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Abstract:

Context: Preeclampsia is regarded as a risk factor in pregnancy and it leads to placental insufficiency. This, in turn causes both maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality. The present study intends to compare the morphological changes of placenta in preeclampsia with that of normal placenta.

Study design: A descriptive type of study.

Place and period of study: Department of Anatomy, Dhaka Medical College, Dhaka, from August 2005 to June 2006.

Materials: 60 human placentae, 30 were from normal pregnant women as control and 30 from pregnancies complicated by preeclampsia were taken for this study.

Method: Samples were grouped as Group A and Group B on the basis of presence or absence of preeclampsia. All samples were studied morphologically.

Result: Different shapes of placenta were found with some having accessory lobes in both groups. There was significant reduction (p< 0.001) in diameter and volume of placenta in Group B. The thickness of placenta was reduced in Group B but the difference did not reach a significant level. The number of cotyledon was significantly reduced in Group B (p<0.05).

Conclusion: In this study, it was found that the preeclamptic placentae underwent definite morphological changes. These changes seemed to be the result of insufficiency of placenta in preeclampsia. However, further histological and morphometric placental study in a larger sample has to be conducted to come up with a conclusive decision.
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unable to dilate to accommodate the required increase in blood flow, resulting in placental dysfunction that manifest clinically as preeclampsia. Although the pathophysiology of preeclampsia is poorly understood, it is characterized by abnormal trophoblast invasion of uterine blood vessels, immunological intolerance between feto-placental and maternal tissues.

Babies born with a disproportional large placenta are at a greater risk of developing hypertension in later life. Careful examination of placenta can give information that may be important in the immediate and later management of mother and infant.

The objective of the present study is to compare the morphological changes of placenta in preeclampsia with that of normal placenta.

Materials and Methods:
The study was carried out on 60 placentae, 30 were from normal pregnant women considered as control, and 30 from pregnancies complicated by preeclampsia in previously normotensive women. The placentae were collected from Obstetrics and Gynecology department of Dhaka Medical College Hospital and Sir Sallimullah Medical College Hospital.

Grouping of the Sample:
Samples were divided into two groups as Group A and Group B according to presence or absence of preeclampsia.

Group A (Control Group): This group comprises pregnant women without preeclampsia, women had normal blood pressure, no proteinuria and no edema.

Group B (Preeclampsia Group): This group comprises pregnant women with preeclampsia i.e. women of this group had blood pressure at or above 140/90 mm Hg on at least two occasions, six or more hours apart after 20 weeks of present pregnancy together with albuminuria and edema.

Variable Studied:
1. Shape
2. Diameter
3. Thickness
4. Volume
5. Number of cotyledons

Procedure of the Study:
1. Shape of placenta:
The shape of the placenta and presence of accessory lobe were recorded after proper inspection. Each placenta was categorized as oval, circular or irregular in shape (Figure 1A and 1B).

2. Diameter:
The placenta was placed in a flat tray after trimming and mopping. At first, the maximum diameter was measured with a metallic scale graduated in centimeters (cm). Then a second maximum diameter was taken at right angles to the first one. The mean of two measurements was considered as the diameter of the placenta expressed in centimeter (Figure 2).
3. Thickness:
With a long needle placental thickness was measured at five points of each placenta. Each placenta was placed on fetal surface. The placenta was divided arbitrarily into three zones of equal parts by drawing two circles on the maternal surface. These circles cut the radius of the placenta into three equal parts. One thickness was measured from the centre of the central zone, two from middle and two from peripheral zone. The peripheral points were taken within the outer zone on a line perpendicular to the previous imaginary line. Finally the mean of all five measurements was calculated and considered as thickness of the placenta\(^9\) (Figure 3).

4. Volume:
The volume of the placenta was measured by the water displacement method. The placenta was immersed in a water filled bucket which had an attached draining tube. The displaced water was collected in a pot and measured in a graduated cylinder marked in milliliters (ml).

5. Number of Cotyledons:
Each formalin-fixed placenta was taken on both hands. Then gentle pressure was applied on the central part of the fetal surface with thumbs of both hands while holding the periphery of the placenta with the other fingers. As a result, the cotyledons on the maternal aspect become prominent after separation between them. Then the placenta was put on a flat tray with maternal side facing upward by placing a block of paraffin on the fetal side. Then counting was started from the left side of the one end of the placenta going rightward and again turning back to the left in a manner of loop. This counting procedure was repeated until the other end of the placenta was reached. The total number of cotyledons was recorded\(^10\) (Figure 4).

Result:
1. Shape of the placenta:
In the present study, in group A and group B, the number of oval shaped placenta was 10 (33.3%) and 12 (40%), the number of circular shaped placenta was 13 (43.3%) and 10 (33.3%), the number of irregular shaped placenta was 7 (23.3%) and 8 (26.7%) respectively (Table-I, and Figure 1 a, 1b and 5).
2. Diameter:
In the present study the mean (± SD) diameter of the placenta was 18.80 ± 2.32 cm in group A and 16.08 ± 2.08 cm in group B. So, it was evident that the diameter of the placenta was statistically different from each other at P< 0.001 (Table-II and Figure 6).

3. Thickness:
The mean (± SD) thickness of the placenta was 1.59 ± 0.39 cm in group A and 1.51± 0.37 cm in group B. Statistically the difference between groups A and B was not significant (Table-II and Figure 6).

4. Volume:
The mean (± SD) volume of the placenta in group A and group B was 389.83 ± 81.45 and 292.80 ± 71.81 ml, respectively. The difference was statistically significant at P<0.001.

---

Table-I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shape of placenta</th>
<th>Group A (n=30)</th>
<th>Group B (n=30)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. (%)</td>
<td>No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oval</td>
<td>10 (33.3)</td>
<td>12 (40.0)</td>
<td>&gt;0.50ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circular</td>
<td>13 (43.3)</td>
<td>10 (33.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular</td>
<td>7 (23.3)</td>
<td>8 (26.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group A : Control
Group B : Preeclampsia
Statistical analysis done by Chi square test, ns = not significant

Table-II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Placental parameters</th>
<th>Group A (n=30)</th>
<th>Group B (n=30)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter (in cm)</td>
<td>18.80±2.32</td>
<td>16.08±2.08</td>
<td>&lt;0.001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(15.25 25.00)</td>
<td>(12.50 21.50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness (in cm)</td>
<td>1.59±0.39</td>
<td>1.51±0.37</td>
<td>&gt;0.10ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.00 2.25)</td>
<td>(1.00 2.25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute Volume (in ml)</td>
<td>389.83±81.45</td>
<td>292.80±71.81</td>
<td>&lt;0.001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(235.0 610.0)</td>
<td>(175.0 465.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Cotyledon</td>
<td>15.77±2.80</td>
<td>14.30±2.47</td>
<td>&lt;0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(11.0 22.0)</td>
<td>(10.0 20.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group A : Control
Group B : Preeclampsia
Figures in parentheses indicate range. Statistical analysis done by unpaired Student’s ‘t’ test, ns = not significant, */*** = significant
Discussion:

Shape of the placenta:

In the present study, shape of the most placentae were oval (40%) in preeclampsia group and most being circular (43.3%) in control group. Shah found no clinical significance in oval or round shaped...
placentae. Irregular shaped placentae were seen in prematurity due to toxaemia.

Diameter, thickness and number of cotyledon:

In this study, diameter of placenta in preeclampsia group was significantly reduced. Teasdale\textsuperscript{12} found significant reduction of transverse diameter in preeclampsia group; this reduction seems to be due to the small size of placenta in preeclampsia group. Cibils\textsuperscript{13} reported that the placentae from hypertensive patients were significantly smaller than the normal suggesting that the pathologic process interferes with the normal placental growth.

The mean number of cotyledon was reduced significantly ($p<0.05$) in preeclampsia group.

Volume:

Absolute volume of placenta was significantly reduced in preeclampsia group. This is similar to the observation of Boyd and Scott\textsuperscript{14} and Teasdale\textsuperscript{12}. Similar findings were also described by Aherne and Dunnill\textsuperscript{15} where volume of hypertensive placenta was significantly lower than the normal value at term.

This can be concluded that all values related to diameter, thickness, number of cotyledon and volume of placentae in preeclampsia group were found lower than that of control group and this was contributed to the insufficient blood supply due to preeclampsia.
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