Uprot-Ucr ratio (mg/mg)</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Uprot-Uosm ratio (mg/mOsm)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>2148.0 ± 808.7</td>
<td>3.2332 ± 0.4293</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>3.2418 ± 0.4393</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>83.6 ± 31.3</td>
<td>0.3051± 0.0541</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>0.3181 ± 0.0493</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Proteinuria occurs commonly in children and adolescent and seems not to imply serious renal disease when present in an isolated or intermittent pattern or in relationship to a change of posture12, 13. The amount of protein excreted in the urine has diagnostic and prognostic importance and is used to assess the effectiveness of therapy 14, 15, 16.

Several methods are used to detect urinary protein such as dipstick technology or sulfosalicylic acid for semi-quantitative measurements. The most common method for quantitating urinary protein relies on 24-hour urine collection. Obtaining accurately timed collection can be cumbersome and time consuming in a child and adult17, and more difficult in an young infant. So to find out a simple and effective method that can be substituted for the determination of protein excretion in 24-hour urine collection may be a reasonable goal. Commonly used quantitative random protein determination is a simple method, but correlate poorly with the quantitative 24-hour urine protein measurements. The reason for this poor correlation include: dehydration-hydration, which affects the concentration of urinary protein because the amount of protein excreted is independent of the volume of urine. It was shown that there is variability in protein excretion with altered glomerular filtration rate18.

The 24-hour urinary total protein levels in this study showed significant difference among the two groups. The findings of this study had a similarity with the findings of other studies. Houser (1984) and Kim et al., (2001) showed that the daily protein excretion in their adult volunteers having normal range of proteinuria but in patients sample they had higher range of proteinuria then our study as they had dealt with adult group of patients6, 9.

Morgenstern et al., (2003) showed ratios in relation to age but their overall findings were not similar to this study because of wide range of age. They have randomly included healthy children of particular age8.

Hooman et al., (2004) showed urinary protein/osmolality ratios in their study and the findings agree well with non-proteinuric group but slightly differ in proteinuric group of the present study. Because they had included all grades of proteinuria in their study but they had not separately described the nephritic range of proteinuria and also the age range was slightly higher19.

At the same time the urinary total protein and spot urinary ratio of Group I had also shown strong positive correlation in this study. This study has the similar statistical significance with other studies20, 19, 8, 9, 21. But Hooman et al., (2004)19 and Kim et al., (2001)9 in their study had used early morning urine samples and concluded that early morning urine sample can significantly predict the amount of protein excretion by measuring the urinary-protein osmolality ratio. Morgenstern et al., (2003)8 studied with random urine sample and concluded that in children the measurement of protein/creatinine ratios serve the screening purpose better than the protein osmolality ratio to measure urinary protein but in adult both the ratio had equally accurate. David et al., (1993)5 in a study compare the protein/osmolality and protein/creatinine ratio with 24-hour urine protein levels with first morning sample and also random urine sample. They found linear correlation for both the ratio with both sample. The findings of the present study differs from other study in non pathologic proteinuria group of patients, where there was no correlation for both protein/creatinine and protein/osmolality ratios. This might be due to the fact that in the present study samples were collected randomly at any time of the day as per patient convenience. But in other studies the authors used early morning sample for the same purpose.

The spot urinary protein/creatinine ratio and urinary protein/osmolality ratio of Group II in this study did not show any correlation (r=0.1035 and 0.0699) with urinary total protein. Findings of the present study were in accordance with the findings of Kim et al., (2001) where they also did not find...
any significant correlation with protein/creatinine \( (r=0.04) \) or protein/osmolality ratio \( (r=0.07) \).\(^9\)

There were some limitations of this study as this study were conducted in a particular age group, specific timed spot sample were not taken, all group of proteinuric patients were not included, sample size were small, predictive values were not determined and specificity, sensitivity tests were not done.

From all the findings of the present study, it can be concluded that the spot protein/osmolality ratio can be used as a diagnostic tool for the measurement of 24-hour urinary total protein in patients with nephrotic range of proteinuria but not in patients with normal proteinuria.

**References**


